1	NATIONAL GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION
2	* * *
3	WINTER MEETING
4	PLENARY SESSION
5	
6	
7	
8	J.W. Marriott Hotel
9	1331 Pennsyl vani a Avenue, N.W.
10	Grand Ballroom
11	Washi ngton, D.C.
12	
13	Sunday, February 23, 2003
14	9:15 a.m.
15	
16	The plenary session commenced, pursuant to notice, at
17	J.W. Marriott Hotel, Grand Ballroom, on Sunday, February
18	23, 2003, in Washington, D.C., at 9:15 a.m., Governor Paul
19	E. Patton, Chairman, presiding.

1	\sim
2	U

2		
- 1		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	GOVERNOR PATTON: Good morning. If we
3	could start the meeting. Welcome to the annual
4	winter meeting of the National Governors Association.
5	I'm Paul Patton, Governor of Kentucky, and Chair of
6	the National Governors Association. We are very
7	fortunate to have some outstanding new governors to
8	lead the various states of our nation. About, I
9	think, 25 of the total of 55 governors, including the
10	five (5) territories, are new this year, so we have a
11	great opportunity to provide vibrant, new leadership
12	to parts of our country, and bring out new ideas.
13	And, that's one of the objectives of this meeting
14	is to share ideas with each other, and operate, here
15	in our nation, with what we call the laboratories of
16	democracy.
17	I think one of the great strengths of our Page 2

18	country is that the states our federalism system,
19	where states have great latitude to experiment with
20	different programs. To try things that work
21	differently in different parts of the nation, and to
22	get together as governors and Learn about what

- 1 various things are working, and maybe, perhaps,
- 2 things that aren't working.
- 3 I've been pleased to be a part of this
- 4 organization going into my eighth year, and I'm proud
- of the fact that during those eight years, I've never
- 6 missed a meeting of the National Governors
- 7 Association. In fact, Governor Kempthorne, I've
- 8 never missed a meeting at the meetings. I go to them
- 9 all. I come home inspired by what I've heard from my
- 10 fellow governors, and my staff really hates to see me
- 11 get home, because they know I've got three of four
- 12 new ideas that they are going to have to work on, and
- try to see if they can be applicable in Kentucky.
- 14 So, that's what we're going to do for the next three

- 15 (3) days. And, with our new governors, particularly
- 16 -- we want to welcome you.
- 17 So, I'd like to go down the list of the
- 18 new governors that are in attendance at this meeting.
- 19 From Alabama, Governor Bob Riley. Governor Riley,
- 20 welcome; good to see you here. We have from Alaska,
- 21 Governor Frank Murkowski. Governor Murkowski,
- 22 welcome. From Arizona, Governor Janet Napolitano.

- 1 That's alright. Well, they play basketball in
- 2 Arizona, and the Governor and I anticipated a little
- 3 bit that we may see ourselves eyeball to eyeball in
- 4 New Orleans, in March. We've got a little wager
- 5 going on then, if that turns out to be the case. So,
- 6 I had the opportunity to have a wager with Governor
- 7 Leavitt, which I won, I might say. I also had an
- 8 opportunity to have a wager with Governor Pataki,
- 9 which I won. I lost one, but I forget which one it
- 10 was -- that I lost to.
- 11 (Laughter.)
- 12 GOVERNOR PATTON: It was Governor

- 13 Kempthorne, that is right. So, we have a lot of fun.
- 14 And I think we've got a couple of other wagers that
- 15 we want to be talking about later on. From Georgia,
- 16 Governor Sonny Purdue, another of our colleagues.
- 17 From Guam, Governor Felix Camacho. From Hawaii,
- 18 Governor Linda Lingle. From Kansas, Governor
- 19 Kathleen Sebelius. From Maine, Governor John
- 20 Baldacci. From Maryland, Governor Bob Erlhich. From
- 21 Massachusetts, Governor Mitt Romney. From Michigan,
- 22 Governor Jenni fer Granholm. From Minnesota, Governor

- 1 Tim Pawlenty. From New Mexico, Governor Bill
- 2 Richardson. From Oklahoma, Governor Brad Henry.
- 3 From Oregon, Governor Ted Kulongoski.
- 4 Boy, you all got some real names. Boy, it
- 5 must have been the year for difficult names for
- 6 people from the south to pronounce, to be elected
- 7 governor, I don't know. From Pennsyl vania, Governor
- 8 Ed Rendell. From Rhode Island, Governor Don Carchiri
- 9 (phonetic). From South Carolina, Governor Mark
- 10 Sanford. From South Dakota, Governor Michael Relms. Page 5

11	From Tennessee, Governor Phil Bredesen. From
12	Vermont, Governor Jim Douglas. From Wisconsin,
13	Governor Jim Doyle, and from Wyoming, Governor Dave
14	Freudenthal.
15	Congratulations to all of you and welcome
16	to the National Governors Association.
17	(Appl ause.)
18	CHAIRMAN PATTON: With that, I'd like to
19	call the order of the 2003 winter meeting of the
20	National Governors Association. May I have a motion
21	for the adoption of the rules of procedure for the
22	meeting?
	6
1	VOICES: So moved.
2	VOI CES: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN PATTON: All in favor, please say
4	0ye.
5	(Course of Oyes.)
6	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Part of the rules

- 8 policy or resolution for adoption at this meeting
- 9 will need a three-fourths vote to suspend the rules.
- 10 Those new motions will be considered Tuesday at our
- 11 final meeting. Please submit any new proposal to
- 12 Frank Shefroth of the NGA staff, by 5 o'clock
- 13 tomorrow, Monday.

We're going to begin this year's meeting

by discussing early childhood care and education, and

16 it's critical importance in insuring that all

17 children are well prepared for their first day of

18 school. Several years ago, I remember, as I attended

an NGA winter meeting and heard about the research

20 findings on brain development -- that session was the

21 pivotal moment for me as I began to create an early

22 childhood for Kentucky -- "Kids Now."

- 1 A number of you, especially, the new
- 2 governors, are already focusing on the education of
- 3 the young children of your state. But let me spend a
- 4 few minutes highlighting the need for governors to
- 5 play an active role in creating policies that support

6 high quality, early childhood programs. Approximately 7 two-thirds of children under five (5), about 12 8 million of them, spend sometime outside of their 9 homes in childcare centers, Head Start, and other 10 pre-school programs. Research tells us that children attending high-quality, early childhood settings, are 11 12 more likely to have the social and emotional, 13 cognitive and language and literacy skills needed for the first day of school. Unfortunately, we know that 14 15 the majority of the children across the country, 16 attend lower quality care settings. 17 We know that having a rich vocabulary, 18 whether it's a persons ability to read well--. 19 Children entering kindergarten with quality pre-20 school experiences have a wide range of vocabulary 21 knowl edge. Although we know a lot more about how to 22 assess a child's readiness for school, few states

8

- have policies in place to effectively measure schoolreadiness.
- That's why I appointed a task force on Page 8

4	school readiness. Governor Kempthorne and I are co-
5	chairing this task force, "Preparing America's
6	Children to Learn." I want to thank Governor
7	Kempthorne and his wife, Patricia, for playing such
8	an active role in other work of the task force. This
9	task force will focus on and develop guidance for
10	children ages birth through five, with emphasis on
11	the readiness of children, schools, and communities
12	and families. In particular, the task force will look
13	at the connections between early childhood programs
14	and the K-12 system. The task force will also be
15	examining what policymakers need to know about the
16	assessment of young children. The task force will
17	release their report at the NGA Annual Meeting in
18	Indianapolis this summer. The report will provide
19	guidance on how states should approach and measure
20	school readiness.
21	Before I introduce our speakers for the
22	morning, I want us to hear what some of our

- 1 colleagues have to say about the importance of early
- 2 childhood -- and do that. Let us look at a brief
- 3 vi deo.
- 4 (Film shown.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN PATTON: It looks like we already
- 6 have a lot of new governors that understand the
- 7 importance of education and early childhood. But
- 8 one of the most difficult things that you will find
- 9 is the willingness to invest today in things that
- 10 really won't show up visibly for 18 to 20 years into
- 11 the future. But as far as I'm concerned, it's about
- 12 the most important investment that a state can make.
- Now, I'm a little bit like Paul, the Apostle,
- 14 converted on the road to Damascus. I'm an engineer,
- by education, and I just thought rather logically,
- 16 that children were born pretty, well -- complete,
- 17 with hearts and muscles and bones, and a brain, and
- that the big challenge for the first several years
- 19 was to just nurture them, and protect them. And when
- they got old enough, you put them into school. Until
- 21 I came to one of these meetings, and I started

1	not born with a functional brain. We're born with an
2	erector set. And that brain is [made] by the life
3	experiences that individual has, and it can be
4	assembled wrong. It can not be totally assembled,
5	and when those parts of the brain that the child is
6	born with, which are billions of parts, adequate for
7	any kind of a brain to be built with if they are
8	not used, they disappear, and they never come back.
9	That's the reason it's so important. If a child is
10	born with a cataract, and we had a daughter-in-law
11	that was, and if that cataract is not removed within
12	the first year, that portion of the brain that the
13	child was supposed to see with that eye, will do
14	something else, or disappear. And the cataract can
15	be removed. Vision can never be returned. That is
16	the importance of the issue we're talking about this
17	morning. And it is an issue about which, I feel,
18	extremely strong. One of the inspiror's (sic) that
19	caused me to realize this, and caused me [to be] so Page 11

dedicated to the cause is Rob Reiner. I've asked him

20

우

21	to come back and be with us today.
22	As many of you know, Rob Reiner, is a
	11
1	principle and founder of Castle Rock Entertainment,
2	and in addition to directing feature films, he's
3	involved in all phases of Castle Rock's creative
4	activities. It was, however, in his Emmy Award
5	winning portrayal of Michael Stivic, the son of
6	Archie Bunker, of the hit series of All in the
7	Family, that made him a household name. But in 1997,
8	he formed the "I Am Your Child Foundation," and began
9	a National Public Awareness and Engagement campaign
10	to communicate the importance of the prenatal period
11	through the first years of life. Rob chaired the
12	California Children and Families Initiative for the
13	1998 ballot which borders passed to form the
14	California Children and Families State Commission.
15	He was appointed Chairman of the Commission by
16	Governor Gray Davis. The Commission is working to
17	implement a comprehensive program of early childhood Page 12

18	services for families at risk in California. Let us									
19	welcome Rob Reiner. Rob, welcome.									
20	(Appl ause.)									
21	MR. REINER: Thank you very much. Thank									
22	you Governor Patton for that long introduction. Now,									
	12									
1	we're on C-SPAN, from what I understand. We are on									
2	C-SPAN. And, you know on C-SPAN, they just always									
3	have this one shot, you know. They never show what's									
4	going on around the room. C-SPAN folks, I'm going to									
5	do you a big favor because the people at C-SPAN may									
6	never get laughs. They just sit there just boring									
7	people talking. Come on over here to this man right									
8	here. You're not doing it. Even on C-SPAN, they									
9	won't do it. I'm a director, by the way.									
10	(Laughter.)									
11	MR. REINER: I'll tell you. You'll get a									
12	laugh, if you turn and look at this man over here.									
13	(Laughter.)									
14	MR. REINER: Thank you very much for									

우

- 0223meet.txt 15 allowing me to come and talk to you for a few 16 You already know why you should make this 17 investment. You've seen the video; it talks about 18 brain development and how critical those early years 19 We know from all of the studies that have been 20 done -- the CPC Study, the Abecediarian Project, 21 which Craim Romey, would -- might allude to do when 22 he gets up and talks. Also, the NIER study which 13 1 just came out. But also, that this investment is 2 cost effective. For every dollar you put in, you're 3 going to get anywhere from four (4) to seven (7) 4 dollars, depending on the program. You're going to 5 get that money out; it's going to come back to you in savings on health care costs and crime costs. 6
- that goes right to your bottom line. If for no other reason, then to kind of create a fire wall against the kind of economic downturn that we're all
- struggling with right now. It makes sense to make
 this investment early on. It's just going to improve
 your economic outlook down the road. Governor Patton

13 pointed out, very correctly: governing is for the 14 future, there's a lot of immediate problems that you 15 have to address right now. Those are remedial type But you all know that you can't really 16 problems. 17 solve big systemic problems through remedial action. You can stay things off, but you can't ultimately 18 19 have a systemic effect on it. This is what we're 20 proposing with early childhood investment. It is a 21 systemic approach to reducing crime, teen pregnancy, 22 drug abuse, child abuse, welfare dependency, drop-out

14

- 1 rates. All the things we care about as governors,
- 2 you governors --. I'm not a governor, all that you
- 3 care about is effecting the quality of life in your
- 4 states. We know that this investment can do to those
- 5 things. So, I don't have to get up here and tell you
- 6 why to make the investment. I'm going to talk to you
- 7 a little bit about why now, particularly, with the
- 8 fact that you've got tough budget decisions. A lot
- 9 of the folks are strapped with some big deficits, and
- 10 what you can actually do at this point because that's Page 15

11 more important, ultimately, that we should all invest We have all said this, for I don't know how 12 this. 13 long, you know. Our children are our future. 14 are our most important resource, and so, we need to 15 do this. Why now? Well, as I said, we're strapped. You're all strapped -- with tough decisions. There's 16 17 a lot of budget deficit. In California, we're really 18 facing a huge, huge deficit. But this can be an 19 opportunity. You have to look at this as an opportunity because if you all agree that this is an 20 21 investment you need to make, then this is the time to 22 plan for how to implement that investment when times

- 1 are good. We're not going to have a bad economy
- 2 forever, you know, it's going to turn around. I
- don't think there's anybody in this room that doesn't
- 4 think we should have a K-12 system. Nobody. I mean
- 5 we all say, let's just disband K-12. We won't have
- 6 that system. Nobody's going to say that. Based on
- 7 information that we have, we know that investments in

8 early childhood, early care of education, have a 9 huger (sic) impact, even in K-12, in terms of how 10 that child is going to function in school and later 11 on in life. What we've done out in California, and I 12 know some of these efforts are being done around the 13 rest of the states, is, we -- . Years ago, we had a thing called Masterplan for Higher Education, and the 14 15 legislature put together a thing -- we developed an 16 entire plan for how we are going to put our higher 17 education dollars together. And that developed the 18 UC system. It's one of the best university systems 19 in the country. It's a good system. Recently, a 20 state senator out there said, we've got to have a

16

out the best way to reform K-12. She did a bunch of focus groups, went around the states, and discovered

master-plan for public education. And she said,

okay, we're going to go out and we're going to figure

21

22

3

roods groups, none around the states, and arosoverou

very quickly, that everywhere she went, people said,

4 where's your school readiness component. You can't

5 reform K-12 unless you have a school readiness

6	component. Every single place she went. So she came
7	to us at the state commission that I chair, and said,
8	would you be willing to be part of this overall
9	master plan for public education. Would you develop
10	a school readiness component? And we did. And we've
11	come out with this master plan for what we call
12	public education, which starts pre-natal and goes up
13	to twelfth grade. Now, it's lofty. It takes money.
14	You can't do it on the cheap. But at the end of the
15	day, what we want to do is create a society where we
16	reduce crime, where we have better economic status
17	for our citizens. And that's what we do. So, what I
18	want to talk to you about today is how to start
19	building that infrastructure to deliver those
20	services, because ultimately, that's what we need to
21	do.
22	The school readiness component starts

- 17
- 1 prenately (sic) -- goes to grade three, age eight,
- 2 because it means working with the existing structure,
- 3 the school systems that we have in place now. We Page 18 $\,$

4	presented	a	\$400	DITI	OH	uorrar	SCHOOL	readiness

- 5 program which we funded. That school readiness
- 6 program is either school based or school linked. We
- 7 have to take existing structure and build off of
- 8 that. So, what can you do right now? Like I say,
- 9 now's a good time to plan if you're thinking about
- 10 making an investment in early childhood. And, by the
- 11 way, when we talk about school readiness, there's a
- 12 lot of definitions for school readiness, there's a
- 13 lot of definitions for school readiness and how to
- 14 assess school readiness. And Governor Patton, I'm
- 15 glad that you are leading the effort to figure out
- 16 how we can best asses Craig Ramey's going to talk
- 17 about that. But we've got to have a system in place.
- 18 We've got to have a system in place. We've got to
- 19 define school readiness. What does school readiness
- 20 mean? Well, if a child has got healthcare and comes
- 21 to school healthy, that's part of school readiness.
- 22 If a child is getting quality childcare and early

- 1 care and education, that's part of school readiness.
- 2 And, if there are children at risk, the intervention
- 3 programs like home visits and so on, that's all part
- 4 of school readiness too. That's all part of school
- 5 readiness, too. All those things are a part of it.
- 6 So, what you want to do is try to create a
- 7 system which will deliver all of those services.
- 8 And, how to do that? Well, I would say you start
- 9 with a preschool system. Now, it's easier said than
- 10 done. You don't have a lot of resources right now,
- 11 but if you could start. We did it in Los Angeles
- 12 County -- we're Lucky because we have some tobacco
- 13 tax money. I passed an initiative out there. We've
- done quite a bit with it. We've passed an initiative
- out in Los Angeles County to allocate \$100 million
- 16 towards universal preschool for all four (4) year-
- olds. That was a good thing to do; we're going to do
- 18 it for one of the largest counties in the country.
- 19 Every child in Los Angeles County will get preschool.
- 20 It's tricky, because you can't just say, we're going
- 21 to institute preschool. You've got childcare

1

16

17

18

you've got the Head Start community. All of them

have to be players. They all have to be at the 2 3 table. That's what I mean about building an infrastructure. Then, like I say, these are school 4 5 linked or school based. It has to coordinate with the school districts, so this feeder system that 6 7 you're bringing into the K-12 system, is working and 8 reaching back to your school readiness group. 9 this is the time to plan. It doesn't cost a lot to 10 issue planning grants. You can do it on a county by 11 county basis. You can do it as a state-wide program. 12 However, you wanted to do it, you can work through your foundations. You all have foundations in your 13 14 Working through the business communities, states. 15 you can issue planning grants. By the way, we're more

19 that, and that doesn't cost a lot of money. Planning

our experiences with you so you can start putting

California -- we're willing to work with you, share

than willing to work with you. We did it in

grants don't cost a lot of money. And it takes a

20

16

17

우

21	while to institute these things. So, it's not going
22	to happen overnight.
	20
1	So, as your economy gets better, and when
2	you have some surpluses, you can say, what do I want
3	to do with the surpluses? You're going to have a lot
4	of needs, but I would submit to you, that you can not
5	have a better investment than making it in young
6	children because that's going to pay huge dividends
7	down the road. And it's going to save you money for
8	roads, and hospitals, and infrastructure, and all
9	those things that you need to face as governors. You
10	want to be able to build a little fire wall against
11	those times when the times are bad, when the times
12	are bad, like now, so you wont' have to cut services
13	much. That's one thing that you can do, is planning
14	grants working towards planning infrastructure for
15	how to deliver services *****

The second thing you can do, and I'm going

to be a little self-serving, here, on this one, but Page 22 $\,$

18	we've done it in California, and it's worked like a
19	charm. We've developed through, "I Am Your Child."
20	We developed a series of videos for parents. Three
21	things you have to do. You've got to get your kids
22	in programs. You've got to have education and
	21
1	healthcare, but you've also have to have parent
2	support as was mentioned in this video. Ed, you were
3	in the video. I noticed Ed, also, that when your
4	voice came up, you didn't even look up. Most of the
5	guys with an ego would look and check and see how
6	they looked in the video.
7	OPERATOR: Laughter.
8	MR. REINER: You just kept making notes.
9	That's what I like about Ed. He's busy at work no
10	matter what.
11	OPERATOR: Laughter.
12	MR. REINER: The third thing is parent
13	support. As we pointed out in that video, the first
14	three years of a child's life is their parents and

우

0223meet.txt caregivers, [are] directly related to their brain 15 16 development and how they're going to function, and 17 [how] they interact with their parents. So you need 18 parent support. We did something in California on a 19 statewide basis as part of our Children and Family's 20 Commission -- was to take the "I Am Your Child," There are six videos, in English, Spanish 21 materials. 22 They range from health and nutrition, childcare, 22

1 early bonding and attachment, discipline. Help me

- 2 out. What else?
- But basically, all the areas that parents
- 4 need to be concerned with, with their young children
- 5 --. We packaged them in this library of six (6)
- 6 videos and in California we added a parent guide,
- 7 which we customized to that state. We've
- 8 subsequently done this program also in Pennsylvania,
- 9 before Governor Ridge went to the Department of
- 10 Homel and Security, and also with Governor Patton in
- 11 Kentucky. We did statewide programs in these three
- 12 (3) states, and it's been incredibly effective. Now,

- 13 in California, just to tell you again -- it's pretty 14 cheap. You can do this fairly cheaply. In California, we've got 500,000 kids born every year. 15 We present this kit from new parents to every single 16 17 parent of every child born in the State of Last year, we distributed 500,000 kits. 18 Cal i forni a. 19 Where are those kits? Let me show one. Here's the 20 box that it comes in. It's a nice package and stuff. Then inside the box, there's also a parent's quide 21 22 that was developed by the University of California at 23
- 1 Berkeley. Now, these 500,000 kits -- we distributed
- 2 it throughout the State of California. It cost \$10
- 3 million dollars for the whole State of California for
- 4 a year. That's massive distribution through prenatal
- 5 clinics, hospitals. We have 58 county commissions.
- 6 We use them as distribution. In Pennsylvania, we set
- 7 up 8,000 points of distribution, working through
- 8 local agencies, CBO's, states agencies, as ways of
- 9 doing it. We'd be happy to work with you on it.
- The good thing about this is there's an Page 25

11	evaluation that comes with this, and it's through the
12	roof. It's absolutely through the roof. But there's
13	a couple of things: first of all, there's great
14	information in here for parents when they're starting
15	out in life, with things they need to do. Secondly,
16	it's a great P.R. tool, quite frankly. Not only are
17	you welcoming every new citizen to your state with
18	something that they can actually use, but you're also
19	building some public welfare and investment later on
20	in early childhood.
21	Now, the evaluation I've done was done by
22	the University of California at Berkeley School of
	24

- 1 Public Health. A woman, named Linda Newhauser there
- 2 did the evaluation. She's been doing this parenting
- 3 information evaluation for about 20 years. She said
- 4 normally when you get information out to parents
- 5 through different choices -- if you get anywhere from
- 6 15 to 20 percent of the parents taking in the
- 7 information and using it, that's pretty good. We had

- 8 90 percent, and 95 percent in the Latino community using these materials. But more important than that 9 10 we have huge shifts in behaviors. And more important 11 than that, we've actually saved lives. And we know 12 that because we've had lots of anecdotes from people 13 who have used material from the kids that actually saved their child's life and information that they've 14 15 gotten through these kids. 16 What is that worth? The saving of a
- child's life? We know it works. We've worked with 17 18 you -- we're happy to work with you, and it's an 19 expensive -- I don't know what it costs in Kentucky. 20 But Governor Patton can talk about that. Three hundred Thousand dollars (\$300,000) in the State of 21 22 Kentucky, so depending, obviously, on the size of

25

- 1 your state, you know. But it's a good tour, it's
- 2 something that you can use, and it's something that
- 3 builds the public wealth. In the meantime, you're
- 4 building that infrastructure.
- 5 So, I'm happy to work with anybody. I'm Page 27

우

6	happy to fly anywhere, go anywhere, we'll set up
7	press opportunities, whatever you want to do, in
8	order to get this stuff launched. But I would suggest
9	to you, that now is the best time to start thinking
10	about this kind of investment. Not when your economy
11	is not strong, is the best time to do that.
12	Thank you so much for letting me talk to
13	you for a little bit. Later on, after Craig Ramey
14	talks, I'm happy to answer any specific questions you
15	may have about this. Sex, religion, politics,
16	whatever you want. Thanks a lot.
17	OPERATOR: Appl ause.
18	CHAIRMAN PATTON: That's Rob, very much.
19	After our next presenter, we will have a few moments,
20	maybe, to answer a few questions. Again, I suggest
21	that if you can set up a dedicated source of money
22	for this program, it's very helpful. What we did in
	26

- 1 Kentucky was dedicate 25% of our tobacco money. It
- 2 happened to be that that was new money that came on-
- 3 line as we were developing this program. And it's Page 28

4	worked	verv	verv	well
4	WOI KEU	vciy,	v C i y	WCII.

- 5 Our next speaker is Doctor Craig Ramey.
- 6 Dr. Ramey is the founding director of the Georgetown
- 7 Center of Health and Education at Georgetown
- 8 University. Prior to arriving at Georgetown, Dr.
- 9 Ramey was a Professor of Psychology, Pediatrics, and
- 10 Neuro-biology, at the University of Alabama in
- 11 Birmingham. He specializes in the studies [of] the
- 12 factors effecting the development of intelligence,
- 13 social competence, and academic achievement in
- 14 children. He is currently heading the national
- panel, creating the assessment to be administered for
- 16 students by participating in Head Start. Please help
- me welcome Dr. Craig Ramey.
- 18 OPERATOR: Appl ause.
- 19 DR. RAMEY: Thank you Governor Patton.
- 20 Not only am I delighted to be here this morning, and
- 21 to talk with you about something that I believe is at
- the forefront of our country's concerns, our

- 1 children. But, I'm here to add some consistency,
- 2 some further facts, to what is turning out to be a
- 3 remarkably consistent story about the optimism that
- 4 we can have in terms of what we can do for our young
- 5 children. And, particularly, for the most vulnerable
- 6 of our young children.
- 7 OPERATOR: Slide.
- 8 DR. RAMEY: The case, I believe, is clear
- 9 and compelling. That a commitment to improving K-12
- 10 educational achievement, which is at the forefront in
- 11 poll after poll of concerns of American families,
- must begin in the first five years of life.
- 13 OPERATOR: Slide.
- DR. RAMEY: You have heard many stories
- and read many articles about the remarkable brain
- 16 growth that takes place in the first five (5) years
- 17 of life. This slide, which is the result of
- 18 measuring, in millimeter by cubic millimeter, the
- density of the connections between neurons in the
- 20 cortex illustrate that different regions of the brain
- 21 develop at different rates. Our visual system comes

28

1 on next. In the pre-frontal cortex area, where we 2 have most of our abstract thinking and cognitive 3 processing comes on by the age of five (5) years. are beginning to see the kind of pruning that is 4 5 necessary for brains to become more efficient. Yes, 6 it's very important that we have a periferation 7 (phonetic) of connections among neurons, but 8 experience helps to condition those pathways, and to make them more effective and efficient. 9 In the kind 10 of regularity and stimulation that children have is a 11 major determinant in whether certain skills becomes 12 grooved or not. Whether certain basic propensities are laid down or not. 13 14 OPERATOR: SI i de. 15 DR. RAMEY: What we know from now, over 2,000 studies, is that we can describe those skills 16 17 with remarkable brevity. This list, which 18 summarizes, the over 2,000 observational studies each 19 and every skill on here, that caregivers evidence in

Page 31

우

21 positively to	childrens rates of growth and
22 development i	n cognitive, linguistic, social and
	29
1 emotional fur	action. Encouraging ovaloration
i ellotionalitui	nction. Encouraging exploration,
2 mentoring and	I basic skills, celebrating developmental
3 advances, con	nmunicating richly and responsibly.
4 Guiding and I	imiting behavior, teaching the social
5 morays that a	are required for constructive citizenship
6 in this count	ry.
7 OF	PERATOR: SI i de.
8 DF	R. RAMEY: To illustrate just one of the
9 quanti tati ve	relationships, this graph is the result
10 of careful ob	oservations of mothers interacting with
11 their childre	en at home over the second year of life.
12 These are all	, by the way, middle class mothers.
13 What we see,	is that in yellow, mothers who interact
14 relatively in	frequently, with their children, have
15 children, who	at the end of the second year, have
16 vocabul ari es	of about 100 words. And those mothers
17 that interact	most frequently with their children, Page 32

18	have children with vocabularies that are eight (8)
19	times, 800 percent the size of those with more
20	limited interactions.
21	Note that these curves are not straight
22	lines. We are seeing a divergence in development
	30
1	over time. That by the time these children go into
2	kindergarten, these differences are about as
3	perplexing as anything that a kindergarten teacher
4	will have to deal with.
5	OPERATOR: SI i de.
6	DR. RAMEY: We also know, through good
7	research, that we have strong relationships between
8	what children experience in the preschool years and
9	their learning to read. I'm not talking here, about
10	trying to teach young children to read. I'm talking
11	about the prerequisite skills that need to be in
12	place in order for that process to kick in when

children are in kindergarten in first and second

grade. And we know that childrens oral language

13

0223meet.txt 15 comprehension, their phonological awareness, the 16 awareness that words are made up of discrete sounds, 17 that they know how to name letters. That they have 18 basic concepts of print -- that print represents speech written down, that it goes from left to right 19 20 and top to bottom. SI i de. 21 OPERATOR: 22 DR. RAMEY: Some children get this in 31 1 abundance, and I would venture to say that most of 2 the children, if not all the children, of the people 3 in this room, in fact, did indeed, get them in 4 abundance. For those children, we can describe a 5 typical course in development. Here, represented by this red line in which we're marking developmental 6 7 age in language cognition, and so on, off against the 8 progression of children in chronological age. So, a 9 typical two-year old scores developmentally like a 10 two-year old. But we know that there is a subset of 11 children in this culture. They come from white

13	group, who start off life very healthy, and eager to
14	learn, and sometime typically, after 12 months of
15	age, there course of development begins to diverge
16	from that of their more advantaged peers.
17	And they fall off the developmental curve.
18	And they end up coming to school looking, not like
19	the five-year old, sometimes, not like the four-year
20	old, and sometimes, not like the three-year old.
21	OPERATOR: SI i de.
22	DR. RAMEY: Very behind. And when those
	32
1	children go into good schools, good schools help
2	
•	those chirdren reach. Those chirdren have not rost
3	those children learn. Those children have not lost the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter
3	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter
	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter good schools, they are starting from a two-down
4	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter
4 5	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter good schools, they are starting from a two-down position. And many times that they learn at the same
456	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter good schools, they are starting from a two-down position. And many times that they learn at the same rate as their more advantaged peers. But that
4 5 6 7	the ability to learn. It's just that when they enter good schools, they are starting from a two-down position. And many times that they learn at the same rate as their more advantaged peers. But that doesn't allow the catch up to occur. And then over

11	your children or my children and children from
12	educated and high resource families. So, if you
13	measure childrens performance overly, at the end of
14	each grade level, in the early years of school, you
15	might erroneously conclude that school is doing a bad
16	job, because you see the gulf between the have and
17	the have nots grow. When, in fact, during the nine
18	(9) months of school they were growing nine (9)
19	months developmentally. They were missing some
20	experiences, however in the non-school months.
21	OPERATOR: SI i de.
22	DR. RAMEY: The point that I want to make,
	33
1	and Governor Patton, and Rob Reiner made this point
2	eloquently, as well, themselves, is that it really is
3	the totally of a child's experience that lays the
4	foundation for a lifetime of greater or lesser
5	competency. Whether children are, in what we call,
6	childcare, or they are in a preschool program, or

4

7

they're in a program for neglected children.

- Whatever they experience in any of those programs, 8 9 that is the child's education, in addition to what 10 the child receives at home and in other places. 11 OPERATOR: SI i de. 12 DR. RAMEY: Thirty-two years ago, my colleagues and I began a project that has a somewhat, 13 14 unwielding name. As a very young professor -- very fond of polysyllabic, latin-made words, I chose 15 16 Abecedarian, because it means one who learns the 17 fundamentals of something. Such as one who learns 18 the alphabet. We really wanted to determine in an 19 experimental fashion whether or not it was possible 20 to fundamentally alter the course of human 21 development for individual children by bringing high 22 quality pre-school programs to bear. But can the 34 1 cumulative developmental total experience of high-
- 2 risk children be prevented to reduce significantly by
- 3 providing systematic high quality early childhood
- 4 education from birth through kindergarten. In the
- 5 jargon of science, the Abecedarian project was, and

6 is, a randomized, control trial. That is, a 7 population was defined, and children and families 8 were randomly assigned to different treatment 9 conditions. That's the same process that all the 10 drugs that you now use, that are prescription drugs, should have gone through. It's the standard in life 11 12 sciences for establishing causality. 13 OPERATOR: SI i de. DR. RAMEY: 14 We wanted to test the proposition that early childhood education was very 15 16 important. So, we wanted to reduce some confounding 17 factors. So, our control group was not an untreated 18 group. We, in fact, provided for them, nutritional 19 supplements, family support, social services 20 delivered by master's level social workers, and free 21 or low cost health care, based on the American

7

22

- 1 group, in addition, received an intensive early
- 2 childhood education program, that began in the first

Academy of Pediatrics recommendations. Our treated

35

3 year of life, and that operated 50 weeks a year. But Page 38

4	emphasi zed	coani ti ve.	social.	motor	and	I anguage

- 5 development, and most importantly, was individually
- 6 based for each child, each day, in ways that are
- 7 accomplishable within in high quality pre-school
- 8 program today.
- 9 OPERATOR: Slide.
- 10 DR. RAMEY: I want to share with you just a
- 11 few results.
- 12 OPERATOR: Slide.
- DR. RAMEY: First of all, the pre-school
- 14 results. This is, probably, the most intensively
- 15 studied group of children anywhere on earth. We've
- published something like, 350 articles about these
- 17 childrens development, so far. What this slide shows
- is that the cognitive performance of these children
- 19 from the time they were three months of age until
- 20 they were four-and-a-half years of age.
- 21 In all of these slides, [the] red-line
- represents the children who received early childhood

- 1 education. The yellow-lines represent children who
- 2 were in the control condition. During the first-year
- 3 of life, the treated and controlled children
- 4 performed remarkably similarly. Beginning at 18
- 5 months of age, the groups diverged in ways that are
- 6 quite dramatic. So that by three years of age, the
- 7 treated group was earning I.Q. scores of 101, on
- 8 average. And the control group was earning scores of
- 9 84. That corresponds basically with either being at
- 10 about the 50% percentile or being at about the 15th
- 11 percentile.
- 12 OPERATOR: Slide.
- DR. RAMEY: Another way to look at these
- 14 data is to look at these data is to look at them from
- 15 a clinical perspective. If you earn an I.Q.
- 16 cognitive score of 85 or below, you are in the bottom
- 17 15th percentile in this country. Here, we see that
- 18 the provision of early childhood education, has had
- 19 virtually all of those children, 95 to 100 percent
- 20 remain within normal limits, whereas the yellow bars
- 21 indicate a steady and progressive and disastrous

1	by the time the children are four (4) years of age,
2	only 45 percent of these control group children are
3	scoring in the intellectually normal range. This is
4	in a progressive town with lots of services for
5	children, and with the services that have already
6	been provided, in addition to these control children.
7	So, in some ways, this is a conservative portrait of
8	what the developmental toll might have been
9	otherwi se.
10	OPERATOR: SI i de.
11	DR. RAMEY: Here are two lines showing you
11 12	DR. RAMEY: Here are two lines showing you the verbal competencies of these children at 32, 42,
12	the verbal competencies of these children at 32, 42,
12 13	the verbal competencies of these children at 32, 42, and 54 months of age. Virtually, non-overlapping
12 13 14	the verbal competencies of these children at 32, 42, and 54 months of age. Virtually, non-overlapping graphs.
12 13 14 15	the verbal competencies of these children at 32, 42, and 54 months of age. Virtually, non-overlapping graphs. OPERATOR: SLIDE.

laboratory settings. We've compared these treated

Page 41

우

20	and controlled children to a sample of children and
21	mothers from the general population, which in Chapel
22	Hill, was a more affluent and much more educated
	38
_	
1	segment of the population. What we see is that in
2	the first year of life, is that these three (3)
3	groups are remarkably similar. But, in the second
4	year of life, there is both an increase in the
5	intensity of involvement, by mothers, in the general
6	population, and a corresponding decrease in the
7	control group, with the function of the treatment
8	being to preserve some function that would otherwise
9	be lost.
10	OPERATOR: SI i de.
11	DR. RAMEY: There are, by the way, some
12	benefits that accrue to the mothers whose children
13	attended the preschool program. When those mothers
14	had their children go to this program, and all these
15	mothers were poor. All of them were below 50 percent
16	of [the] poverty line. They had, on average, a 10th
17	grade education. When their children attended the Page 42

18	pre-school program, with our encouragement, they
19	invested their time in their own education, and by
20	the time their children were ready to go to
21	kindergarten, 50 percent of them had completed high
22	school and gotten some post-high school education.
	39
1	Compared to only about 12 percent in the control
2	condition. That change, was sustained, over time,
3	such that, by the time, their children were in third
4	grade, eighty percent of them had completed high
5	school, and gotten some post high school education,
6	compared to only 30 percent of the control condition.
7	OPERATOR: SI i de.
8	DR. RAMEY: What are the hallmarks of

9 science? One of its checks and balances systems is
10 to determine whether procedures and findings can be
11 replicated in different places. We have replicated
12 the Abcedarian project in nine (9) states; once in
13 North Carolina, and then in each of the states that
14 are up here, and found essentially, the same results.

Page 44

13 parents using that as a marker for parental 14 And here, you will see that there is a resources. strong relationship between the level of parent's 15 education in the yellow bars and the performance of 16 17 their children at age three on a standardized measure of cognitive development. 18 19 Now, look at the red bars and notice what 20 has happened. Of the performance of the children 21 from the least resourceful families, has been pulled 22 And has been pulled up and essentially leveled up. 41 1 at slightly above national average. What that really 2 means is that those children who were most at risk benefited the most from the provision of this 3 4 program. Note also, that we weren't able to improve 5 development beyond what upper middle class families

with high resources where able to provide.

careful analysis revealed that that was not because

those children were truly invulnerable, but it was

because those parents reached into their pockets,

used these resources, and used their social network Page 45

7

6

7

8

9

11	to basically provide the same kinds of services that
12	we were providing in the treatment condition.
13	OPERATOR: SI i de.
14	DR. RAMEY: Let me go back to the
15	Abecedarian Project just very briefly and show you
16	some results of what happened when these children
17	went into school. Being better prepared, the
18	preschool children achieved reading skills at a
19	higher level from the time they were in third grade,
20	all the way through every follow-up we've done since.
21	When they were 12 years old, 15 years old. Indeed
22	we've just finished a follow-up at age 21 years.
	42
1	The effects on reading were not only
2	immediate, but they were lasting. The same is true

Ŧ

- immediate, but they were lasting. The same is true
 for the effects on mathematics achievement. These
 were children going into good schools, well prepared,
 in the early education treatment group, and in fact,
- 6 they faired well.
- 7 OPERATOR: SI i de.

5

That's what this one shows.

Page 47

Sorry, the one before it

- shows they were more likely to be employed at higher
- 7 skilled jobs or still in school. As a matter of fact
- 8 there was a three-fold increase in the likelihood of
- 9 going to a four (4) year college, depending on
- 10 whether you had a preschool education or not.
- 11 Thirty-six percent versus twelve percent.
- 12 OPERATOR: SI i de.
- DR. RAMEY: Let me sum this up. This is
- 14 thirty-two years and a lot of money. And a whole lot
- of people working a long time. This is what we know
- 16 and what we came to tell you. If we do this at a
- 17 Level of quality that is commensurate with the needs
- of children and with our knowledge base, we can
- 19 improve childrens intelligence, their reading and
- 20 math skills, the sense that they have of being in
- 21 control of what happens to them in school. Their
- 22 social compentence, the years they spend in school,

- 1 and their likelihood of being employed full-time at
- 2 decent jobs. And if we don't they are more likely to
- 3 repeat grades, to be placed in specialized education, Page 48

- 4 to have teen pregnancies, and to be convicted of drug
- 5 use, or to smoke. Those are in addition to the
- 6 benefits I've told you about, as far as education and
- 7 employment for the mothers.
- 8 OPERATOR: Slide.
- 9 DR. RAMEY: So do some well intentioned
- 10 programs not succeed. In analyses of programs that
- 11 have been tried and failed, here's our short list of
- 12 what we know. One, they tend to have poorly prepared
- 13 teachers. The programs are sometimes not intensive
- 14 enough. There are sometimes only a couple of hours a
- 15 day for three or four days a week. But they have a
- 16 remedial rather than a preventive focus. That they
- don't directly engage the children in teaching
- 18 strategies that emphasize the core concepts that they
- 19 will have to evidence when they go to school. We
- 20 have so many different programs in each and every
- 21 state. We have redundancies and inefficiencies,
- 22 which, I believe, we could root out and invest that

- 1 same money into effective programs.
- 2 OPERATOR: Slide.
- 3 DR. RAMEY: So with 22 minutes of your
- 4 time, here's what it all boils down to. I would urge
- 5 you to provide strong leadership for a comprehensive
- 6 early childhood educational initiative that is linked
- 7 explicitly to the K through 12 learning and
- 8 achievement of children. This is exactly what Rob
- 9 has been doing in California. This needs to be done
- 10 everywhere so that we bring every efficiency that we
- 11 can. I think that the evidence is clear that we must
- 12 pay careful attention to children who are at high
- 13 risk for school failure. Our program should be
- 14 grounded in scientific evidence and build upon the
- 15 existing resources.
- 16 OPERATOR: SI i de.
- 17 DR. RAMEY: To that end, I think that we
- 18 need to find ways to combine funding streams. To
- 19 promote innovative partnerships. And to strengthen
- 20 existing programs that serve children from the
- 21 prenatal period through age five. One of the things

we're eager to work with you is offering incentives 1 2 for collaboration and getting away from playing the 3 game of we'll all come to the table. And we'll all promise to collaborate, but you know that you better 4 5 leave as much money for your agency as when you came in. And the way to cut that Gordian Knot, I believe, 6 7 is for you, as governors, to offer the incentives to 8 your various department heads. To in fact 9 collaborate and cooperate, to eliminate duplicative 10 and ineffective programs and to link future funding 11 to performance indicators of how programs are doing. 12 That happens in almost every other part of life, as we know it. We've somehow managed to have early 13 14 childhood education get a bye on that one. 15 OPERATOR: SI i de. I would say to you, you don't 16 DR. RAMEY: 17 have to find all new monies, there are monies coming into each and every state, and into each and every 18 19 county, that already can be used as a based.

Page 51

우

20	what this slide does, is identify just some of them.
21	The various titles of the Elementary and Secondary
22	Education Act. Early Head Start, Head Start, Early
	47
1	Intervention one of the things I would urge you to
2	do and which we've been doing a bit with Governor
3	Musgrosve in Mississippi, and Governor Foster in
4	Louisiana, and others, is to find every dollar coming
5	into your state that can be potentially used to
6	address this issue. Then develop the master plan
7	because you may need less money to get to where you
8	want to go than you think you do if you start by
9	assuming that you have to find it all.
10	OPERATOR: SI i de.
11	DR. RAMEY: And I would suggest that you
12	design and implement a strong, accountability system,
13	that continuously monitors program quality and
14	documents child progress and outcomes. And that you
15	use that information in a practical way to strengthen
16	the programs. This is not high stakes testing for
17	children. This is not some ruse to find ways to get Page 52

rid of programs. It's a way to give program manager
information to use to guide the quality to target
training and technical assistance. To rachet up
things in a way that is done regularly in business
all across the country.

- 1 OPERATOR: Slide.
- 2 DR. RAMEY: So I believe the evidence is
- 3 in. It's clear, it's consistent, that part of the
- 4 educational outcomes can be achieved for all children
- 5 through the pre-K years and beyond. The benefits
- 6 include much more than just reading, although reading
- 7 is a crucial skill because we use that to learn math,
- 8 we use that to be socially competent. It links to
- 9 our social adjustment. And that, as it's been said
- 10 before, other programs that have done this have shown
- 11 cross benefit ratios of between one to four, into one
- 12 to seven. Son in the grand scheme of things, this is
- one of the better bets that we've ever had in social
- 14 or health situations.

15 OPERATOR: Slide.

16 DR. RAMEY: I know, that each and every

17 one of you as a governor, has as one of your goals,

18 to improve the quality of life for people in your

19 state. This is one of the ways that that can happen.

20 Anything that we can do to be of help to you, we will

22 Godspeed on your journey.

21

49

gladly do it. We wish you the absolute best and

1 OPERATOR: Appl ause.

2 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Thank you, Dr. Ramey. I

3 think you presented some very startling facts, and

4 suggestions as to how we can achieve that. I want to

5 have a little discussion. I'm going to forego my

6 question, and ask Governor Kempthorne if you want to

7 start us off with a question, then we'll try to get

8 some more from the rest of the governors.

9 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Mr. Chairman, thank

10 you very much. It's been an excellent presentation

11 by our two outstanding speakers here this morning. I

12 would note that last year, in Idaho, we had the great

13	pleasure of having First Lady Laura Bush who was a
14	keynote speaker. The key note speaker, at a
15	gathering where we had Dr. Ramey, and other
16	distinguished experts in the field of early childhood
17	development.
18	My question to Dr. Ramey would be, and Rob
19	Reiner has affirmed this, too. We now know the
20	science. There's empirical data. So, can you give
21	us lessons learned on how it has been successful?
22	How to move this, which is some of the other lessons
	50
1	learned, whether there have been false starts. No
1	learned, whether there have been false starts. No one can afford a false start. And yet, this is
2	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is
2	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is critical that we get going.
2 3 4	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is critical that we get going. DR. RAMEY: Thank you, Governor
2 3 4 5	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is critical that we get going. DR. RAMEY: Thank you, Governor Kempthorne. I think we know a lot about how to do
2 3 4 5	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is critical that we get going. DR. RAMEY: Thank you, Governor Kempthorne. I think we know a lot about how to do it, and I think that Rob was very clear in having
2 3 4 5 6 7	one can afford a false start. And yet, this is critical that we get going. DR. RAMEY: Thank you, Governor Kempthorne. I think we know a lot about how to do it, and I think that Rob was very clear in having some suggestions for a master plan. Something this

¥

11	programs. As a matter of fact there are programs in
12	this country today that are actually harming
13	children. I believe that the creation of an
14	accountability system that measures the quality of
15	programs, that measures the performance of children,
16	is the most cost-effective way that we can address
17	this issue. Because we're in a situation in which
18	there are some really deeply held philosophical
19	positions. And the bloodiest wars that I know to
20	have occurred throughout history, are wars that are
21	based on differences in philosophy. I believe that
22	the scientific method allows us a way to bring
	51
1	credible evidence to hear and to have rational monle

- 2 look at it. And that the debate will be elevated and
- we can determine how many of our children are, well, 3
- what kind of experiences have they had, how we can 4
- 5 feed back that information to strengthen our
- I don't think that is unachievable. I 6 programs.
- 7 don't think that it is too expensive. I believe that

8 the theoretical and practical technologies exist to 9 We have done it in other places -- I think it 10 will be an uplifting set of contributions to this 11 entire effort. So I would urge you, to, as you go 12 about your plans, don't tack that on at the end. 13 Have that be a part of your planning from the very 14 begi nni ng. Rob? 15 MR. REINER: I would just add to that. 16 What when you think about a K-12 system, you would 17 never say, well, we're going to just offer math to 18 that child, and assume that that child is going to be 19 education. You know, you develop a curriculum. 20 develop a curriculum -- it's well-developed over many, many years. So, when you talk about putting a 21 22 plan together, think about what a child needs from 1

- the pre-natal period through age five, in order to 2 make him school ready.
- 3 We talked about healthcare -- we talked
- 4 about early care and education. We talked about
- 5 intervention programs for families at risk, like home Page 57

- 6 visiting programs, and think about these as classes,
- 7 you know, if you will that a child needs.
- 8 The child needs all those things: family
- 9 support, parental support, needs all of those things.
- 10 So, when you develop your master plans or you develop
- 11 your plans, keep that all in mind. Then think about
- 12 the delivery system. I would submit to you that
- 13 there are a lot of programs all over the country, in
- 14 many states, but there's none that brings it into one
- 15 focused area. I would suggest that we have the K-12
- 16 system in place. I'm not saying that we're going ask
- 17 us to be run through the K-12, but definitely has to
- 18 be linked in partnership with K-12. You have HHS.
- 19 You all have HHS. You're talking about a delivery
- 20 system, and you have to work your partners. Exactly
- 21 what Craig Ramey said -- You've got to work the
- 22 partners! One of the nice things we did in

53

- 1 California, and I can say because Gray's wife,
- 2 Sharon, brought us all together at a meeting where we
- 3 had folks in the Department of Education from HHS, Page 58

ρ

- 4 from Health Services, all brought to the table, to
- 5 say this is something we all need to work together
- 6 and devel op.
- 7 The nice thing about it is, a lot of
- 8 departments that don't talk to each other, all of a
- 9 sudden are starting to talk to each other. Because
- 10 they recognize that in order to provide school
- 11 readiness, it isn't just pre-school. It isn't just a
- 12 healthcare plan. It is all of these things that are
- 13 brought together. I would say build off your
- 14 existing infrastructure, but find ways to bring them
- 15 altogether. And, again, I go back to saying this is
- 16 a good time, even though you're strapped to really
- 17 put the time and the effort into planning, and how
- 18 you're going to implement this until the funds are
- 19 release. But that to me is the key. And, as Dr.
- 20 Ramey points out, you can't overstress money, because
- 21 without quality, you're just going to be throwing
- 22 your money out of the window.

1	0223meet.txt GOVERNOR PATTON: Sherman, my daughter,
2	who is in early childhood expert, preaches to me. I
3	think there was a situation in Romania where an
4	orphanage bought the Ceaucescu Regine kept children
5	in crib beds. They were fed, and I guess they were
6	kept relatively clean. They were certainly protected
7	from harm had no stimulation. The results were
8	horrendous. It was horrible how little brain
9	development had occurred. We're not talking about
10	custodial care, we're talking about quality care.
11	GOVERNOR PAWLENTY: This would be a
12	question for Professor Ramey. In Minnesota, we have
13	a fairly elaborate early childhood system. Based on
14	your research while you were still at Alabama, we did
15	some pilot projecting in the mid-1990's where we took
16	our existing early childhood education programs, and
17	we were offering a curriculum for parents of children
18	who were four and over. And provided some grant
19	monies to ask them to redesign their curriculum to be
20	more geared more towards parents of children who were

infants, or up to four (4) years of age. Those

1 to parents in our ECFE programs. We also had an earlier, maybe not as elaborate version of the TIBBS 2 3 that Mr. Reiner has suggested. These are real good programs, but as we looked at the affect on impact --4 5 What we are hearing back from the evaluators is, I think, something that's very important for Governors 6 7 to keep in mind. I understand your research, and 8 ultimately the people who either corroborated it or 9 somewhat criticized or put it in a difference to say-10 -. If you really want to achieve long lasting and 11 significant progress (particularly, for at risk 12 children), while the kids in the ECFE curriculum for parents that is intermittent or these intermittent 13 14 programs may be helpful to achieve the effects that 15 you have described in Alabama. 16 And know, through your work at Georgetown, 17 it really needs to be high quality, it has to be 18 sustai ned. And for parents who aren't able to 19 provide it in a home setting, this almost an

Page 61

20	institutional or at least, a form al delivery system
21	vehicle to it. And the Abecedarian project speaks of
22	the fifty-week window. There are folks who I think
	56
1	have been certainly applauding your work, that have
2	said, my goodness, in terms of doing this right. If
3	you really look at the delivery system to do it right
4	at the level to achieve the results that you've
5	experienced in your research. It's high quality,
6	institutional, or at least, formal day care. All
7	day, every day for parents who can't provide it. And
8	again, it mimicks the daycare that people of means
9	and influence, are able to provide their children.
10	But in order to do that, that's really what your
11	research says at core. And for some folks, who are
12	governors, who are broke, that's a high bar. Can you
13	just comment on are there ways, short of that vision,
14	that are still helpful, that we can, at least, make
15	progress with something short of your ultimate model
16	that you put in the Abecedarian Project.
17	DR. RAMEY: And thank you Governor. By Page 62

18	the way, Minnesota has been a beacon of high quality
19	services to its citizen referral for a long time.
20	You've heard SEED and some other programs that have
21	just been fabulous. Many of us have been inspired by
22	that. Here's what I think we have to come to grips
	57
1	with That is we have a spectrum of rick in the

- That is we have a spectrum of risk in the
- 2 population. WE have a commodity, if you will, this
- 3 early childhood education and related services:
- 4 health, nutrition, and so on. We have a commodity
- that is highly desired, certainly by the upper middle 5
- 6 class, by the broad middle-class, that they're
- 7 already paying for. They are paying for it very
- 8 substantially. So we don't have to convince them
- 9 that this is something that they would like to have.
- We're talking about a public finance issue. How do 10
- 11 we get the kids who without something are going to
- come to school and be in the classrooms, or have the 12
- 13 flight out of public school to private school take
- pl ace. Because people can't deal with that range of 14

15 di fferences.

16 When the teacher in kindergarten has a kid 17 who looks like a two and a-half year old, and a child 18 of one of your friends, who looks like more than a 7 19 year old in the same classroom. So, I think 20 it's about targeting. Now, I'm a great fan of providing universal programs -- that is, that are not 21 22 segregated by social means testing. But I do think

58

1 that we might be able to find ways in which parents

2 would pay some of the costs if they were able to, in

3 more of a tiered kind of reimbursement system. And

4 for those who just can't afford it -- our not

5 programming, it seems to me, is not a very good

6 option. Because it's special, and it's two and one-

7 half times the cost, and we can predict with greater

8 than 50% accuracy who's going to need that. It's not

9 talking about waiting ten (10) years to have to pay

10 the costs. You're going to pay the costs in

11 kindergarten and then first and second grade. So, I

do believe, that dealing with what I believe is

13	ethically a tough issue. And that is trying to be
14	sure that we understand who's most at risk and we've
15	worked with people in many different states:
16	Alabama, Mississippi, Washington, Minnesota as well.
17	To use the linkage of public health records to
18	education records, to write the equations that allow
19	us to predict, I must say, with alarming accuracy,
20	the number of kids you're going to deal with in your
21	early years, who have problems, for which the school
22	system is not prepared to deal.
	59
1	DR. RAMEY: That discussion, I think,
2	needs to take place conditioned state by state.
3	Because the population characteristics of state, and
4	the percentage of children who are at risk, varies
5	tremendously. New Haven and California, or

7 to have the same set of risk factors that would

8 result in exactly the same kind of response. But I

Connecticut and California, you know, are not likely

9 think we can tailor some of this. Is that helpful?

10 GOVERNOR PAWLENTY: It is. Page 65

우

11 DR. RAMEY: The bottom line is to go to 12 your ultimate vision or goal. It is a commitment to 13 high quality sustained daycare. Our ultimate vision 14 is that we could do this on the cheap, quickly and we 15 could save 95% of the kids. We just haven't figured out how to do that yet. 16 17 GOVERNOR GRANHOLM: Dr. Ramey, I know that 18 you've seen a lot of programs and there's a lot of 19 great models out there. In Michigan, we're quite 20 affimized (phonetic) in terms of our daycare 21 collaboration. I'd like to know from a best practice 22 perspective, if you could name one state or city that 60 1 has a program that you would model after, what would 2 that be? That's question number one. How much per 3 child, does a quality system like you've described cost? Can you and Rob Reiner come to Michigan? 4 5 OPERATOR: Laughter.

Q

DR. RAMEY:

6

7

and go anywhere together. The answer to the third

Rob and I will go whole hands

8 question is yes. Cost. Let me do that first. Cost is

- 9 going to be determined primarily by what you are
- 10 willing to pay the people who staff the system. In
- 11 the past, we have paid really dismal wages to people
- in childcare, who when they work full-time are still
- 13 below the poverty. I'm very happy to say that in the
- 14 Abecedarian Project, we paid our teachers as if they
- were public school teachers, and we paid them for the
- 16 full 12 months of the year. Which is why our costs
- 17 are higher than some other programs. I believe you
- 18 can now deliver a good program for something in the
- 19 neighborhood of about \$9,000 a year. There are some
- 20 efficiencies, though, I think can be realized. But
- 21 Head Start is now \$7,000, roughly dollars per year.
- 22 And it's a seven month a year program. Special

1 education is running close to \$18,000 a year,

2 national average. If we paid teachers in childcare

61

- 3 the equivalent of what an average teacher would get
- 4 with two or three years experience in the public
- 5 school system: (a) we could both draw on the kinds of Page 67

- 6 people who would have the skills to operate a high
- quality system, and [(b)] we could deal with this
- 8 abysmal turnover, which is a 40 percent turnover rate
- 9 per year that is driving quality down. So that's
- 10 cost. And there's a third.
- 11 GOVERNOR GRANHOLM: Best practices.
- DR. RAMEY: Look to the gentleman, two
- 13 [down] to your right. Minnesota. Even though he has
- 14 talked about some of the difficulties, Minnesota is,
- 15 I think, a beacon state. North Carolina, what's
- 16 happened there. Georgia, California, even with your
- 17 \$35 billion projected deficit, is, I think, creating
- one of the really innovative assessment and program
- 19 quality assurance systems. I think really
- 20 interesting things are happening. We're working with
- 21 Louisiana around their four (4) year old program.
- 22 They've implemented a universal pre-K four year-old

- 1 program. I think there are a number of places that
- 2 are real beacons. The problem is, we haven't spread
- 3 that out to be truly to be available to an entire Page 68

4	popul ati on	anywhere.	Even t	the	pl aces	that	say	they
---	--------------	-----------	--------	-----	---------	------	-----	------

- 5 have universal pre-K: New York, Georgia -- there are
- 6 still people not being served. People are doing
- 7 their best to get the resources to do it and now is a
- 8 tougher time than ever.
- 9 GOVERNOR SEBELIUS: So Dr. Ramey, thank
- 10 you very much. It's great to hear from you and Rob
- 11 Reiner, about not only the research, but [about] some
- 12 programs actually in place. As a follow-up to
- 13 Governor Granholm's question, can you talk a little
- 14 bit about whether or not you have looked at the
- 15 qualifications that are important for a quality
- 16 program? I assume, you know, we have teacher
- 17 credentials in order to get into a classroom. It
- 18 can't be just about pay? Have you established, sort
- 19 of a criterion for who is or is not qualified, if
- 20 you're looking at a child care setting or a program.
- 21 Beyond a curriculum, we need some qualified folks, I
- 22 would assume, delivering that, here. So, what does a

0223meet.txt 1 qualified childcare worker look like?

2	DR. RAMEY: Let me give you a couple of
3	answers to that. One, when we began the Abecedarian
4	project, early childhood education meant K through 2.
5	So, we had to train our own teachers for young people
6	to teach younger children who recruited some people
7	who had college degrees and some who didn't. And we
8	gave them an intensive, pre-service, and inservice
9	training program. And we monitored their skills on a
10	daily basis. That's because we wanted a program that
11	had a chance of showing an affect. When it came time
12	to do the Infant, Health and Development Program, the
13	country had changed and there were enough people with
14	early childhood degrees, who specialized in the
15	preschool years. We were able to have people with
16	education degrees staff that. Most recently, we are
17	working in Louisiana, where under the leadership of
18	Senator Phil Jones, and Superintendent Cecil Picard,
19	and Governor Mike Foster, a universal pre-K program
20	has been created targeted for four year olds. And
21	it's run through the Department of Education. All

64

22

 ${\tt 0223meet.txt}\\ {\tt teachers~in~that~program~have~to~have~early~childhood}$

1	and: 6: and an and track an demand
1	certification, and teaching degrees. And in a recent
2	study of that system, we found that their classrooms
3	scored higher on the measures meant to indicate the
4	quality of that system than any group that's been
5	reported in the literature. There are a number of
6	surveys that have been done, the cost, quality, and
7	outcome surveys some stuff that Steve Barnett
8	here, has done, to show that in places where the
9	quality is low, that tends to be associated with very
10	low level staff credentials. So, in my dream, as I
11	look down the road 10 to 20 years, I see people
12	staffing these with career trajectories that begin
13	with a college degree. We couldn't get there
14	tomorrow if you had a \$100 billion dollars to invest,
15	because we have to get colleges and universities to
16	change. We have to prepare people differently than
17	we are preparing them now. And we have to create
18	that system that attracts people to career tracks
19	that have some chance of making a reasonable living.

우

20	MR. REINER: I would just add, also, when
21	it comes to quality providers, there's no substitute
22	for compensation. You also have to put forth some
	65
4	
1	kind of retention and compensation program that will
2	allow them to take this as a career path. It pays so
3	fewer dollars than even kindergarten teachers so,
4	arguably, if these teachers are as important or more
5	important to your children growing up, we have to pay
6	them on the same kind of pay scale that kindergarten
7	teachers get. You know we can share with you a
8	retention compensation program that we've done in
9	California that's produced thousands and thousands of
10	new quality childcare providers. But that's
11	something that you have to look into.
12	DR. RAMEY: I might just say, by the way,
13	since I mentioned that we paid our teachers in the
14	Abecedarian Project, and all other projects that I've
15	every directed, what teachers would be paid in the
16	public school system. In the Abecedarian Project, in
17	contrast, to the 40 percent turnover rate you know Page 72

18	what our turnover rate for teachers was? Zero.
19	Except for two that we fired.
20	MR. REINER: There are a lot of strategies
21	about how you can do that. I mean, right now, we've
22	got a universal preschool program in Los Angeles
	66
1	County that we're instituting now. In order to do
2	it, you've obviously got to create a lot more
3	qualified teachers, so we're toying with the idea of
4	forgiving college loans, if you can get college
5	students to agree to a three, four, five year
6	commitment. And that way, the turnover rate is big,
7	because that consistency is critical for a child,
8	especially in the formative years, to have some
9	consistent teacher. You want to be sure that you
10	have somebody who is going to be in the system for a
11	while, and there are incentives that you can do.

14 CHAIRMAN PATTON: I think we could

looking at those kinds of things.

12

13

Like retiring school loans, and such. And we're

15	0223meet.txt probably go on with this discussion for another half
16	hour or so, but we're going to have to terminate it.
17	Again, I think that we've gotten the message across
18	that this is an extremely important field, and there
19	are experts available. There are states that have
20	been successful. All of us could make improvements.
21	So again, Dr. Ramey and Rob Reiner, thank you all.
22	OPERATOR: Appl ause.
	67
1	CHAIRMAN PATTON: These winter meetings
2	are really intense, and all work, with the exception
3	of the banquet at the White House tonight. But this
4	summer we take it a little bit easier, and this
5	summer we are going to be hosted by Governor O'Bannon
6	in Indianapolis. Frank, do you want to give us a
7	little proviou? I think all of us get in the amount
	little preview? I think all of us get in the amount
8	that was formally required. Is that what the

10 OPERATOR: Laughter.

11 GOVERNOR O'BANNON: Be sure and go out and 12 get a picture taken with the Indianapolis 500 open

Page 74

wheel racer that's out in the hall. 13 Judy and I 14 certainly invite you to join us in Indianapolis from August 16th through 19th, as we have our annual 15 meeting there. I think that for the new governors, 16 17 it's one of those special times when you can bring 18 families and get together and also discuss the issues 19 that are important to all of us. It's been 72 years since we've had an NGA meeting in Indianapolis, I 20 21 mean, in Indiana. Which was not even in Indianapolis 22 We hope to give you the opportunity to at the time. 68 1 taste a little Indiana during our meeting, and we 2 know you'll leave with some of the great memories and 3 experiences that we all have, particularly when we 4 were in Boise last year. 5 Let me take just a moment to tell you just a little bit what we have planned, and you'll see 6 7 that it's a great opportunity for you and your 8 families to be with us, in Indianapolis. On Saturday

night, the governors will be celebrating a special

birthday at our new state museum, which is less than Page 75

우

9

11	one-year old. And it's a beauty. One of our famous
12	Hoosiers, Garfield, the cat, will be celebrating his
13	25th Birthday. His creator, Jim Davis, will be
14	joining us for dinner there. And certainly, we will
15	have some gifts for our governors' families.
16	Following our dinner, we will be entertained by the
17	Indiana August in a brand new amphitheatre that
18	overlooks the White River in downtown Indianapolis.
19	Next, to the museum area. On Sunday, we're going to
20	the Indianapolis zoo, where we will be greeted at the
21	front gates, by an elephant and a donkey.
22	OPERATOR: Laughter.
	69
1	GOVERNOR O'BANNON: If Jesse Ventura and
2	Angus King we'd still be trying to figure out another

animal. You know Indiana is quite a basketball 3 state. Our kids have grown up, as in many of your 4 states, to our Indiana Pacers. Even our elephants in 5 6 Indiana can dunk a basketball. You'll see that as 7 well as a dolphin show. And some of the best food

- 0223meet.txt 8 and following dinner, we will be doing some dancing 9 next to the lemurs. 10 On Monday, we will be going out to the 11 world famous Indianapolis Motor Speedway. So, 12 governors, really start your engines, because you're 13 going to have the opportunity to go around the track at some very amazing speeds in whatever kind of 14 15 vehicle that we can put together at that time. 16 have that excitement that will happen. 17 Be sure and mark your calendar for August 18 16th through the 19th. And we'll certainly roll out 19 our red carpet to you and show you our Hoosier 20 hospitality. June and I both look forward to hosting Thank you, Paul. 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Frank, it sounds like it 70 1 will be a very interesting, enjoyable and productive

- 2 We look forward to it. summer meeting.
- 3 earlier about a few wagers that I've had the pleasure
- 4 of making over the years, that I have (inaudible). I
- understand there was a wager made on the Fiesta Bowl. 5

6 Governor Taft, is there any truth to that at all? 7 OPERATOR: Laughter. CHAIRMAN PATTON: Tell us about it. 8 9 GOVERNOR TAFT: The day I've been waiting for is Saturday, January 24th is the day that has now 10 become one of the most important and memorable in 11 Ohio history. That was the day, of course, that many 12 of us watched the Fiesta Bowl. Possibly, the greatest 13 football game that probably we've ever seen, maybe 14 15 even the greatest in history. And obviously, the 16 greatest team won, since the Buckeyes prevailed over the Canes on that day. I brought along -- this is a 17 18 special edition. We're kind of nudging Columbus 19 about Ohio State. This is a special edition of the 20 Ohio State Buckeyes Champs. OSU stuns Miami to win 21 the title in two overtimes. Now, I'm not normally a 22 betting man, but the national championship was too 71

Ť

- great a temptation for me. So Governor Bush and I
- 2 made a little wager -- that the losing governor would
- 3 wear the jersey of the winning team here at NGA. Page 78

4	OPERATOR: Laughter.
5	GOVERNOR TAFT: So if Governor Bush would
6	now join me at the podium.
7	OPERATOR: Laughter and appl ause.
8	GOVERNOR TAFT: I want to commend Governor
9	Bush because he is a man of honor; he is a man of his
10	word. There were some of his staff members who were
11	trying to get the spotlight subdued on this event, by
12	having it at RGA, Republican Governors, instead of
13	here. But Governor Bush said no, we're going to
14	honor this commitment. So, at this time, I want to
15	present you with this special edition. I know you
16	want to show that off in your office, Governor Bush.
17	OPERATOR: Laughter.
18	GOVERNOR TAFT: And most importantly, here
19	is the Buckeye jersey for you to wear, right now.
20	OPERATOR: Laughter and appl ause.
21	GOVERNOR BUSH: To show how optimistic I
22	was

0223meet.txt OPERATOR: 1 Laughter. 2 GOVERNOR BUSH: You can just [give] this 3 to the four or five Miami fans that probably reside in Ohio. 4 5 OPERATOR: Laughter. 6 GOVERNOR BUSH: I quickly realized 7 something about football. Some of you may have 8 watched the game, and you saw that call. 9 OPERATOR: Laughter. 10 GOVERNOR BUSH: I made the mistake of 11 saying that that was a tough call. It look like 12 maybe it would go Miami's way. I got about 50 e-13 mails and phone calls inside my own state. And I 14 forgot how many people from Ohio live in Florida. So, you do win the Ohio game. Anyway, I'll wear this 15 16 with a great pride on behalf of all Floridians who have migrated from Ohio. 17 18 OPERATOR: Appl ause. 19 CHAIRMAN PATTON: They take this game that 20 was invented to previous basketball seasons pretty 21 seriously. Let me talk to you a little bit about a

O223meet.txt problem that I think that all states are facing. In

1	generally, it's the worst fiscal crisis since the
2	second world war. Over the past two fiscal years,
3	states have faced budget shortfalls of \$100 billion
4	dollars. We are now entering the third year of state
5	revenues inadequate to meet existing commitments.
6	States have already made substantial cuts in
7	expenditures. Some have raised taxes, and they've
8	spent down their reserves. So more spending cuts are
9	inevitable. Today, budget shortfalls across the
10	states are mounting. For the upcoming fiscal year,
11	states are collectively expected to face an
12	unprecedented \$82 billion dollar shortfall in
13	revenue, needed to maintain existing services.
14	Forty-nine of the fifty states have balanced budget
15	requirements, and we can't avoid the problem by
16	deficit spending. In the end of the day, states are
17	forced to confront difficult situations; that may
18	include painful cuts and vital services, laying off
19	employees, or increasing taxes to close these massive Page 81

shortfalls.

Irrespective of what actions are chosen, 21 the states fiscal crisis will be a major drag on the 22 national economic recovery. To provide the most 74 1 powerful stimulus to the economy, the federal 2 government should provide significant, immediate, 3 temporary fiscal relief to help states. 4 prevent economically, damaging tax increases and 5 program cuts that are being forced on the states. 6 These are actions on the state level would directly 7 offset much of the effect of any federal stimulus 8 package. This crisis is not about state budgets. 9 It's about the people. It's about providing 10 healthcare for our most vulnerable citizens. It's 11 about insuring public safety and security. It's 12 about guaranteeing that every child has access to a 13 quality education. And, it about creating an economy 14 that will provide good paying jobs for our people. 15 Last year, I was pleased to work in partnership with Republican Governor, then NGA Chairman, John Engler 16 17 of Michigan, to craft a bi-partisan plan, to deliver

Page 82

18	relief to the states. Joining with Republican
19	Senators Susan Collins and Democratic Senator Ben
20	Nelson, the NGA helped move a bi-partisan bill
21	through the Senate that will provide states with \$10
22	billion dollars in fiscal relief, and move our
	75
1	stimulus efforts in the right direction. Republicans
2	and democrats in this organization convinced the
3	Senate to pass the Collins-Nelson proposal with an
4	overwhelming 75 votes. Although we didn't prevail,
5	we gained much needed momentum for the coming year.
6	As our state fiscal situations have (inaudible
7	phrase) and I, along with many of you have continued
8	to advocate for fiscal assistance as the NGA's
9	hi ghest pri ori ty.
10	Together, we've not only convinced the
11	public that states are in dire need, but that fiscal
12	relief from the federal government is the key in any
13	effort to stimulate the economy. And of the
14	Republicans responding, many were not economists and

15 think tanks around the country, have not only echoed 16 our beliefs, they've championed them. These experts 17 have provided evidence and sound economic arguments. 18 In particular, that because states can not deficit 19 spend, in the short run, it will be very difficult to 20 get this economy going again, unless states are provided fiscal assistance in order to avoid 21 22 draconian cuts in spending and increased taxes.

76

On Friday, the Citizens for Long Term 1 2 Care, a coalition of consumer work on healthcare 3 advocates, including the AARP, that represents over 4 40 million Americans, called upon President Bush and 5 the Congress to provide at least \$20 billion dollars 6 for urgent state fiscal relief -- to help governors 7 prevent harmful tax increases, avert painful health 8 coverage cutbacks, and help boost the economy. 9 addition, the AFL-CIO has publicly acknowledged that 10 the lack of federal aid to the states will further 11 weaken the economy and prolong the economic downturn. 12 They've called on Congress and the administration to

13	include providing fiscal relief to states in any										
14	economic stimulus package. As our fiscal conditions										
15	have worsened and further depressed economic										
16	recovery, supporters in Congress on both sides of the										
17	aisle have grown.										
18	The Collins-Nelson Bill has been										
19	resurrected this session. Not only with additional										
20	bi-partisan co-sponsorship, but with an additional										
21	\$10 billion in assistance to the states. In another										
22	bi-partisan sign of support for the states,										
	77										
	77										
1	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic										
1 2											
	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic										
2	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill										
2	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill providing state and local governments with \$40										
2 3 4	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill providing state and local governments with \$40 billion dollars with fiscal relief. Congress has										
2 3 4 5	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill providing state and local governments with \$40 billion dollars with fiscal relief. Congress has begun to recognize the magnitude of the problem as										
2 3 4 5	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill providing state and local governments with \$40 billion dollars with fiscal relief. Congress has begun to recognize the magnitude of the problem as well as the potential benefit to the economy.										
2 3 4 5 6 7	Republican Senator (inaudible) Snowe, and Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer have introduced a bill providing state and local governments with \$40 billion dollars with fiscal relief. Congress has begun to recognize the magnitude of the problem as well as the potential benefit to the economy. Senator Baucus has proposed granting \$75 billion										

11 respectively for states.

- 12 These proposals include not only direct 13 assistance, but additional funds to meet our growing 14 homel and security transportation and other needs as 15 But again, this is not a partisan issue. our discussions with Congress over the past few 16 17 months, we've continued to gain supporters from both 18 sides of the aisle. We've come this far together 19 because we've stood together.
- 20 Over the next year, Republican and
 21 Democratic governors will build upon the momentum
 22 we've achieved. Our goal must be to do what's best

- 1 for the citizens of our states and ensure a full
- 2 return to a prosperous economy.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Before asking Governor
- 4 Kempthorne to introduce our next speaker, I want to
- 5 mention that Senator Conrad of North Dakota was to
- 6 speak this morning about providing fiscal relief for
- 7 the states. Unfortunately, Senator Conrad did inform

- 8 me, that he would not be able to attend this 9 morning's session. So, I'd like to introduce Senator 10 Kempthorne, who will introduce our speaker this 11 morni ng. Governor Kempthorne. I darned promoted 12 you, didn't I? I'm sorry about that. 13 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: That's alright. 14 Honored to be here. Mr. Truman, thank you very much. 15 Although my introductory remarks are of a very dear 16 friend, of myself and Patricia, Senator Larry Craig. 17 Senator Craig would want me to acknowledge that as we 18 watch this competition between Florida and Ohio, we 19 had some competition between Iowa and Idaho. 20 Governor Vilsack was very gracious when the lowa 21 Cyclones were not successful against the Boise State 22 University State Broncos at the Humanitarian Bowl. 79 1 We appreciated the gifts which I presented to the 2 coach and his wife. Thank you. You see how easy you
- 4 OPERATOR: Laughter.
- 5 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Ladi es and Page 87

우

3

got off.

6 gentleman, it is a real honor for me to introduce 7 Senator Larry Craig from Idaho. For six years, I had 8 the honor of serving with him in the United States 9 Senate before I voluntarily made the decision to run 10 for the office of Governor. He just completed his chairmanship of the Republican Policy Committee. 11 12 was recently elected to his third term in the United 13 States Committee. Among his committee assignments, 14 he served on the Appropriations Committee, and if --15 I will mention now just those areas where he has 16 jurisdiction. It shows you how it applies to so many 17 of the issues we're dealing with. He oversees 18 funding on the following sub-committees: energy and 19 water development, labor, health & human services, 20 education, military construction, V.A., HUD and 21 independent agencies, agriculture, rural development

Ť

22

80

1 Chairman of the Senate Special Committee on Aging,

and related agencies. He is the newly elected

- and a member of the Committee on Energy and Natural
- 3 Resources. Also, in a variety of other positions Page 88

- 4 that he holds in national organizations, he is on the
- 5 National Congressional Youth Board of Directors.
- 6 Senator Craig and I, along with Senator
- 7 Crapo, and Congressman Butch Hotter, and Congressman
- 8 Mike Simpson, were just in Idaho, were we awarded the
- 9 Congressional Youth Award to young Idaohoans
- 10 (phonetic). This is a program I would encourage all
- of you to look into. It's the only other medal,
- 12 besides the Congressional Medal of Honor, that
- 13 Congress allows to have it's name affixed to. We are
- 14 proud that Idaho has the most recipients currently
- among the 50 states. Larry, and his wife, Suzanne,
- 16 have three (3) wonderful children, and I think, of
- 17 all the titles that Senator Craig has had in his
- 18 life, right now the one that he enjoys most is
- 19 reflected -- he is grandfather to five (5) beautiful
- 20 grandchildren. Ladies and gentleman, a dynamic
- 21 leader in the United States Senate, and a good friend
- of the Governors of the United States, Senator Larry

1 Craig.

- 2 OPERATOR: Appl ause.
- 3 SENATOR CRAIG: Governor, Senator -- you
- 4 see I can still get away with saying that. Thank you
- 5 very much for that kind introduction. Mr. Chairman,
- 6 Governor Patton, to all of you, thank you for an
- 7 opportunity to come by and visit with you for a few
- 8 moments this morning.
- 9 I look around this membership of governors
- 10 and I see some colleagues that I once served with in
- 11 the House, and of course, my governor, in the Senate.
- 12 So, what I am about to talk to you about, is, to many
- 13 of you, not at all foreign, and certainly as it
- 14 relates to a state, federal relationship. One that
- 15 you are key to at this moment in time. Concerned
- 16 about what is going to have a great impact on all of
- 17 us, as we work our way through some difficult times.
- 18 I must tell you that Dirk and I have just come off
- 19 the President's Day Recess Circuit out in Idaho.
- 20 That means that you attend about 20 different Lincoln
- 21 day banquets, lunches and dinners, back to back,

- 1 little worn and drawn out, you'll understand why.
- 2 And, his bride, Patricia, was right there with him.
- 3 We went into those audiences with this message. I'm
- 4 talking about tax cuts and spending restraints and
- 5 stimulating the economy. And the audience is sitting
- 6 there smiling, and Dirk is talking about cuts and
- 7 potential tax increases, and difficult times, and the
- 8 audience is sitting there nodding. I tried to figure
- 9 that one out. Of course, that's the very reality
- 10 that we are all dealing with at this moment. You on
- 11 the home front, at the states --. I was once a state
- 12 legislator; I lived through good times and bad times
- as a state legislator. I will say, by spread of
- 14 revenue versus proposed expenditure. Not anywhere
- 15 near as great as that which you face today. At the
- same time, we're just coming off from a time here,
- when we had an unprecedented, and I think, a very
- 18 positive four years, of a balanced budget. The first
- 19 time since 1920. A brief time during the Eisenhower

우

20	Administration, and it was during that period of time
21	I was very proud of our Congress, for the way it
22	responded and the way it paid down some debt. And was
	83
1	looking at some tax relief until President Bush came
2	to town, and I was very pleased to support him in
3	that effort. So know we are in a much different
4	circumstance. The President has just brought his
5	budget to the Hill. It is a wartime budget. It has
6	a \$307 billion dollar deficit in it. And we're
7	struggling with that, at the same time. Knowing that
8	what we do can have more positive impact on the
9	economy to get it moving, to get investment moving.
10	To get people back to work, than certainly
11	collectively the states can. But the states can play
12	a role, and governor I think you've expressed that.
13	So, for a few moments let me talk as if I were Kent
14	Conrad. Only in this respect.
15	He was once the Chairman of the Budget
16	Committee, just the last few years. He is no longer
17	that chairman, as a result of Republicans gaining Page 92

18 control of the Senate in the last election. But if I were the budget Chairman, and I'm not, I would talk 19 20 to you somewhat like this. What I would say that 21 Kent couldn't say, was that this year we will produce 22 a budget. We will produce a budget resolution and we 84 1 will move the reconciliation process that wasn't done 2 a year ago. And of course, in the last month that 3 we've spent the time trying to get the remainder of 4 the '03 budget up. And what the other side, and I'll 5 try to be a little non-partisan here, couldn't 6 accomplish in 12 months, we got done in four (4) 7 On schedule, and under budget, we saved the 8 taxpayers of this country about \$10 billion dollars 9 of extra spending that was programmed or would have 10 been programmed into that budget. 11 At the same time, we offered some clearly 12 needed services. We addressed Medicare, and the slip

in payment that has gone to doctors in hospitals. We

adjusted the urban road financially, in the hospital

13

- 1 picture of where you were, at least with federal
- revenue, than you do today. 2
- 3 Having said all of that, we will produce a
- 4 We are at work doing that now. Don Nickles budget.
- 5 now chairs that committee. He is committed to
- 6 working with the President and the Congress to get
- 7 that budget out. We hope to have that out and a
- 8 conference report by April 15th. That's optimistic.
- 9 Extremely optimistic. In a bi-partisan sense, no
- 10 Democratic or Republican Congress has ever really
- 11 quite done that. But that's what the law prescribes.
- 12 But we will get there. And in doing so, we will Page 94

19 Inside the budget resolution, passed by
20 the Congress, it will then establish spending limits
21 of the upper limit and the whole parameters of the
22 budget process. Then it will direct those of us who

86

- 1 serve on the appropriations committee to get at the
- 2 business of shaping that budget and sorting those
- 3 dollars out. But key is reconciliation this year.
- 4 And the reason it is -- if you're interested in
- 5 Medicare reform and prescription drugs, and the
- 6 blending of Medicare and Medicaid, to some degree,
- 7 and a change in that formula, then encourage us to do
- 8 that because without that we won't get it done.
- 9 We'll be locked in the partisan battle on a pre-
- 10 presidential timeframe of the kind you saw a year Page 95

우

- ago. We understand that it is critical, and I think
 we will get that done. Certainly, those of us that
 work together in the House and the Senate understand
 that.
- 15 Remember, and I believe this very strongly, the President proposes and the Congress 16 17 di sposes. And in saying that, you have a budget committee and an appropriations committee on the 18 19 Senate si de. Now there's some other process on the 20 House side that's going to be working very closely 21 with this President this year to bring that budget 22 into place -- that has that kind of economic stimulus

- 1 package in it.
- We're going to bring those tax reforms and
- 3 tax cuts that we have proposed and spread out --
- 4 bring them current as we should. We think that's
- 5 going to be tremendously stimulative, and strangely
- 6 enough, I chairing the aging committee, did a series
- 7 of hearings over the last month that folks are

- 8 scratching their head and say why would you do
- 9 hearings on taxes, Senator, if you're chairman of the
- 10 aging committee.
- 11 Well, I did it on the dividend issue.
- 12 And, gee, here's what I found folks. Fifty-two
- percent of our seniors are the beneficiary of that.
- 14 And my colleagues on the other side said, well that's
- only for the rich. No, that's not quite true. In
- 16 this country today, when you get to be 65 years of
- 17 age, if you worked on a line job all of your life,
- were a member of a union and had good pay, you're
- 19 going to have about \$150,000 worth of stock and
- 20 dividend paying kind (phonetic) of stock when you
- 21 retire. Not only when it stimulate the economy from
- that standpoint of helping the elderly who are on
 - 1 fixed incomes, but the new modeling shows that it
 - 2 will reduce the overall cost of capital to corporate

- 3 America whose going to create those new jobs or
- 4 replace those jobs that you've missed in your state,
- 5 by anywhere from 10 to 25 percent. All of a sudden,

Page 97

6 those who look at what our President offered, who on first blush said, oh, it's only for the rich, are 7 8 backing off and looking at it again. And projecting 9 that it may the potential of being the greatest of 10 being the greatest stimulus piece of the total No just short term, but somewhat immediate. 11 package. 12 As a result of that, I think you'd rather 13 see a good many of us not only arguing the total 14 package that our President has offered, but that 15 component of it. I already see Chuck Grassley 16 changing his mind as the figures come in, and others 17 are now talking about it in a much more positive way 18 that they did at its initial effort. 19 What can we do for you? I would suggest to you that I will not support a concept like revenue 20 21 sharing or something like that. I mean, whose 22 revenue is it. Why we should we simply borrow and 89

- 1 give to you, in light of you having to make some
- 2 tough choices yourself. You're making them, I know
- 3 I don't play lightly to that. But we ought to that. Page 98

4	be	abl e	to	hel p	vou	i n	those	systemic	areas

- 5 Healthcare might be one of them. Medicaid might be
- 6 one of them. Areas that you found very, very
- 7 difficult to control. Largely because it's been
- 8 driven by forces outside of your control. There, I
- 9 think, if we do a reform of Medicare and prescription
- 10 drugs, and incorporate some of that, we might be able
- 11 to help you do that.
- 12 Total federal grants to state and local
- 13 government, in '02 were \$350 billion dollars, right
- 14 now. That's what states across this country are
- 15 getting from the largest of the general treasury of
- 16 this country. That's up 159 percent since 1990. So
- 17 Uncle Sam has not been a Scrooge to state
- 18 governments. A very big piece of the action in your
- 19 state comes from the federal largesse. Total federal
- 20 grants to state and local government were up 26
- 21 percent. And spending in '02, up from 24 percent.
- 22 And, I'm talking total state and local government.

- 1 Up from 24 percent in 2000; up from 21% in 1990. And
- 2 it's the highest level of support since the early
- 3 1980's. So, clearly, over the last decade, the
- 4 federal government has been coming into the state
- 5 environment increasingly so, with grants, and aid
- 6 directly. Some, obviously that the state legislators
- 7 and governors may not have all that much direction
- 8 over, but there is a piece of the total dollar being
- 9 spent out there -- a very large chunk of it.
- 10 Total federal grants to state and local
- 11 government were 17 percent of the federal budget in
- 12 '02. Sixteen percent in 2000; ten percent in 1990.
- 13 The reason I give you these figures; let's talk
- 14 reality folks. Our president, who's not a liberal,
- who's fiscally responsible, who's trying to fight a
- 16 war, and I think, a worthy war, and is spending a lot
- of money on homeland security, that will flow right
- 18 through to you and your agencies, brought us a \$307
- 19 billion dollar deficit budget. And I find it
- 20 interesting that the amount of money that we send out
- 21 to you is \$325 billion dollars. I guess if we

1	No, we're not going to do that; that's not
2	the way it's going to be. But what I'm trying to
3	suggest to you, that if you go to the Hill to argue
4	for a program that's simply going to pay out \$40 or
5	\$50 billions of dollars to states, beyond what's
6	currently going on, beyond the kind of reform that
7	will go on, in Medicare, in Medicaid, beyond the \$3.2
8	billion we've already additionally put in to
9	Medicaid, in just the last couple of months.
10	Think about it. Think about who you're
11	going to be talking to. And the tough choices I'm
12	going to have to make and we're going to have to make
13	as federal legislators. And the fact that just
14	across the street, there's a printing press. It's
15	not simply a green card to go. It's a reality that
16	money gets borrowed out of the economy and the debt
17	goes up and we're going to have a lot of critics out
18	there saying wait a moment, Congress. You've let the
19	deficit get out of control again.

우

20	You're also going to have an Alan
21	Greenspan that's going to be saying, and that's as
22	much an overall damper on the growth of an economy as
	92
1	anything we can do. Those are the realities,
2	certainly, that I'm going to face, and that you're
3	going to face as you visit with us about those kinds
4	of programs.
5	State government is the great incubator of
6	new ideas and change. You've proved it in welfare
7	reform. You've proved it in a lot of other areas.
8	l've always said, as a federal legislator, having
9	been a state legislator, that you lead and we follow.
10	And I mean that in the context of new ideas, creative
11	ideas. The kind of change that ought to come about
12	in healthcare.
13	Some of the things we can't do. We're
14	locked in, oftentimes. We're you're not. You can
15	take a much smaller package, see the reforms
16	immediately, adjust it accordingly, and as a result,
17	reflect a piece of the whole. We can pick those kind Page 102

18	of ideas	up	and	move	them	forward.	ļ	And,	that's
19	exactly,	I 1	thi nk	k, the	e oppo	ortuni ty	we	have	э.

- 20 So, let me suggest something else to you.
- 21 Because I look at where you've all gone. I recognize
- that the 1990's were awfully good to state

- 1 government. I recognize that many of you spent well
- 2 beyond inflation, and increase in population because
- 3 you had the revenue.
- We had the revenue here, we balanced the
- 5 budget. I'm a fiscal conservative. But I'll tell
- 6 you -- I spent a lot more money in the 90's, and in
- 7 the last five years than I thought I was. Because it
- 8 was there and it was easy to spend. While I was
- 9 chairman of the Republican Policy Committee, I
- oftentimes brought people to the Hill, to talk about
- 11 surplus politics versus deficit politics. And how to
- 12 change the thinking of Congress to recognize that
- just because you had a largesse, didn't mean that you
- 14 had to spend it. Well, you did and we did. That's

15	O223meet.txt not a criticism, that's an observation. And now, to
16	try to sustain those levels of spending at a time of
17	the kinds of declines of revenue that you had would
18	suggest that you've got some choices.
19	I'm certainly not here to tell you how to
20	make them. Only to conclude by this so that I can
21	respond to any questions you might have. The
22	politics of deficit are growing in Washington, D.C.
	94
1	None of us takes lightly the \$307 billion deficit
2	that our President has just proposed in his budget.
3	In fact, I'm the guy that's saying to people like Don
4	Nickles, and members of the budget committee, you've
5	got to come in under that and you've also got to
6	propose a budget that shows a progressive declining
7	deficit over the next several years if you're going
8	to get support of the kind you need.
9	You're also going to have to stimulate the
10	economy, and you're also going to have to fight a
11	war. You're going to have to reform Medicare. And

I'm the one that's doing all the hearings now on the

Page 104

13 reform of Social Security down the road. So, our 14 docket is full. And the choices are not easy ones. But, I will conclude with this. We provide for the 15 16 common defense. That is our first and foremost 17 responsibility. You are now in a city, your nation's capital has a responsibility to potentially carry out 18 19 a war in the very near future. For your security and For the safety of our children and clearly 20 for mine. 21 the safety of the world in the long term. I believe 22 that. A majority of Congress believes that. 95

- 1 President believes that. And I think the world
- 2 generally agrees with us. And it is in that
- investment that the first commitments lie, and why 3
- 4 all others are important. There will be a second or
- 5 third degree factor coming to them in the short term
- 6 as we resolve this issue. And as we bring our
- 7 budgets closer to control and deal with that, and
- 8 work with you to try to resolve some of your problems
- 9 And some of the impact of a flat economy, let us
- 10 begin to grow this economy. I know that your Page 105

11	situation and mine would be a great deal easier if
12	what we do here moves this economy back to its feet.
13	And your revenues begin to flow again. With that,
14	I'll be more than happy to respond to any questions
15	that you might have. Governor.
16	OPERATOR: Appl ause.
17	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Thank you. As we face
18	difficult choices, we realize that you and the
19	President do likewise. Let us take a few questions.
20	Bashful group, here. Governor Doyle. I'm sorry.
21	I'm getting a little lost. Governor?
22	GOVERNOR DOYLE: Thank you. I'm a new
	96
1	governor. And I think that many here who have joined
2	me in early January certainly understand what we face
3	when you talk about how we're going to have to make
4	cuts. I spent six weeks doing nothing but that. The
5	correction has been somewhere around the 20% range of
6	our budget. But I think it's pretty obvious to a new

7

governor that there are a couple of very major

- 8 federal programs that were largely federal
- 9 undertakings that now are falling on us heavier and
- 10 heavier. Our Medicaid budget is increasingly the
- 11 program for healthcare for senior citizens in this
- 12 country. No longer Medicare, but Medicaid. And the
- 13 states increasingly pick that up.
- 14 Our school systems are under enormous
- pressure because of rising special education costs in
- which the federal government, despite promises
- 17 decades ago, have not fulfilled. I certainly accept
- 18 your call that the states should do their part in
- 19 these difficult times. And I think we all are. But
- 20 I hope that there is some consideration being given
- 21 for the increased efforts that we are having to pick
- 22 up right now to fulfill basic federal obligations in

- 1 senior health care and special education.
- 2 SENATOR CRAIG: Governor, a very
- 3 appropriate question as it relates to IDEA and
- 4 Special Education requirements, and that mandate.
- 5 You're absolutely right. We're funding it at 17
 Page 107

6 percent. We should be funding it at the 40 percent 7 Our problem is the unwillingness of both promi sed. the federal and state folks to work cooperatively for 8 9 the reform of that. We now put \$32 billion into that 10 program, and that's at 70 percent of expenditure. And we've seen, if you will, the due process portion 11 of that drive cross beyond where they ought to be. 12 And, of course, school boards are committed to them 13 because it's a mandate. I'm willing to put more 14 15 money into that. I voted for an additional \$2.5 to 16 \$3 billion dollars a year into that program. At the 17 same time, I'm going to start speaking much more 18 loudly in the period of reform of IDEA -- that we 19 reform it, so that it is not an absolute open, 20 unchallenged uncapped spending program. Both state,

9

21

22

that.

1 least capped the due process clause per child. We

local and federal. I think we have to deal with

When we capped it here in the District, or at

- 2 brought those costs down from \$9,000 to \$3,000
- 3 dollars, and that was just the legal bill. So, Page 108

4	that'	S	a	factor.	ı	shoul	dn'	t	say	per	chi	ld,	but	per
---	-------	---	---	---------	---	-------	-----	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

- 5 case, carried through the due process provision.
- 6 We can and will work with you on Medicare.
- 7 Dual eligibility, Medicare, Medicaid is something
- 8 that we ought to be looking at. We will do a
- 9 prescription drug bill this year. We're going to put
- anywhere from 30 to 40 billion dollars in the budget.
- 11 It was in there a year ago for that purpose. That
- 12 will be helpful. It's not going to solve the problem,
- 13 but it will be helpful in bringing down some of those
- 14 costs you talk about, or at least your share of them.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Other questions?
- 16 GOVERNOR JOHANNS: Governor Johanns from
- 17 Nebraska. Let me just start out and say, I, for one,
- 18 appreciate your honesty. I think you've approached
- 19 this very, very directly, and I can kind of
- 20 understand why you got elected in your state. I
- 21 think in some respects, you've got it about right.
- 22 Spending in the 1990's did increase dramatically, so

- 1 in just a decade, our budget doubled in the State of
- 2 Nebraska. The year before I became governor, it
- 3 increased 15.5 percent, very clearly. I think you
- 4 can see that's not sustainable, even in tremendous,
- 5 economic times. And I suspect, that we're not
- 6 unique. The 1990's were a great time. There's a lot
- of money laying around, a lot of new programs.
- 8 would also suggest that your thought about a massive
- 9 bailout -- We should be careful what we wish for.
- 10 All that's going to do is create one enormous cliff
- 11 to fall off of. Twelve months, 24 months from now.
- 12 I look back at the welfare reform days when Governor
- 13 Engler, and then Governor Bush, and Governor Thompson
- 14 Led that effort. And I think they had a profound
- 15 impact. Their impact was not to go to Washington,
- 16 and say shovel more money at us. Their impact was to
- say, give us greater ability to manage our programs
- 18 at the local level. I certainly get the impression
- 19 that this administration is reaching out to governors
- 20 to say let's try to do that in some other areas.
- Now, as we all know, this is somewhat uncharted

waters. But that's where I think we can have the

- 1 greatest impact, at the state level. On a long term
- 2 permanent basis. So we're not falling off that cliff,
- 3 12 and 24 months from now. Flexibility does make a
- 4 heck of a difference in how we manage our programs,
- 5 and so, I guess what I would like to ask you is, what
- 6 chance do you see for greater flexibility.
- Number two, needless to say, the
- 8 prescription drug benefit would be a dramatic help to
- 9 our citizens. May have some positive impact on dual
- 10 eligibles. Those individuals that receive both
- 11 Medicaid and Medicare. What chances do you see of
- 12 support growing for that? Because those kinds of
- 13 things, I think, can have a direct positive impact
- over a long term over state budget issues.
- 15 SENATOR CRAIG: Governor, I agree with
- 16 you. There is no doubt that when we send out federal
- 17 programs, we oftentimes straight-jacket all of you
- into performing a certain way. It may or may not fit
- 19 you, but it's quite typical of an attitude here that

우

20	we somehow know better than you than you as to how a
21	program ought to be run and administered. So,
22	there's been substantial resistance over the years
	101
1	and to giving that type of flexibility, more and more
2	of that is coming. You've got a president that's
3	certainly arguing that. And I support him in that
4	argument. There are ways that we can help you, so it
5	gives you some running room and chances to make
6	decisions that I think would be most helpful. Show
7	us where your greater problems are. Show us where
8	you need that flexibility. Come work with us on
9	that. I'll be the first at the table to sit down at
10	the table with you as I'm sure my colleagues would,
11	to help solve some of those problems that don't drive
12	those costs or allow you that. You're also right.
13	We did the same thing here in Washington, as it
14	related to spending. But there was a phenomenon in
15	the decade of the 90's that you ought to record
16	because this probably has never happened before.
17	State government budgets from '90 to '03 during that Page 112

18	period of time increased by 88 percent. Federal
19	budgets only grew by 70%. I think that's probably
20	the first time in our nation's history that actually
21	state government was growing faster than the overall
22	federal government. So, the reality is here folks.
	102
1	The figures don't lie. Now the choices have to be
2	made.
3	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Governor Musgrove.
4	GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: Thank you, Mr.
5	Chairman. Senator Craig, without getting into the
6	debate, as you just said about what happened in the
7	90's. I think that all of us as governors are
8	concerned about where we are now. Because if we're
9	in the situation of reforming our budgets and
10	reducing our costs, when we're exponentially hurt, or
11	it's made more difficult if the increased obligations
12	from Washington flow our way. So, it is at a time
13	where you have counterproductive actions. For

instance, last year, "No Child Left Behind" that all

14

of the support in the emphasis of education has now
what appears to be unfunded costs coming to the
states. That is, regardless of what happened to the
budgets in the 90's. Now we're seeing increased
costs coming back to the states. The way I believe
would come to you and come to Congress, and ask, for
the flexibility, recognizing and believing that we

103

1 flexibility, with increased obligations, really

can be laboratories, but at the same time,

- 2 hamstrings our ability to be able to deliver budgets
- 3 back home. Because, as you know, I guess, with every
- 4 one of us, with the exception of Vermont, we have to
- 5 balance our budgets. At the same time, I think this
- 6 is an effort where we ought to work very
- 7 cooperatively, very closely together, and recognize
- 8 our responsibility and flexibility, but simply
- 9 request of you in an effort to curb federal spending,
- don't shift that to us and cause us to have to pick
- 11 up extra obligations.

22

12 SENATOR CRAIG: It's well put, and I won't
Page 114

13	add to it. Clearly, we recognize that some of the
14	things that we have done for all the right reasons
15	need some adjustment along the way. You've got a
16	Congress that still remains very committed to "No
17	Child Left Behind." And, the measurement of growth
18	and excellence in education. And, yes, there are
19	costs involved. We've just hired on a former
20	secretary of education. He's now a senator. I
21	always laugh and say, you can't believe it, but even
22	here, we have a committee on committees. And I
	104
1	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new
1	
	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new
2	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new committee structure, and we put Lamar on the
2	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new committee structure, and we put Lamar on the education committee. And we waived a Senate
2 3 4	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new committee structure, and we put Lamar on the education committee. And we waived a Senate regulation for that purpose to focus on this along
2 3 4 5	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new committee structure, and we put Lamar on the education committee. And we waived a Senate regulation for that purpose to focus on this along with the chairman of that committee, and our
2 3 4 5	cheered that in the Senate this year shaping the new committee structure, and we put Lamar on the education committee. And we waived a Senate regulation for that purpose to focus on this along with the chairman of that committee, and our president and secretary.

10 education. To continue to assure that we have that Page 115 $\,$

Ť

11 standard of excellence, that test or measurement of Reward the good, and if necessary, 12 excel I ence. 13 penalize those who aren't doing it. So clearly, we 14 do lift all. That sentiment is very much there. 15 recognize the out year impact and I think that's one of those priority areas that we will have to deal 16 17 with. 18 GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: By way of specific and 19 example, and I'll close with this. All of us believe 20 we must work together to make the reforms in health 21 care, obviously. And a greater degree of 22 flexibility. However, if all of the proposals deal 105 1 with next year and the year after, the states are in a very difficult crunch right now. And I believe an 2 3 opportunity to be able to help the States in the short term, giving us a chance to make those flexible 4 difficult decisions that you talk about. We'd, in 5

6

7

conjunction, work together with you all to make that

available, so I would trust that you all would look

8 at that very short term. View to the states as we 9 look to the flexibility in making difficult decisions 10 for our people. 11 SENATOR CRAIG: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN PATTON: I'd like Governor Kempthorne to ask the last question, and close out 13 this part of the discussion. I know there lots of 14 more questions we could ask, but I don't want to 15 16 impose on the governor. 17 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Larry, I would just 18 like to ask you. This is the wrap up question. 19 we've been talking about dual eligibility -- the 20 Medicare, Medicaid. You have referenced it in your 21 comments. We've talked about prescription drugs. 22 know that there have been different programs that 106 1 have been offered by both parties. But they have not 2 moved forward. Our president has called for a 3 prescription drug program, he's called for reform of 4 Medi cai d. Do you believe this year, there is a high

probability that Congress can coalesce and that we
Page 117

우

5

- 6 will see success in this area? I'll add that
- 7 Secretary Tommy Thompson has said that we are going
- 8 to offer great flexibility to the states. So what's
- 9 the likelihood and what is the role of governors in
- 10 making this happen.
- 11 SENATOR CRAIG: I believe that we can pass
- 12 a prescription drug, Medicare reform package this
- 13 year. I say this because most of the heavy lifting
- 14 was done last year. You saw a variety of packages
- 15 out there. The House passed a version. The Senate
- 16 ultimately got to the edge of that, but the politics
- 17 of congressional elections got in our way. There is,
- on the Senate side, we call it tri-partisan package,
- 19 that probably has the greatest approach, or the
- 20 greatest support behind it. We've worked very
- 21 closely with the President on it. My reference to
- 22 reconciliation vehicle again. Because of the

- 1 political nature of this, there are at least thirty
- 2 (30) votes for a universal prescription drug program.
- 3 Federal government pays all. But that's not going to Page 118

9

4	happen.	They' re	goi ng	to	put	i n	а	deducti bl e	l evel;

- 5 we're going to put in a premium to be paid. It will
- 6 be small, and it may be means tested. I would vote
- 7 for that in a moment. To be able to focus on our
- 8 truly neediest. But doing so, there will be upper
- 9 limits. And I think that ultimately, we will get
- 10 there this year. And, certainly, you're help would
- 11 be to reflect to us the kind of impact that would
- 12 have, on not only state budgets, but the citizens of
- the states themselves. That's something, I think, we
- 14 can accomplish and we will work overtime to get it
- done. We have promised the President to try to have
- 16 it on his desk by late summer. I think we can get it
- 17 done. Thank you all.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Thank you very much.
- 19 OPERATOR: Appl ause.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PATTON: We're going to move into
- 21 an executive committee meeting.
- 22 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Thank you very much,

\$ 0223meet.txt We all know that this month we had a 1 Mr. Chairman. 2 tragedy when we lost the Columbia and the seven brave 3 astronauts that were aboard the Columbia. 4 at the National Science Center, which is a non-profit 5 organization --. The CEO is Joe Edwards, a former 6 astronaut. He has flown shuttle missions. He is a 7 graduate of the U.S. Naval Academy; he's a top gun 8 graduate, but this is a fund that has been set up for 9 the families, the spouses and children of the crew 10 members of Columbia. Just outside these doors, you'll see, next to the Harley Davidson Motor 11 12 Company, and for the Harley Davidson dealership in 13 San Diego. We're asking all governors that would 14 like to participate to sign that anniversary edition 15 Harley-Davidson. I want to thank Wayne Curtin who is 16 the manager of governmental affairs for the Harley-Davidson Motor Company. 17 And from New York, Mike 18 Shelby, the owner of the San Diego dealership. And 19 for Fuzzy Timms, who was the service manager who 20 brought this bike. What we will do is, after this has been signed by America's mayors, and we will ask 21

- will find the appropriate forum. And perhaps, it may 1
- be Ebay, where we will simply auction this. And all 2
- 3 the profits will go to the National Science Center
- Families Fund. So it's a very worthy opportunity for 4
- 5 us to just say to those seven families, we will
- always remember their lost loved ones and we will 6
- 7 always remember them as heroes. This allows the
- 8 National Governors to send a very strong message.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Thank you, Dirk. I'm
- 11 sure we'd all appreciate the opportunity to
- 12 participate in that. With that, we'll now go into
- the executive committee meeting. I would urge all 13
- 14 governors to attend. The executive committee
- 15 consists of nine people, myself, Governor Kempthorne,
- 16 Governor Huckabee of Arkansas, Governor Rowl and of
- 17 Connecticut, Governor Minner of Delaware, Governor
- 18 Bush of Florida, Governor Vilsack of Iowa, Governor
- 19 Musgrove of Mississippi, and Governor Levitt of Utah.

With that, may I have a motion and second to approve

20

17

21 the minutes of the November 15th, 2002 Executive 22 Committee Meeting. 110 1 OPERATOR: Voices (so moved). 2 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Mr. Chairman, I move 3 adopti on. 4 GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: Mr. Chairman, I move 5 adopti on. 6 GOVERNOR PATTON: All in favor, say oye. 7 OPERATOR: Say oye. Course of oyes. GOVERNOR PATTON: Let us start with the 8 approval of the Executive Committee Policy Proposals 9 10 beginning with new EC-5 Policy, Homeland Security 11 Comprehensive Policy. May I have a motion that is 12 second? 13 GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Mr. Chairman, if I 14 may I would move and block these policies unless 15 there is a request from a governor to have an item 16 withheld from that block.

CHAIRMAN PATTON:

Page 122

Okay, we have a motion

18	to consider several policies and block. That would
19	be the EC-5, Homeland Security Comprehensive Policy.
20	Governor Rowl and?
21	GOVERNOR ROWLAND: I'd make a motion that
22	we'd table EC-7. I would support the remaining
	111
1	policies.
•	por rei es.
2	CHAIRMAN PATTON: The motion is to table
3	EC-7, Federal Fiscal Assistance for States. That's a
4	non-debatable motion. We've never taken a roll call
5	vote, but is there a second? I'm sure there's a
6	second.
7	OPERATOR: Voi ces (second).
8	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Will someone call the
9	role here? Is that what we're going to do? Ray, do
10	you have the roll call?
11	MR. SCHEPPACH: The vote is to table this
12	motion.
13	GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Ove.

GOVERNOR HUCKABEE: Oye.

14

15	O223meet.txt GOVERNOR BUSH: Oye.
16	GOVERNOR ROWLAND: Oye.
17	GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Oye.
18	GOVERNOR PATTON: No.
19	GOVERNOR VI LSACK: No.
20	GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: No.
21	GOVERNOR MI NNER: No.
22	MR. SCHEPPACH: Which two did file for it?
	112
1	CHAIRMAN PATTON: The motion is to
2	consider the rest of the policies in block. Is there
3	discussion on any of the other policies.
4	GOVERNOR VILSACK: Just a question,
5	Governor Patton. We will pass these policies on and
6	they will become part of what we discuss on Tuesday,
7	is that correct? Is that what we're doing, here?
8	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Yes. This is to bring
9	them to the full body on Tuesday.
10	GOVERNOR VILSACK: And those return, not
11	included, including the one that was just tabled.
12	They aren't going to be able to discuss that or can Page 124

우

13	discuss it on Tuesday. How does this work?
14	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Let's discuss it in
15	governors only. I'm not the parliamentarian here, so
16	we'll figure out what happens on Tuesday, later on.
17	Any discussion on the policies under consideration.
18	OPERATOR: No response.
19	CHAIRMAN PATTON: If not, all in favor of
20	considering them and passing them on in blocks. Say
21	0ye.
22	OPERATOR: Course of Oyes.
	113
1	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Opposed.
1	CHAIRMAN PATTON: Opposed. OPERATOR: No response.
2	OPERATOR: No response.
2	OPERATOR: No response. CHAIRMAN PATTON: I guess not. It looks
2 3 4	OPERATOR: No response. CHAIRMAN PATTON: I guess not. It looks to me like Governor Kempthorne's going to give us a
2 3 4 5	OPERATOR: No response. CHAIRMAN PATTON: I guess not. It looks to me like Governor Kempthorne's going to give us a financial update.
2 3 4 5	OPERATOR: No response. CHAIRMAN PATTON: I guess not. It looks to me like Governor Kempthorne's going to give us a financial update. GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Mr. Chairman, thank
2 3 4 5 6 7	OPERATOR: No response. CHAIRMAN PATTON: I guess not. It looks to me like Governor Kempthorne's going to give us a financial update. GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. Fellow Governors, NGA's financial

우

surplus, with total revenues slightly under budget at

financial statements show a small operating fund

49 percent. Total expenses at 48 percent. 13 14 the Center Endowment Funds have experienced declines 15 in net market values for the past six months. 16 performed well against a benched mark indexes. 17 Center's temporarily restricted contributions from 18 Foundations are running ahead of budget. (Inaudible) 19 restrictive bad assets can be used for future summer 20 activities. NGA's operations are financed by state 21 dues and the list of current outstanding dues is 22 found on page 18. The number of vacant NGA staff

114

- 1 positions have been held pending collection of state
- dues payment. That would complete the report, Mr.
- 3 Chairman.

11

12

- 4 CHAIRMAN PATTON: Thank you, Governor
- 5 Kempthorne. Before we adjourn, let me remind you of
- 6 a few items. The governors only luncheon and work
- 7 session will begin at 12:00 o'clock, in Saloon 1 on

8	0223meet.txt this level. The committee sessions will begin at
9	2:30 at various other rooms on this level. Tonight
10	we have the dinner at the White House. I remind you
11	that each governor is to provide their own
12	transportation. Monday, we will have the meeting
13	with the President at the White House. The buses
14	will leave for that at 8:45 sharp. Let's try to be
15	ready to go and stay on schedule for the White House.
16	With that this session is adjourned. Thank you. See
17	you tomorrow in plenary session.
18	OPERATOR: Adjourned at 11:40 a.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	

ORIGINAL

NATIONAL GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION

WINTER MEETING

PLENARY SESSION

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

J.W. Marriott Hotel

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Grand Ballroom

Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, February 25, 2003

9:45 a.m.

The plenary session commenced, pursuant to notice, at J.W. Marriott Hotel, Grand Ballroom, on Tuesday, February 25, 2003, in Washington, D.C., at 9:45 a.m., Governor Paul E. Patton, Chairman, presiding.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PROCEEDINGS

(9:45 a.m.)

GOVERNOR PATTON (Presiding): morning, welcome to the final session of the 2003 Winter Meeting of the National Governors' Association. We'll get directly to our program which includes a bipartisan leadership of the Congressional Congress in both Houses, the Senate and the House both parties. On our panel this morning, we're going directly to the program and I would ask Governor Bredesen of Tennessee to come to the podium and introduce our first presenter. Governor Bredesen? GOVERNOR BREDESEN: Thank you. Before I formally introduce Senator Frist, I want to recognize that he had a special occasion this past weekend. He celebrated his 51st birthday at his alma mater, Princeton University and got the Alumni Association honored him with the Woodrow Wilson award for distinguished service to our nation. congratulations, Senator for that.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

I believe that on my

410-684-2550

Nationwide Coverage 202-347-3700 800-336-6646

GOVERNOR BREDESEN:

(Applause.)

51st birthday I got a tie and a card, so good for
you. I think most of you know the Senator's story.
Before politics, he had a very successful career as a
heart surgeon working to heal the sick. He now has a
second job as Senator and now Majority Leader,
working to keep our national healthy. I take this
moment just to hope that he remembers, as he
considers all these budget issues that we have as
states where he'll play a major role obviously that
his instrument of choice as a surgeon was not an ax;
it was scalpel and that occasionally a respirator was
needed more than surgery.

I would say to all of you that obviously the Senator has a partisan job here in Washington now. His reputation in Tennessee is very strongly bipartisan, a great willingness to work with both sides and working for the good of our state and I'm sure that will carry over working for the good of our nation.

It's my great honor and pleasure to introduce a friend, a man I respect very, very much, Senate Majority Leader Bill First from the Great

1 State of Tennessee.

(Applause.)

SENATOR FRIST: Bill, thank you. It is indeed an honor for me to be with you today and to share some of the thoughts from the perspective of the new majority leader of the United States Senate in terms of what I see our agenda being and the possibility of opportunities we have to work together on the issues which I know are important to you as governors and clearly important to us as legislators and clearly important to us as legislators at the federal level.

As Phil mentioned, it wasn't that long ago that I came into politics in 1994. That was a year that many new and outstanding public servants were elected at the federal level, but more importantly at the state level and all across America.

As I was coming over from the Capitol a few minutes ago, up the steps was Tom Carper, and it reminded me that it wasn't that long ago in fact in 1994 that he was elected Governor of Delaware and now sits at my side to walk in and have Dirk Kempthorne

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

be sitting next to me, where we were sitting very close to one another not that long ago in the United States Senate does remind me in an institutional corporate way of the strong ties between the United States Senate and those of you who are in the room today.

I do think as we look back to 1994, there was a very special class of governors elected at that time, not so much by the caliber of individuals but what they did accomplish is working to reduce taxes on working families, reducing crime in a very aggressive of way, of improving education by making our schools more accountable and helping to create from those early years, not that long ago. A long period of sustained economic growth but a period that we are being challenged by today and that's been the focus of much of your discussion over the last several days.

As I look back in the 1990s, I do think that there was an increasing realization that the states are the real working laboratories, not just for the ideas but for solutions. In the United

12281.ALL DAV/aeh

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

States Senate, as we march through the next two years, I look forward to listening very carefully to you and to your staff and to what you have learned to the needs that you identified, to the solutions that you propose.

There are a number of challenges that you've heard about from the federal level that we're addressing both on the floor of the United States Senate today and ore the next several weeks, which you've talked about over the last couple of days. We have the whole issue of the on-going war on terrorism, we have the issue of the jobless economic recovery, we have the issue which is so much the focus of your discussions and ours. That's is the challenges of budgets that are being strained to where it is a challenge even to me to our basic responsibilities. How you address those, how we address those, how we interact one with the other will be important not only to our time and to the people that we represent but we're pretty much define the United States of America in the decade and indeed over much of the century ahead of us.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me focus my remarks in three areas that you've touched upon but three areas that we will approach very directly ore the coming weeks and months in the United States Senate. First, Welfare; second the issue of bioterroism and first response, and third touching upon what you discussed a great deal yesterday in terms of health care so I won't go through that again, but hopefully compliment with my remarks what you began to address yesterday. As I do that, I want to continue to reach out and the reason why I'm so privileged or feel privileged to be with you today is to be able to tell you that we need you as we address each of these three issues as well as the many other issues that come before us.

Welfare. I mentioned I came to public service in 1994. In 1996 we had the Welfare Law.

Caseloads have dropped. Child poverty has declined dramatically. Millions of Americans have been empowered in ways that up until that time we had not seen, where we really do focus on moving from this dependency of welfare to the dignity of work.

The program has been extended temporarily

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	through June. The House has passed a bill, the
2	United States Senate will be addressing welfare
3	modernization and welfare reform in its
4	Reauthorization Act in the next several months. It's
5	a high priority for congress. It will go through our
6	Finance Committee, it is beginning that march through
7	the Finance Committee now. I think there are several
8	things that you can expect, although the exact
9	details have not been determined.
10	First our bill will continue the current
11	level of funding for the TANF block grant. That's
12	the right policy, even though I know caseloads have

Second, we'll strengthen work requirements, how we straighten work requirements and what those specific work requirements are we need to listen to you. We shall listen to you as we go forward. Our goal is not to make it more difficult but easier for people to move from welfare to work.

dropped dramatically, we will be giving states more

flexibility in our version to spend that money.

Third, the President has proposed very specific initiatives to encourage healthy marriages

and strong families. That's critical to the well being of our children, the future of our country, and I hope and expect that we will be able to include those initiatives in our Welfare Reauthorization as well.

Fourth, continuing the strong partnership between the federal government and the states is absolutely critical to the future success of welfare reform. That once again understates the underpinning of a successful welfare bill and that is us listening and working with you, so you can express the realities of what has occurred on the ground.

To those of us here in the United States

Senate, there is no higher priority for government at

all levels than protecting the American people.

Let me just briefly comment on the issue surrounding terrorism and bioterrorism. It wasn't that long ago in October 2001 that the Anthrax attacks were carried out here around the country; Florida, Washington, D.C., New Jersey, New York and in the Senate Office Buildings. Most of you know that the postal service really came to a screeching halt.

The Hart Building, which is the largest Senate office building, was closed for months. The panic and paralyse we all felt and indeed those terrorist attacks and indeed we don't know who the perpetrator is. We don't know whether it was a single terrorist or a group of terrorists but it was a deadly attack affecting 21, killing five, but leaving thousands and thousands in the neighborhood year, but really around the country who are placed on antibiotic treatment.

Terrorists know that your communities remain not unprepared but still under prepared today. There's a clear federal role, a federal role that the President and Secretary Thompson and Governor Ridge have led on. There's a state role and there's a role and there's a role and there's a role in the states most dramatically influences and that is how well we are prepared at the local level. How well-equipped individual families are to respond, and first responders are to reach out and answer those calls that we know must be made and will be made in order to protect our individual citizens.

Our public health system for all too long

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

and I point the finger principally at our federal government has been under funded. It was under funded for a period of about 20 years, which means that we have a lot of catching up to do, which does require a substantial investment. All of you are feeling that investment today, or the necessity for that investment. There's a federal responsibility there and a strong state and local responsibility. The fact that before September 11th, that nine out of ten public health departments did not have staff in bioterrorism that one third of public health departments serving communities serving 25,000 or fewer had no Internet access.

Where we know that rapid communication is absolutely critical to be well-prepared and to respond to a bioterrorist attack is really inexcusable. It was unacceptable. The federal government has taken initiatives both in terms of funding and in terms of legislation. The money from the first tranche has been released from the federal government. We passed an appropriate bill that was signed by the President last Thursday that will

further accelerate that funding.

I recognize that it takes a huge investment to rebuild, not just sustain, but rebuild the public health infrastructure, which is our first line of response.

The third issue that I'd like to just touch upon is one that relates to the most common question and I receive, and that is what is your greatest challenge as Majority Leader of the United States Senate? My answer is to compel the Congress to stretch its horizons beyond just two years or just beyond four years so that we can correct what is an inevitable imbalance between our policies today and the aging of our population which is unprecedented.

The baby boom after World War II is traveling through our system. The first baby boomers will hit 65 in about seven years. With that it becomes a title wave. It's an intimate tidal wave. It's powerful, it's long lasting, it does not go away and with the doubling of the number of seniors over the next 30 years and with a work force that will be diminished from four workers today supporting each of

those seniors to around 2.3 workers means that we have a huge challenge at the federal which will inevitably will affect you at the state level over the next 10, 20, and 30 years. It can be most dramatically viewed as we look at Medicare.

A 38-year-old entitlement program that centers on health care security for our seniors and our individuals with disabilities. As most of you know, Medicare is a wonderful program and as a physician, I have had the blessing to take care of thousands and thousands of Medicare patients. It's a wonderful program that has provided health security on the catastrophic cost protection to seniors over the years, but it is outdated. It's a 1965 version.

At the same time that we've had Medicare, which over time has been modified and changed every few years, we've had health care delivery mechanisms radically change to the better. We've had more integrated care, we've had huge advances in terms of science in medicine, many of which have not be adapted or assimilated into our Medicare program. So we do need to take the opportunity now and I

recognize that we have a war on terrorism that is ongoing. We have possible military action in Iraq if

But at the same time, we have this huge challenge before us with effects, just not all of us in the room, but the next generation and our children as this demographic tidal wave begins to hit. A lot of the issues that I hope to address will settle around our addressing the basic entitlements because with the shifting demographics, it will take increased funding over time. That increased funding will challenge the very necessary investments that we must make in infrastructure, whether it's public health whether it's education or in our Medicare system.

Yesterday you talked a lot about Medicaid.

I want go back into those discussions specifically,
other than I do serve on the Finance Committee and
look forward to working with you as we shape the
Medicaid proposal that's been put on the table by the
President of the United States. I mentioned to
Governor Bredesen coming in that I looked forward to

working with him.

In Tennessee, we have some very specific problems, very similar to the challenge that you have in your health care program, both in the financing but also in the deliveries so that we can fulfill the obligations that we have to those people who are on our Medicaid roles today, and who deserve an element of health care security that heretofore we've been able to give but now is being challenged by the budget realities that all of you see each and every day.

There's a specific overlap between the Medicaid and the Medicare, the dual eligibles. The dual eligibles give us a great opportunity as they are addressed at the federal level. Again, in conversation with you, the dual eligible is a group of somewhere around 14 to 15 million people that we have the opportunity, as we look at new benefits like prescription drugs, to be of tremendous assistance to you.

I believe and John Breaux may even have mentioned yesterday, because he and I have been

working on this aggressively, that it's important that we modernize Medicare. We have to modernize Medicare if we're going to continue with the benefits that our seniors deserve. As a part of that, we will include prescription drugs. I will continue to push to do that in an integrated fashion and as we do that it gives us an opportunity to look at the fastest growing part of your Medicaid budget that is prescription drugs in your Medicaid budgets.

The part of that budget that goes to prescription drugs alone doubled. Over the last year, that growth will continue as we project into the future and that opportunity of addressing prescription drugs, especially in that dual eligible population is one that I look forward to working with you on as we address modernization of our Medicare program.

Public service involves big ideas and it should involve big ideas and the largest challenge that we all have as we struggle to address the issues that approach us day in and day out of getting by the next several months in terms of these budgets is to

2.1

continue to remind ourselves why most of us entered public service. The realization that none of us can do this alone is one that we all have and it's been reflected in many of your conversations with others ore the last several days here.

As the Majority Leader of the United

States Senate, I look forward to working with all of
you in a bipartisan way, recognizing that we have to
deal with the short-term issues but at the same time
we have to address those short and mid-term issues in
this larger framework of this aging population,
federal entitlements that are going to be consuming a
larger part of the federal budget which really pulls
funds out of other important areas that can be of
even more direct benefit to you as Governors.

That's the challenge that we have over the next several years.

I think I will stop with that. I do want to thank you once again for the opportunity to be with you and would be happy to take questions if we have a few minutes to do that.

GOVERNOR PATTON: Thank you, Senator

Frist,	we	real	ГТХ	appr	eciat	te	it.	I'	d	lıke	Gov	ernor
Kemptho	rne	to	mor	nitor	the	qu	estic	on	an	d an	swer	
session		Gove	erno	or?								

and let me first say however you can see the quality of the man in the majority leader. Not only does Bill Frist bring tremendous intellect but he brings the compassion of a physician and you can see that he is the utmost gentleman. Having served with this man, I can tell you he's respected on both sides of the aisle and this is someone who can bring people together and we have a good partner in the Majority Leader here.

With that, let me open this up. Governor Granholm.

GOVERNOR GRANHOLM: Thank you, Senator

Frist. I have two questions. One is the comets

about Medicare overhaul and the dual eligibles. We

in this organization have taken a position with

respect to dual eligibles and the prescription drug

benefit that is needed immediately. If however, that

position or that emphasis is linked to the overhaul

refo	orm (ρf	Medica	are	dire	ectly,	Ι	worry	that	we	will	not
see	the	b∈	enefit	of	the	presc	ríp	ption	drug	bene	efit	of
dua]	l el:	iqi	bles.									

The context of your remarks seems to indicate that in the overall reform, is that going to be a sweetener in order to get the overall reform or can we see a dual eligible package with prescription drugs this go round.

SENATOR FRIST: The issue really gets at the heart of the political challenges that we have as we move forward. Overall modernization of Medicare and integration where you don't have just the surgeon's knife which is what I did for 20 years before coming to public service, or the internists or acute care/chronic care.

But you also assimilate prescription drugs which are more powerful than the surgeon's knife today and will be in the future.

More powerful than the hospital bed will be more important to both quality of life and length of life in the future. We have to bring it to the table and assimilate into an integrated health care

package. The risk is to say let's not do that but let's just address prescription drugs in an independent package unrelated to integrating it into health care which in the short term is where people are politically are driven.

Another way to approach it would be to look at just the dual eligibles. In other words that population, we can't do everything for everybody right now. We have these budget challenges that you have and we have so let's just take the most vulnerable population which we could define as both your medicaid population as well as of the dual eligibles, itself, and just make that a federal responsibility.

In the short term it will probably get us by and get you by but in seven years where you have the baby boom coming on, where you have a doubling of the number of seniors for workers paying into this not really a trust fund but pay as you go system and then 25 years or 30 years from now, two works actually paying into the system. The system falls apart and it falls apart dramatically at a point that

it can't be fixed.

To answer your question, it has to be short term, mid-term, long-term. You'll hear me talk about integration of the package so that seniors can have the same sort of care that I have as a Unite States Senator, based on a federal employee's health benefit plan and integrated package where you do have a lot of choice. At the same time, that's going to take time. That's why Medicare is so much more difficult than social security. They both have the same actuarial base to it. Social security you just kind of dial formulas up and down.

Health care is different as all of you know because health systems delivery takes time to incorporate. It's very intimate with the most personal parts of your life, so again you don't want to do anything that is so drastic that it scares seniors, and you don't want to take current choices away from seniors. So you're exactly right. It'll take several years for the major program. So our challenge is to do something now and link it to an integrated package later.

1	What one Congress does is not binding to
2	the next Congress so that's politically a challenge.
3	What we do short term might well be to address the
4	dual eligibles. It might be to really look carefully
5	at this prescription drug card that gives us a handle
6	on health delivery systems and can be a first step,
7	and you can actually target the card to a dual
8	eligible population. So it's one of the proposals
9	that's on the table. I think it is a mistake not to
10	link doing something right now for the American
11	people without recognition that what you promise now
12	will fall apart in seven or eight or nine years
13	unless we have the integrated model.
14	GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Senator Musgrove,
15	Mississippi.
16	SENATOR MUSGROVE: You've just elevated me.
17	(Laughter.)
18	GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Ronnie?
19	SENATOR FRIST: First I want to ask you
20	this question about the medical malpractice down
21	there. All my friends down in Mississippi, all my
22	doctor friends are leaving the state because of these

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

1	frivolous lawsuits. But go ahead.
2	(Laughter.)
3	SENATOR FRIST: My Daddy's from
4	Mississippi so I love Mississippi. It's all my
5	friends. It is one of the issues, the whole
6	liability reform issue is a big issue for us. Anyway
7	I'm sorry. Ask your question.
8	GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: I think I was the only
9	governor well I take that back Governor Guinn
10	called a special session to deal with that. The
11	Mississippi Medical Association felt like it was
12	important.
13	But first of all, Senator Frist, let me
14	say thank you for being here this morning and sharing
15	with us. I think in hindsight people will say and do
16	say that the success of Welfare reform was brought
17	out because of the governors' very active involvement
18	with the Congress in both the House and Senate and
19	the administration to make that happen.
20	Yesterday we enthusiastically and
21	unanimously supported a study committee to work with

the Congress and with the Administration as you deal

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

with the Medicaid reform, and obviously the dual eligible and those things.

I would just ask you to include that same degree of involvement and listen as we're the ones that implement the health care in those forms back in the states, as you look at and deal with this whole arena.

SENATOR FRIST: I appreciate your saying I first got in politics in 1994, I mentioned. But working with Edward McWhirter whom many of you know; I'm a Republican, he's a Democrat so he probably wished he didn't have that great influence on me coming into politics but it really was looking at state issues that all of you deal with in the Medicaid system. I don't pretend that we have the answers at the federal level. We're getting ready to take 40 million people, give them opportunities and options and more integrated health care if they want it. But I don't pretend that even thought that was my life that we know he answers. The real answers are going to come from you.

If we look around the room, you have more

1.4

anticipate at the federal level, so it really is
listening very carefully how we address the specific
problems. What you see inn prescription drugs,
you're doubling in Medicaid is the same thing we see
in Medicare for seniors today where they're going to
spend \$2 trillion dollars in prescription drugs over
the next ten years.

anno than anything we can

That's seniors and the dual eligibles fall in that population. Two trillion dollars. That's something that Medicare is not paying for today at all, so our challenge is putting a benefit that takes care of a portion of that, the same thing we need to address with your most rapidly growing element as well.

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Governor Holden of Missouri.

GOVERNOR HOLDEN: Thank you Senator. My questions touch on the same thing raised by the other two governors. In the area of prescription drugs, we all understand there are tremendous costs and there has been some discussion about coverage at the

mandatory level. What about those optional programs that we have at the state level that are called optional in some regard but the courts have sometimes determined that it may be optional at the federal level but mandated that we have to pay for them at the state level.

We need to make sure at the prescription drug effort covers both those categories as you move forward.

SENATOR FRIST: I think it's a good point.

It gives us an opportunity, and I look at prescription drugs at the federal level as an opportunity to start with a fresh slate. Most of you have inherited either promising people prescription drugs at a time when we had no earthly idea that we would have this exceptional growth, so you're caught. If you modify things in some way, people say you're taking away certain benefits. At the federal level, we have an opportunity to learn from you what all of you have done because remember Medicare today covers no out-patient prescription drugs, none whatsoever.

Therefore we have an opportunity by

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

listening to you carefully what you have learned, what works, what sort of delivery system works with prescription drugs. What does not work to be able to incorporate that into Medicare. Once we do that, when you put it in Medicare, which affects 40 million people, that becomes the standard that many of you would likely either adhere to. The private sector certainly is going to follow what we do in the federal government. A hugh responsibility depends upon your input.

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Governor Rendell of Philadelphia.

GOVERNOR RENDELL: Senator, I have a request that isn't going to cost the federal government any money but I think it would be very, very important in the reauthorization of TANF, we understand the need and the desire to increase the work requirement. The president told us that when you extrapolated out and taken into account a lot of factors, it would be about 38 hours. Go from 30 to 38 hours a week. I don't have a problem with that if we're given the flexibility to make the decision to

allow education as part of that 38 hour requirement. If we're really serious about transitioning people from welfare to work, we don't want to just put them from welfare to a dead end minimum wage job. And it is crucial. The populations that left, and I think Welfare reform has been a success but we all recognize the population that's left is the hardest population to successfully transition. It is very important that we're able to count hours spent in a educational program as time towards that work requirement. It doesn't cost a thing. Give us the flexibility to make that choice ourselves.

That's been resisted in the past and I think it's a big mistake. If you truly want to make welfare to work successful.

SENATOR FRIST: Governor, thank you. The issue of how we define the hours hasn't been determined in the United States Senate yet, so having that input and listening very carefully, now is the time for us both to hear it and for all of you to make your cases with your Senators and leadership and others as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Governor Leavitt of Utah.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Thank you, Senator.

The presidents recently signed the defense appropriation bill. We had a discussion yesterday about the elements related to homeland security. There was some disappointment on that part as to the amount and to the levels of prescription that were included in those appropriations that could be passed to the states and local governments first responders. We would join in that disappointment but recognize the need for the President to move that forward. It's my guess that'll be a supplemental that will be presented by the Administration given the nature of the conflict in Iraq. I'm wondering if those two elements of that could be discussed again at that time.

The levels of flexibility specifically that we have in being able to use the money that will be going to first responders.

SENATOR FRIST: A number of you have been in to see me and I heard you loud and clear, and I'm

1.6

2.2

sure you have made it clear and will increasingly
make it clear that the moneys are appreciated and the
levels of money are important, but if it doesn't get
down to you in a timely fashion, and if you don't
have the flexibility, it simply doesn't have the
value that we think in Congress that we're giving you
as we go forward. The supplemental itself and
they're likely if military action is required, there
will be a supplemental. I don't know when it would
be. I don't know how large it would be and what it
would include.

I can say that we'll focus on homeland security. I predict as well as equipping and having the appropriate resources internationally, so I'll certainly take that into consideration as we go through. Issues like bioterrorism which is just a sub-segment but which is very much influenced by the first responders, we passed a bill, as you know, three days ago. We didn't pass it but the President signed it. In that particular area, it'll be about a billion dollars. It was right at a billion dollars.

I know a number of you haven't gotten that money even in the first tranche because of various plans that you have to put on the table and whether the plans have been held up or slowed up in some way. Haven't seen that money but it's absolutely critical that we get the money down without too many strings attached so that you can use the program.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: It's an area where we think we can add to the effectiveness of that and given the confluence of all of the pressures in a bill that large, it was obvious that the President wasn't going to be able to do anything on that piece but if it's re-openable at least that portion of it, we think it would be critical to our ability to deliver what I think the Congress had in mind when it appropriated.

SENATOR FRIST: The whole issue of this on-going war on terrorism, it's different than anything else we've seen. I'm sure you've talked about it the last couple of days, but our success and your success is 100 percent determined on what does not happen as to what does happen. And it's not

following any geographic region. It's not won by a decisive battle.

We have been historically under prepared today. Many would say we are unprepared if there is a biological attack, for example, in your community right now, do you have the appropriate first responders and have they been adequately trained. The answer is we're getting better and I'm sure you'd tell me that but for us to be fully prepared we have a ways to go and that flexibility in funding is critical to understand.

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Governor O'Bannon, did you have a question? I'll take Governor O'Bannon and then Governor Martz. Then I will make a concluding comment.

GOVERNOR O'BANNON: I might just in a general sense talk about what the governors talk about when they come here, particularly in a national recession and the difficulty in funding our budgets and certainly making a lot of cuts which we've already done, and then we get people kind of painted as people coming here with our handout. Certainly I

2.2

don't want to be in that position but I do want to continue to talk with the Congress and the President about the programs that were set up such as No Child Left Behind, and it's being funded about six or seven billion dollars less than when the program was passed.

That we look at the program IDEA, the school program for Special Ed that's short about \$11 billion dollars, and the first Responder Homeland Security which is about \$3.5 billion dollars. That will be coming because I think you've now passed the budget, but when we look at those, those would be of great help and support for us because we can't borrow money to raise our budget or we can't borrow money to raise our budget or we can't borrow money to cut taxes, so we're really in a position that's very different from the federal government, and any help that you could give there would be greatly appreciated.

First, Governor, I appreciate your both listing them, and the priorities are correct. Our challenge federally, as all of you know, we have a deficit that will be about \$300 billion dollars and

that's large although it's about 2.8 percent of GDP and if you look over the last 20 years, the majority of those years we've been in a budget or we've been in a deficit that's higher than 2.8 percent. I say that because to my mind it means that even though we have a deficit for this period of time, if we pass this sort of legislation that the President talked about the other day, it's the only way out of the deficit but the issues I talk about, social security and Medicare and aging of the population is to grow the economy.

So if we can do that, the government can't do it all but if we can put a structure in to truly help grow the economy which gives us the long term both hope and reality of getting out of the deficits, then we absolutely must and this is what I feel as we have a war on terrorism, take appropriate action in Iraq, continue to invest in the issues that you just mentioned, which is the infrastructure which does provide the economic engine for growth of growing that pie over time whether it's an idea which the United States federal government has not

fulfilled the obligation -- they get up and talk a good game but they've not fulfilled the obligation to you and your states in terms of funding or basic education where indeed the United States Congress in the bill the President signed Thursday, we put in more money than even the President had asked for for education for the Congressional response and understand we have a continued obligation there if our goal is to really grow the economy over time.

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Governor Martz?

GOVERNOR MARTZ: Senator, thank you.

Congratulations on your new position. We appreciate your work on behalf of all Americans.

One of the issues, this is not one of the issues that all governors related to but it's surely one that western governors relate to and that's the drought. We are looking and hoping to have a national drought policy that will give us more monitoring systems, be able to tell when we're going into this so that we can help farmers and ranchers right now in Montana and most Western States.

Agriculture is our number one industry. We've sold

orr harr as many carrie as we have people premarurery
and our agriculture industry being number one is
being hit. Our markets are declining because of the
drought and I know you can't make water but there are
some things that we can do. It's a cyclical issue at
best but we have flood plans, we had a hurricane
plan, we've had a tornado plan. This is a natural
disaster that touches so many of our western states
and I would really like you to look at that. I would
really like that the Western Governors' Association
would be involved somehow in the final decision.
We've got a good plan out there.

I think Senator Rieber is one of the ones pushing on that plan for Montana. I should say Representative Rieber.

Please if you would look at that, let us have some input on that.

SENATOR FRIST: Thank you. The issue surrounding drought and agriculture more broadly is an issue and Senator Dorkin can comment shortly because he's been very involved. We've spent as much time on that particular issue as we did on any issue

which expresses both the concern and the concern and the focus. The legislation that the President signed last Thursday, there was a drought response of \$3 billion. Some people said it should be 10, 6, 3; some people said it shouldn't be any. But there's \$3 billion dollars. Much of the debate was on how well that should be targeted in terms of the people who are really affected acutely by the drought in terms of the final negotiations.

But I think what you're really saying is that we need to step back and have a policy such that instead of reacting acutely to episodic illnesses or events, that we have a policy that would smooth out that expense over time. From a legislative response, it would certainly be easier instead of the kneejerk reaction that we're always in the position of doing. That's where we're all challenged in terms of short term, long term, mid-term planning of really being able to step back out of the acute challenges that we have and be able to look ahead five years ahead or ten years ahead.

GOVERNOR KEMPTHORN: Mr. Leader, we

appreciate so greatly your being here. We know of
your time constraints so we're going to abide by
that. We have with us of course our other friend
from the Senate, Byron Dorgan and we have Steny Hoyer
and Mike Castle who we'll be listening to in just a
moment. But if I may, I know you Bill and John Brow
yesterday were in a session that John said went for
about six hours on Medicare reform and health care.

We are forming the task force. I would ask that you consider, as we move forward, an opportunity that we could sit down with you and those you choose from the Senate for a working session with our Task Force. I think it would be extremely beneficial for all of us.

The other thing I would just put back on the table. As you know, in working with John Glenn, John Glenn and I were able to get the unfunded mandates through. Ed Rendell, Mike Leavitt, many aground this table were helpful, but you do have that tool. Legislation is scored by the Congressional Budget Office. They will identify right on the front page, if there's an unfunded federal mandate, you

1	know it takes one member of either House to throw the
2	flag, which then requires a vote to be called to
3	determine if in fact Congress wants to pass an
4	unfunded federal mandate onto the states.
5	So we'd just remind you, you have that
6	flag in your pocket.
7	SENATOR FRIST: Thank you, and Dirk, thank
8	you for leadership. That was always for really the
9	first bill when I came in to sort of watch go through
10	before the United States Senate, so I need to start
11	back out a little bit and get some of these mandates
12	off of you.
13	Thank you very much for the opportunity to
14	be with you.
15	(Applause.)
16	MR. PATTON: Thank you, Senator Frist.
17	Our next speaker from the other side of
18	the aisle is Senator Byron Dorgan of North Dakota.
19	He is in his second term in the U.S. Senate after six
20	U.S. terms in the U.S. house. He's a key member of
21	the Senate appropriations, commerce, science and
22	transportation energy and natural resources and

Indian affairs committees	. the Senator is chairman
of the Democratic Policy (Committee and is a former
state tax commissioner. I	He's probably got a unique
understanding of fiscal p	roblems.

With that, Senator Dorgan.

(Applause.)

SENATOR DORGAN: Governor, thank you very much. My former colleague, Dirk, it's nice to see you here. There was a Cherokee Indian Chief who once observed that the success of a rain dance had a lot to do with timing.

(Laughter.)

SENATOR DORGAN: It occurs to me that the same is true of public policy. Your timing in coming here today and the intersection of a range of extraordinarily challenging things that confront our country, both in domestic and foreign policy is almost unprecedented. We all want our country to succeed. It seems to me that every morning, every day, every week and every month in recent months, the first message is we brush our teeth, get ready for the day and have the television and radio on the

first messages about Iraq, war with Iraq. The fact is our economy will not recover until we get passed all of this.

You cannot have predictability, certainty and confidence in the future when that is the major leading news story every single day for months. And all of us want our economy to recover. We have different approaches perhaps on how we think we ought to achieve that, respectfully different approaches.

There's the story of the caterpillar that climbed on a clump of grass and observed, I see the world. A squirrel on the same ground climbed a tree and said I see the world. And an eagle flying over head observing the same ground said I see the world. They're also of course the same ground but saw it differently in different detail.

So we come together as Democrats,

Republicans and a couple of independence here and

there, governors and Senators and members of Congress

and others trying to evaluate how can we put public

policy together that works for our country. The fact

is we have an economy that's struggling, and if you

don't use the social security trust funds, which we should not incidentally, the are called, quote, trust, unquote funds.

The deficit is well over \$400 billion dollars a year and climbing. A very serious problem. From my perspective, our current physical policy simply does not add up, and cannot add up, so it is true for me, and I think for everyone, the easiest lift in American politics has always been and will always be to propose tax cuts. But that is not always an appropriate lift. Sometimes it's appropriate in the 1990s it certainly was. The states tax basis were reduced on a permanent basis by about eight percent, as a result of permanent tax cuts during good times.

Now we have tougher times and the obligation, particularly of governors and others including those of us in Congress is to evaluate how do we try to put this puzzle together. How do the pieces fit. We had here at the federal level, a 1.7 trillion dollar tax cut. And it was supported by sufficient numbers to be signed into law, and very

snortly thereafter we had an intersection of several
events. One we had a recession. Second we had
September 11th, and a subsequent war on terrorism.
The collapse of the stock market or pancaking of the
market as a result of the tech bubble burst and then
in addition, we had the most significant corporate
scandals perhaps in American history.

All of that occurred in a relatively short period of time. Some of us we suggested we should be more conservative in fiscal policy in the event things happened that were unforeseen but that was not the case, so we now run into some pretty tough ground here dealing with these issues and fiscal policy.

All of us want to put this economy back on track, first and foremost to do that is to get beyond these foreign policy issues, especially war issues.

That's the only way you breed confidence and certainty with respect to the future.

Second, I think we will see a stimulus plan of some type. I do not support a permanent plan that is \$600 and some billion dollars as offered by our President. I certainly have great respect for

the President but what we ought to do with respect to a stimulus plan is we ought to have a plan that is immediate, temporary and effective. Immediate, temporary and effective. The President's plan is not immediate and it is not temporary and in my judgment, for example, exempting dividends from taxation will not be effective in providing a stimulus to this economy.

assistance with respect to a plan. I don't know what that level ought to be, but clearly we have imposed burdens on the states which we are not paying for, whether it's Medicaid or No Child Left Behind, or special education. We clearly have done that and we ought to have a piece in a stimulus package that responds to that need. It occurred to me sa I was driving to work today, hearing about a deal that was negotiated in Turkey yesterday of \$26 billion in financial aid that we ought to create a Turkey standard of sorts.

(Laughter.)

SENATOR DORGAN: It seems to me that if we

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

U

202-347-3700

can	find	money	for	Turkey,	we	can	find	money	for
Kent	cucky.	•							

(Applause.)

SENATOR DORGAN: Or Michigan, Maine, North Dakota or Minnesota for that matter. Turkey is an abiding good friend of ours. I just think this is all about choices and priorities so I suggest that we talk about a Turkey standard with respect to economic opportunity for our states in this country.

Let me just for a moment go over a list of three or four quick items in just about three or four minutes, that describes our priorities. By that I'm talking now as Chairman of the Democratic Policy Committee.

We do have, from time to time, respectful disagreements. That's what I think best serves our country. Energy. We believe there ought to be an energy bill passed by this Congress. I think the President feels that way too, and I believe some of which is being proposed by the white house makes a great deal of sense.

Moving to a fuel cell economy, that is a

hydrogen economy with fuel cells makes great sense to me. I'll be introducing a piece of legislation in the Senate today. \$6.5 billion dollars over ten years that decides that we ought to have an Apollotype project. There ought to come a time when we're no longer putting gasoline through our carburetors. My first car was a 1924 Model T Ford that I restored as a young boy, a sophomore in high school. Bought it for \$25, took two years to restore it, discovered girls and decided to sell it, which was a very big mistake.

(Laughter.)

SENATOR DORGAN: But you know you put gasoline in a 1924 Ford the same way you put gasoline in a 2003 Ford. Nothing has changed in three quarters of a century. Over half of that, which we need for oil in this country is imported, a substantial portion of it from very troubled parts of the world which would hold our country hostage if, God forbid, something happened tonight to interrupt that supply.

If our energy policy is simply dig and

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 202-347-3700 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

drill, then it is a policy called yesterday forever, as far as I'm concerned, and that will not best serve this country. Yes, we should dig, yes we should drill, yes we need to produce more, but in addition to producing more, which has to be part of energy bill because you need a transition period, five, ten and 25 years, you need production, you need efficiency, you need conservation and then you need big ideas like limitless and renewable sources of energy that take us well into the future. That's an energy policy that I think will make sense for this country.

Education. No Child Left Behind, is a policy that the president and the Congress embraced but you can't leave the funding behind. We need two things with it or it will not work. One, it has to be flexible enough in its administration so that the template that goes over a school in New York in the middle of the city that goes over my school in Region, North Dakota, because they don't work the same. The basics of education are the same but the templates are not one-size-fits-all if you're going

to have the right kind of administration of this piece of legislation.

And second the implied promise of funding simply has not been met. The president did not propose it and the Congress has not funded it. We need to do that. I want this program to work but we must, it seems to me, provide the funding for it.

On health care we have significant

Medicaid issues as you know. I don't personally

believe, and I think most of my colleagues don't

believe we ought to say to senior citizens we support

the need for a prescription drug plan in Medicare but

in order to get it you have join an HMO. Rose the

opportunity to find the doctor of your choice.

That's no a good bargain in my judgment for senior

citizens. We need to work on Medicare and Medicaid

in very significant ways. But I don't believe the

way to resolve this issue of prescription drugs is to

say to seniors, the only way you can get a

prescription drug package is to move into an HMO.

Finally, at Homeland Security, we have very serious challenges there. We in Congress have

appropriated money that has not been used and not been spent. First responders do not yet have the kind of funding they need.

If some serious problems exists this morning somewhere in this country, it won't be a well-trained federal official who's going to go there. It's going to be an emergency person, it's going to be someone from a fire department or police department or emergency personnel that are going to be there and those first responders need the training and need the help to be able to respond appropriately in this day and age. So we need to do much, much better.

I come from a landlot state. We have 5.7 million containers coming into our major ports on containership so they don't come to North Dakota, but they pull them off with a winch and put them on 18 wheels and run them all across this country; 5.7 million containers, 100,000 are inspected; 5.6 million are not. Is that a problem in this country with respect to security? You bet your life it is.

And I can go through six or eight more

2.2

areas including northern border security, which we share with Canada, a range of those issues that are very important. We cannot scrimp on Homeland Security. We do so at our peril.

Leavitt and I have been working on this issue of taxing remote sales, internet catalogues and so on.

You are losing a substantial amount of revenue that is owed to your states in the form of use tax but not paid to your states.

We in Congress, working with the Governors have tried to do something about that. It is not a new tax, the tax is old, it is just not collected.

And a substantial amount of money, billions and billions and billions of dollars is money that you need and money that you ought to collect. The

Congress ought to give you the opportunity to do that. We're not talking about a labyrinth of complexity here for Internet sellers or catalogue sellers; we're just saying that when you're competing against main street businesses, meet the same obligation they have. Make the sale and collect the

2.0

tax and remit it to the government to help fund our schools and build our roads and do the things necessary to make a better life in our states.

Finally let me conclude by telling you this. I have great respect for you all. I have worked in the state capitol for some years before I came to the U.S. House and the U.S. Senate. I was reading McCullough's book about John Adams recently. He, as you know, traveled a lot as they were trying to frame and create this new country of ours. He would write to Abigail from aboard. He represented our country in England and France.

He was working on how to try to put his fledgling new country together and he would write to Abigail and say to her where would the leadership come from. Where would the leadership emerge in this country to help put this new country together, and then he would plaintiff say to Abigail, there's only us; me, Thomas Jefferson, Ben Franklin, George Washington, Madison, Mason. There's only us. Of course with two centuries of hindsight we see that "only us" represented some of the greatest talent in

human history.

It is appropriate it seems to me at intervals to our country to ask the same question.

Where will the leadership come from? Where will it emerge. Always in this country in two centuries it has been answered by men and women yes in the White House and the governorships and in the Congress to say, it's us, it's now, and we must lift the load to do what's necessary to put our country back on track.

It is a great country, we're lucky to be Americans and lucky to be alive now, but that inherits with it significant challenges, especially for those of us who serve now. We thank you for your service and wish you well. God bless you.

(Applause.)

MR. PATTON: Thank you, Senator Dorgan.

He's going to have to excuse himself. We do have two
more presenters and hopefully they'll be able to stay
around and we can have a discussion.

Our next guest will be introduced by

Governor Minner of Delaware. She just reminded that

I have a propensity to pronounce that Minter. There's

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

no "t" in her name. The reason I do that is that in my part of the world, a minner is a small fish that's gobbled up by other fish.

(Laughter.)

MR. PATTON: I think we can all agree that Governor Minner is no a minner in the traditional sense of the word. But with that, Governor Minner, please introduce our next presenter.

(Applause.)

GOVERNOR MINNER: Thank you, governor.

However, I will tell you the definition of minnow in

Delaware is quite different. It's a small fish used

to catch the big fish.

(Laughter.)

GOVERNOR MINNER: It is indeed my pleasure to introduce a person whom I've had the opportunity to work with in the Delaware General Assembly as well as the time he spent as Lt. Governor and Governor.

Michael, welcome to our group, or I should say welcome back to our group. Since you served eight years serving with this group as well. Mike was a very active participant in this organization and

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

brought back to Delaware many good ideas. One of the reasons I'm here is because of the leadership that they showed in this organization in bringing good ideas back to Delaware.

Mike has served Delaware well, if you think of just the few things that I've said but he really started as our Deputy Attorney General, he served as a state legislator, as Lt. Governor and two terms as the governor of Delaware. He's currently in his fifth term representing the first state as Delaware's sole member in he House of Representatives.

Each of these positions has provided him with a new perspective on Delaware and he met those challenges and served well. With thoughtful consideration and by reaching across the aisle, whether in Delaware or in Washington, Mike has played a key role in negotiating many of the federal as well as state issues.

The Congressman chairs the Subcommittee on Education Reform and is a member of the House Financial Services Committee and a member of the

Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

His priorities include improving the quality and relevance of education research.

Studying the nation's financial markets, and how they serve the consumers and pushing to protect United

States intelligence, please join me in welcoming a long-serving member of our Delaware community of elected officials, my friend and coworker, Mike Castle.

(Applause.)

much, Governor Minner. I'm leaving all of the mintoes and minner and all that stuff alone. But I am delighted to be here to join Governor Patton, Governor Kempthorne and the other governors I see who were in the House of Representatives when I was there. Governor Vilsak is easily the best educated governor here since he also went to Hamilton College as I did, and all the rest of you. It's just a delightful position. I can't say how rewarding being a governor really is.

I saw Bill Richardson has said that it was

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

his experience that the members of the Congress
didn't particularly to the governors. One of the
quotes that you've read as you've gone through this
four days, and I followed what you've been talking
about, that's probably true to agree to the extent
you adopt resolutions or whatever but I will say one
thing. When a governor calls his or her
congressional delegation and says we want something,
usually I've noticed that they jump pretty high, so I
would say disregard the overall aspect of it and look
at the individual states and realize you have a
tremendous amount of power in the Congress of the
United States. Together you have that power as well.

I know what you've been talking about.

I've sort of followed all that. I'm going to try not to duplicate too much here and perhaps we can get Q&A that way, but I saw a poll, I don't know where, but there's a national journal house race hotline type of thing but basically it's sort of interesting.

If you look at the issues we're talking about here today, and that we're all vitally concerned about, you realize that there's one of them

really that dominates everything. That's the
economy, but after that everything else really
relates to the war, to terrorism, to homeland
security. That's what's on people's minds today.

When you break it down to health care on the variety of issues that were in the teens in these polls and they're not showing up at two to three to four percent because of the great concerns am I going to have job and are we going to go to war, which is really what it's all about.

Some of these questions are frankly beyond what we're going to be in control of in the Congress of the United States, particularly the war circumstance but a lot of the issues that relate to the economy and jobs are within our power and within your power.

I have read some of the resolutions you've been looking at. I would just say a few things having been in Congress now for a little bit over ten years. That is I think it's very unlikely there's going to be any kind of a general help or handout to states and local jurisdictions in terms of dollars.

Maybe it'll happen, maybe you can make it happen. I personally don't think it's very likely to happen based on what I've seen.

On the other hand, I've seen some real movement in some of the existing programs. In fact, the very programs that you were talking about here today, in terms of where Congress is going.

Let me give you some examples. Education is one I know something about because I'm on that Committee but in the last six years in the Congress we've increased education spending or funding by the Congress of the United States by an average of 14.5 percent a year. That's pretty unusual when you really consider it. Usually, you're lucky if you get a cost of living increase out of the United States to go through some six years now with increases about 14.5 percent is really a little bit out of the ordinary in terms of what Congress has done.

For instance, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act which is really what the No Child Left Behind is all about, it's addressed up version is ESEA has gone from fiscal year '96 at \$23 billion

dollars to \$53.1 billion dollars.

And IDEA that much discussed programs in where the federal government should be which has a statute which says, as we all known, that the federal government should pay up to 40 percent of that program has gone from fiscal year '96 to this year at 18.1 percent. So there's been a dramatic increase in that and it got substantial increases even in the last fiscal year '03 budget, which as you know is sort of tangled up. It just got done about two weeks ago about four months late but both of those programs had fairly significant increases.

We're going to be looking at IDEA in the Education Committee just to touch on something we're going to be dealing with, and there probably would be some sort of a glide path, if you will, to get to the full 40 percent funding. That seems to be the target even though the statute says up to 40 percent.

That's what Congress is thinking about \$10 billion off of that right now, and by glide path, I don't think it's going to happen in one year, I don't think it's going to happen in two years.

which is significant.

But I think if you look at ten years and perhaps less, you're going to see Congress probably looking at that particular issue. We'll also be obviously looking at matters of concern to use the discipline issues, the over identification issues, issues that concern everybody, and that will try to give you more flexibility on Total One increases have been going along as a rapid pace as part of that education increase. They've been occurring at a higher level even than the other education spending

No Child Left Behind, what we're talking about in No Child Left Behind obviously are the authorizations levels which my Committee has done because the appropriations have not lived up to that. But again, the increases have been fairly substantial in that particular area.

There is some flexibility in that which you probably all know. You can change 50 percent in various programs around the No Child Left Behind with the exception of Title I so that you can go from one place to another. I've been working with our

1.3

Governor, with Ruth Ann, on this particular program and her secretary of education lately.

I would encourage all of you, if you aren't doing it to do the same thing. You probably are doing. If you have questions about that, if you are concerned about the assessments and the standards and the testing you're putting in place, I would rapidly get in touch with the Department of Education and see what flexibility there is. I'm not guaranteeing that there'll be flexibility on everything but there probably is more than perhaps meets the eye. I would encourage everybody to do that.

I heard the discussions on Medicare and Medicaid and obviously Senator Frist is much more knowledgeable along that than I am, and perhaps almost everybody in the Congress of the United States of America. But we have obviously passed prescription drugs as part of Medicare solutions in the House and the Senate. We've never gotten together on a final bill. I don't know whether that's going to happen this year or not. Clearly

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

that's a matter of huge concern to you in terms of what you're doing, and matter of concern to the country. And I think something we ought to pay attention, and I read about all you're doing in Medicaid and the presentations by Governor Thompson, the President and others, and that's an extremely complex subject.

In my experience in my ten years in Congress when I was a governor sitting with you all, my sense is that that's a difficult an issue to deal with from a legislative point of view as anything that I've ever seen. And as a result of that, we're all going to have to work together to get that done.

In the meantime, I would recommend strongly community health center programs to all of you. I'm sure you've all toured your community health centers. I have found them in Delaware to be extremely effective. They're doing a good job.

They're really take care of our population.

Sometimes the parts of the population that worked there before, the Hispanic population and others, and there are a lot of new moneys being put

into that, and I will tell you that's a good alternative to some of the insurance issues that exist out there and something that I would pay a lot of attention to.

We're going to be dealing with Head Start later in the Education Committee probably after the Easter break, between Easter and Memorial day or July 4th, and trying to make that program somewhat more professional than it is now. There is some discussion of changing it from Health and Human Services to Education. I don't think that's very likely to happen personally, but that's probably going to be put on the table.

There's also discussion of some sort of a program to have the states get involved with all the early education programs funded at the federal level, take the money and be able to put together your own programs. That may not happen in full, but it would be parlor project, and I would tell you it would be of something that is of some interest to some of you in terms of what we're doing.

Homeland Security is a matter of

2.2

legitimate concern I think to everybody in this room.

There's never going to be enough for Homeland

Security. You can look at anything. I came down on

train from Delaware today for example. Is there

enough security on trains? Probably maybe's there's

enough in airports now but not enough at our ports,

for example. Perhaps our buildings aren't as well
guarded as they can be. The bottomline is I think if

we work together we can make a difference as far as

Homeland Security is concerned. And yes some things

do need to be funded in that area.

We will probably do a budget resolution by the end of March, just to give you a timeline.

That's significant because we did not have a budget resolution last year. It's the reason I think the appropriation bills got held up.

The actual reconciliation and finishing all that will take longer in the House of Representatives are going to start on the tax cut probably the next two weeks. I think Chairman Thomas of the House Ways and Means Committee will introduce that. And then we'll have a couple of weeks of

2.2

hearings and then perhaps some sort of a markup which might be different than the President's bill.

There's obviously so disagreement as to where to go exactly with that in terms of the overall amount and in terms of some of the internal elements of it, so that needs to be looked at very carefully.

The whole war effort or the whole

potential war situation will continue on here for a

while, but clearly it's impacting the economy, it's

impacting a lot of decisions everybody's making. But

I have to guess just from what I'm reading from what

you're all hearing that some time in March, some

resolution of that will come along.

As I've indicated, IDEA will be going along fairly quickly now in the next few weeks; then Head Start should be right behind it. In the House of Representatives. It's my judgment that on all the programs I've just touched on that governors have not only a huge interest but have a huge ability to impact how these things come out. Again, it may have to be done individually through your own members in the House or the Senate.

The bottomline is I don't know of a single member of Congress who's not going to turn to his or her governor for advice in terms or where these programs are going and what we should be doing.

We will be in full session now right through until August, with a week off here and there for certain breaks. That we would encourage all of you to stay in touch and to work with us in every way you possibly can.

I've always been a believer that the governors are as important as any elected officials in this country in terms of the overall welfare of this country, and while we go back home and you look at your own individual state, the bottomline is collectively you make a huge difference in terms of the impact of where America is going.

So I am honored to be able to be here to speak to you and I'm sure that I speak for all members of Congress to say that they would be both pleased and honored to hear from you on these issues of importance to all of us. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. PATTON: Thank you Congressman Castle.

Again you've raised a lot of interesting topics that

I know the Governors are interested in discussing

with you in detail and I hope you can stay around

while our last presenter for this morning's program

talks to us a little bit and of course that's

Congressman Steny Hoyer, the House Democratic Whip,

the second ranking leadership post for House

Democrats.

Congressman Hoyer is serving is 11th term in the United States House of Representatives, representing the Fifth Congressional District of Maryland. As the whip, he helps Democrats determine their legislative agenda and strategy, unity for the party's position within the Democratic caucus and among Democrats nationally and crafts and delivers the Democrat message.

The Congressman is also a Senior Member of the House Appropriations Committee and currently serves on its subcommittees on the Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government, Labor Health and Human Services and Education and in the legislative

1	branch, he's a former president of the Maryland
2	senate so he knows state issues.
3	And I can say that while I've been
4	governor, I think Congressman Hoyer has been the most
5	or at least one the most willing members of Congress
6	to come and talk to us about a variety of issues. I
7	think he makes almost all of our winter meetings.
8	Congressman, it's a pleasure to have us
9	with you again this morning. Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: Thank you very much
12	Paul. I know you're dying to hear a long speech from
13	me after hearing some very good information from both
14	sides of the aisle.
15	Governor Patton, I want to thank you for
16	the work that you have done with the Congress through
17	the years inn various different capacities, and
18	Senator Kempthorne has heard me speak before, so he
19	left.
20	(Laughter.)
21	REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: I want to say I
22	don't envy any of you. Some of you have been my
1	

former colleagues. I'd like to say to people I'm a legislator and any legislator with half a brain can avoid almost all responsibility. Jennifer's laughing over there.

The fact of the matter is you can and the fact of the matter of the most part is the buck stops, you can't. And for the fact of the matter of the most part, the buck stops on your desk.

Virtually every state capital as NGA's fiscal survey of state capitols reported in November. Nearly every state capitol is in fiscal crisis. The updated budget shortfalls for fiscal 2003 which are \$20 to \$30 billion I understand are added to the projected shortfalls for fiscal 2004.

Our states must direct a collective shortfall of almost \$100 billion dollars by the end of summer. Today I want to deliver three messages from the House Democratic Leadership first. We're committed to helping the states whether this budget storm jumpstarting our static economy which has a devastating impact on your bottom lines is our number one priority.

Mike you said that number one the most immediate is Iraq but certainly the number one priority of the American people and getting our people back to work and doing well.

Secondly, we believe that elements of the President's tax plan, if enacted, will severely restrict funding for vital priorities such as Homeland Security, education, and health care and severely impact your states.

And third, we're deeply concerned about the Administration's proposed shift of responsibilities to the states on Medicaid, on Head Start, on housing subsidies as well as the federal governments ability to fund such programs in light of the squeeze on discretionary spending.

Mike I disagree with you frankly, and maybe we can discuss later, that the reason we didn't pass appropriation bills had to do with the failure to pass a budget resolution. Under Democratic and Republic Administrations, we have done what is called a deeming resolution, simply to say has the House a budget resolution. We haven't reached accommodation

between the Sena	ite and the House w	re'll act on the
House numbers.	We've done it that	in both the
Democratic and F	epublican leadersh	ip. We didn't do
this vear.		

In my opinion we didn't do it not because we didn't couldn't do it but because we didn't want to put bills on the floor which were very politically controversial because frankly they cut back on commitments that had been made but which were not kept.

In January House Democrats unveiled an economic stimulus plan. Here I will use some different words than Byron but the message is the same; fast acting. We need to get the economy moving now, not next year, not two, three, four, five years from now but now.

So the Democratic plan is fast acting and is \$136 billion dollars on the House side total, which is about a fifth or a little more than the Republican plan offered by the President.

However, it spends twice what the President proposes in 2003 because we want it to be

fast-acting. It is fair, it affects everybody in America in that it gives ten percent tax cut for the first \$6,000 of income to every American. If you make \$6 million or you make \$6,000, you get ten percent of the first 6000 cut, and it is fiscally responsible.

I told you it was \$136 billion over ten years, it is hundred billion now. What kind of Washington math is that. The fact is we accelerate some expenditures which would be in 2004, 2005, do it in 2003 which is where we need the stimulus and save money and interest later on. It would create an estimated one million jobs, and as I said cost \$136 billion dollars.

Our plan also would provide relief to the states which the President does not including \$10 billion for Medicaid cost sharing, a one year/one time increase in the federal share of Medicaid benefits as provided in the Dingell/Brown bill. Ten billion federal grants to help the states with their urgent unmet Homeland Security needs, and an additional \$5 billion for highway funding, and \$6

billion for discretionary use by the states for unmet needs. That's about \$36, \$37 billion dollars in 2003 for the states for infrastructure and for other expenditures you need to make in sharp contrast as I said to the President's plan which ignores the states altogether, and to make matters worse, it would dig an even deeper hole for the states.

The Administration's plan to eliminate the dividend tax would erase the tax advantage of tax free state and local bonds. I'm sure you're considering that, I know you're talking about it. Without that tax advantage, governments will be forced to raise interest rates to attract buyers, thereby boosting the cost of government borrowing.

Furthermore, by accelerating and making the rest of the 2001 tax cuts permanent removes all flexibility in dealing with the under determined costs of the war on terrorism.

Senator First said, and he is correct,
that the Congress and frankly your state legislatures
and frankly sometimes executives tend to look short
time; sometimes in two-year cycles, sometime in four

year cycles. It's understandable, the system.

But the fact is what we are looking at both in 2001 and 2003 in terms of the proposal we believe on our side of the aisle, creates very substantial, critical, long-term problems that we are not anticipating.

Perhaps worst of all, the President's plan, in my view, is as I said fiscally irresponsible. It's costs are passed on to the next generation and other levels of government. It drives us deeper into debt and ensures deficits in the next decade at least. That is uncontested. That is in the CBO report, that's in the OMB report, those are uncontested figures as to where we are in debt.

I would say to you that for the four years, the last three years of this past century and the first year of this century, we were in surplus.

It was the first four years that we had in surplus in the federal government in over a century.

Where some see a purposeful squeeze on discretionary spending designed to reduce the size of government, we see a fiscal straightjacket that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

leaves the richest nation on the face of the earth unable to meet critical needs. I don't mean discretionary needs. I don't mean things that we would like to do but things that I think everyone around this table, irrespective of parties, says needs to be done.

In fact, we've already witnessed the effect of a tight budget debate on the appropriation There's been some discussion of that. For one bill. year, the President's \$3.5 billion package for first responders, state and local police, firefighters, emergency medical teams, languished. That is to say we had money on the table being proposed and frankly being supported across the board. You got zero of it because it languished. We passed a supplemental appropriation bill, \$5 billion dollars which had a half a billion dollars in first responder which the President refused to approve. Because we said you have to designate this as an emergency. We believe Homeland Security and defense is an emergency when confronted with a war either on terrorism or on a rock.

When that funding was finally approved, it was largely drawn from existing law enforcement and public safety programs. We said \$3.5 billion. It is a little less than a billion. Why? Because we've taken money out of other programs and designated them for homeland security but if you cut Byrd grants, if you cut aid to police, it's fungible.

You folks are in the position of either deciding well we're going to cut the services that are being performed with this hand while we increased the services we need to perform with the other hand. The net effect of that \$3.5 billion is an additional approximately one billion dollars giving use of ability to respond to critical situations.

House Democrats between it's simply unacceptable to skimp on homeland security funding when we are considering enormous tax cuts that would overwhelmingly benefit the better off.

House Democrats believe we must consider new creative methods ensuring our security such as enhancing port and border security which previous speakers have discussed.

12281.ALL DAV/aeh

Byron Dorgan pointed out the incredibly small percentage of cargo that we inspect coming into this country. Additionally, we're committed to funding the No Child Left Behind Act as well as increasing child care funding and maintaining state flexibility in the reauthorization of welfare reform.

Currently Governors were doing neither and I think your staffs know it and you know it as well, and Mike, while I understand an authorizing perspective that we said we were going to do good things with the No Child Left Behind Act, and in fact I supported the No Child Left Behind Act, as almost everybody in the Congress did and the President enthusiastically signed it. Leaving No Child Behind is not cost free. Rhetoric will not educate any of our children or take them by the hand and get them down the road.

You Governors or state legislators could avoid responsibility. We can say great things. We can say we want to do this and we can put forward great visions of where we want to go. But when it doesn't happen, people look at you and say why isn't

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

this happening? They said in Washington this was going to happen. The No Child Left Behind Act was under funded by \$7 billion dollars.

In other words, we said it would cost \$7 billion dollars to accomplish the objectives that we set forth in the No Child Left Behind Act and the budget that was submitted within almost literally days of saying that and going around talking about signing it, was under funded by several billion dollars. Perhaps that was fiscal reality but we ought to have fiscal honesty with our people and say, no, we're going to leave some children behind

You talk about Head Start, all of you know of the Head Start eligible children of America, 40 percent of them have no room in the inn. A program that everybody says works.

Let me say that I'm very pleased that the President and the Congressional leadership from both parties supported on a very positive note \$1.5 billion dollars for election reform.

As you know, Bob Nay and I, Senator Mitch McConnell, and Senator Dodd worked together in a very

bipartisan way. Senator Bob Nay is an
extraordinarily able and conscientious legislator and
who it was my great privilege to work with on this
particular piece of legislation, \$3.8 billion
authorized. We had put \$2 million dollars in a bill
and I went down to the White House. Senator
McConnell was there and we said, Mr. President, we
need to fund this bill or it'll be an unfunded
 mandate.

The President agreed. Mitch Daniels agreed. Mitch Daniels is really running the show as all of you know. In any event, we had \$1.5 billion in there this year and we hope to have the President not as much money in for the 2004 as I think we need, and we're going to be working to get more. But to replace machinery, to give you the flexibility on your statewide registration, opportunities, your provisional balloting and other things that the law requires you to do, we're going to provide funds for it.

As you know, we worked very closely with all of you with state and local officials on the help

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

America Vo	ote Act.	This fun	ding is	essenti	al, as all
of you kno	ow, to up	grading a	and impro	ving ou	r election
system. I	But again	that fun	ding is	only a	downpaymen
on election	on reform	. We hav	re anothe	r \$2.5	billion
dollars to	o go.				

The Help America Vote Act authorized \$3.8 billion over four years and I urge you to join me in working to ensure full funding of this landmark law. The \$1.5 billion was essentially off budget.

Governor Martz, I didn't mean to interrupt. But the \$3.1 billion for the drought aid was not off budget and we had to offset it. I believe in both instances, there was an emergency. The emergency on election reform is we've got a presidential election coming up. We had a controversy last time. We want to have the system working well for the next one.

The drought aid is obviously why it's an emergency. Governors, you are the chief executives of the states and you have a tremendous opportunity to make your influence felt. I urge all of you to seize this opportunity to prevail upon your state delegations the importance of the funding priorities

that you determine are important. Forget about what we in Washington say. We're pretty much saying the same things. Many of you recall we worked together in bipartisan fashion in the nineties to impress upon the federal government the dangers of unfunded mandates and the impact of shifting responsibility. You must continue, in my opinion, to make that bipartisan appeal. There's still the possibility of getting some significant fiscal relief for states in the budget this year.

Congressional Democrats and I hope
Republicans stand ready to assist you in this effort,
but it's incumbent on you to reach out and to talk to
your members. I agree with Mike Castle. I will tell
you we don't do bipartisanship very well in
Washington, D.C.

I'm from Maryland and when I was president of the senate, some of my strongest supporters were Republicans. Now there were only ten out of 47, so there wasn't much use for partisanship. And frankly I found the differences, when I served in the state legislator in the state government to be more urban

city versus rural than it was Republican versus

Democrat.

But you know the problems. Every day you confront the problems. Bob May and I sat down together for literally tens of tens of hours figuring out. We had differences, our parties had different perspectives on what they wanted to do with election reform but we knew what the problems were and we came up with what we think are solutions.

This is the richest nation on the face of the earth. Because it is the richest nation on the face of the earth, in my opinion we can solve our problems. We're not like perhaps Turkey. I loved Byron's turkey standard. We can solve everyone of the problems and ladies and gentlemen we can leave no child behind, and we can confront Iraq.

I voted for welfare reform. Democrats were equally divided but we can do a welfare reform bill without passing onto you unfunded responsibility for about \$11 billion dollars in addition to child care and other related expenses. We can do that, but we have to confront our people and say we have to do

1	it together. And we may have to scrimp a little bit
2	but the richest nation on the face of the earth can
3	solve our problems, we can do it together, and the
4	Governors can make an extraordinary contribution to
5	that objective if you do it in a bipartisan what is
6	real and what is unreal. I urge you to do so.
7	You've helped us but much more important, you will
8	help our country in doing so. Thank you very much.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. PATTON: Thank you, Congressman Hoyer.
11	I think both of our panelists have raised issues that
12	this group is involved in, so let us have a
13	discussion for as long as they can stay with us.
14	Questions? Comments? Governor Vilsack.
15	GOVERNOR VILSACK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16	I appreciate both of the representatives being here
17	today. This question is really directed to
18	Representative Castle, my fellow continental.
19	REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: We understand
20	everybody body can't get into the University of
21	Maryland, Governor.
22	(Laughter.)

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

GOVERNOR VILSACK: You don't want to go there, Steny.

(Laughter.)

GOVERNOR VILSACK: Congressman, I was listening with great interest to your concerns about your education, and I can tell you that in our state, we are very proud of our education system. top ten in ACT and SAT scores, class graduation rates, class sizes, computers in the classroom, but we are deeply concerned because of the Leave No Child Behind parameters. Our state is the only state that has a local control philosophy, one in which we don't have state standards for students but we've got them We are approaching accountability very for teachers. We've been testing our students for 50 aggressively. years using longitudinal studies to structure curriculum, so we are deeply concerned about the federal government coming in and explaining to us how we need to do this when they're not willing to provide all of the resources necessary to implement the plan.

My question to you is, do you feel that

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

with the appropriations that have been authorized and
approved, that we are adequately and fulling funding
Leave No Child Behind. And if you do believe that,
how is it that there was a difference between the
amount of money that was originally authorized and
the amount of money that was appropriated. What did
we not do when we were going to do with additional
money? Could you explain that to me.

REPRESENTATIVE CASTLE: Thank you,

Governor. Maybe we ought to change that name to the fighting continentals, but your point's well taken.

We pass a lot of legislation in the Congress.

Frankly I never really understood it all. But we have authorization legislation. That essentially sets authorizing numbers. Sometimes it's just left open-ended; sometimes it's high. Almost inevitably, it's higher than what the appropriators will actually do. So the political argument is well you didn't funded it all the way because you didn't fund it as high as the authorization.

In the case of No Child Left Behind, quite frankly, I am personally interested in driving that

number as high as we can. There are some of us in
the Republican party who held up the Labor HHS
Education Funding Bill for that reason because we
were concerned about education. As a matter of fact,
as I indicated my discussion, we have been increasing
the set 14.5 percent a year over the last six years
which is a huge difference over what it was before.
And we have done particularly a lot with Title I and
IDEA and some other elements that actually go into No
Child Left Behind.

I have several thoughts. One is that is a process that we're going to go through again right now. We are in our '04 appropriation cycle already. They're starting to look at the budget, they're going to start to look at the appropriation. As you know, it's due by September 30th. It will probably be delayed somewhat but there's probably a better chance than there has in previous years, recently at least to get it done in time this year.

The President is focused on education.

The members of the House and Senate, and I think

that's true Steny that Republicans and Democrats are

really focused on education. I think behociats will
try to push it all the way to the top of the
authorization, but there are some of us on the
Republican side who are going to push it pretty hard
too, to try and get those figures higher. They're
probably not going to get up to the authorization
levels, as has been indicated today, six or seven
billion dollars more.

But I would tell you that's one area that will not be ignored in terms of where the members of the House and Congress are. As I said earlier, talk to your members. There is no more effective lobbyists in this country than the members of Congress than the Governors of their own state on the members of the House and Senate in my judgment. That can make a huge difference as well, and also helps you with some of the so-called unfunded mandates. And remember there is the ability to move some of that money around. You might want to look at that.

And I would also tell you, and I know of Iowa's standards. I know you've done well, and I used to work with Terry Branstad on some of these

1	things. And you do have an enviable record in
2	education.
3	But that should be taken into
4	consideration and I believe the Department of
5	Education understands that.
6	The standards assessments and the testing
7	that go with it ought to be set by you, not by the
8	federal government. It's required that it be done
9	but it still be set by you and you should be able to
L 0	get what fits your state best in order to educate
L 1	your children which might also help with the costs
12	somewhat.
13	So I would tell you that you are probably
14	not going to talk about this in terms of the 100
15	percent of authorization but you are talking about an
16	area in which I think there's flexibility in Congress
17	to go higher among the appropriators and even among
18	the individual members.
19	MR. PATTON: Congressman Hoyer is going to
20	have to leave us, but comment.
21	REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: I wanted to
22	comment, Governor, very briefly. I just asked

because I want to confirm if you take the No Child
Left Behind Act authorization I said it was \$7
billion behind in 2003 given the level of funding
that we gave it, the 2004 budget that has now been
talked about and presented is \$9 billion behind. Of
course that's the add-on from what we didn't do in
2003 and the expectation of what we're going to do in
2004.

Now there is no expectation that we have \$9 billion extra dollars in this area, in the Medicaid area. I mean the needs are obvious and the articulated objectives are very, very large. But clearly we need to get much closer than continuing to fall behind the promises that we made in No Child Left Behind, if the states are going to be able to accomplish the objectives. I think we all agree it's the states that are going to deliver these services.

I think it's somewhat ironic when President Clinton proposed some testing, voluntary testing, to give some standards that the then-Chairman of the Education Committee was very opposed to that bill.

And that if you compared what the Clinton
proposal was in terms of requirements on the states,
they were substantially less than the requirements
that ultimately ended up in the No Child Left Behind
Act. I tended to agree with President Clinton and I
agree with President Bush that citizens who invest
substantial sums need to have some thought, is my
child being educated in Iowa or my child in Maryland
going to be able to compete with the child in Iowa
where you have a very good system.

I think parents have a right to that knowledge and will be more willing to invest in education if in fact they are getting that product.

But it seems to me we cannot expect the states to perform if we continue to under fund a program with the promise that the states are going to have to meet but they don't have the funding to do so.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Governor Patton?

MR. PATTON: Are you going to be able to stay just a minute? Great, okay.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Can I just ask one quick question. You mentioned earlier in your

remarks Medicaid. There are 40 plus of us now that
are in the position of fighting through the process
of having to reduce benefits against our better
judgment and will in many cases. You alluded to the
concern you have, at least I interpreted it to be
sending flexibility to us to manage that problem.

Could you tell me --

REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: I don't have a concern with giving flexibility Governor in a certain sense. I think there's a national responsibility to ensure that our citizens have the availability and again in the richest nation on the face of the earth, of health care.

Now the problem you have at the federal level, we raised taxes, we have dollars to spend and we want to accomplish what we say we want to accomplish. If we do so and then say well here's the money for the states, i.e., a revenue sharing program and the objective does not get accomplished because the states under real fiscal pressure, if they have great flexibility, don't apply it to certain objectives that people think at the federal level may

be necessary, but at the state level the judgment is
made differently. That's the problem.

But let me tell you, Governor, my
experience at the state level and very active in NCSL
and my concern always was that when somebody tells
you they're going to give you in effect a block grant
and give you flexibility, inevitably it is meant
they're going to cap the dollars that you get either
short term or long term.

Now as you know, the full program's not on the table so we don't know exactly what it is, but sa I understand it, for seven years you're going to get some more money and then in three years you're going to have to make it up. So the ten-year program is essentially a zero funded game for you. That may not be absolutely correct but the shorthand I've read so far seems to indicate that.

But if I gave you the impression that I fear flexibility at the state level, I don't. As I say, I voted for the Welfare Reform Bill. The democrats were divided 98/98 on the Welfare Reform Bill that President Clinton signed and the

overwhelming number of Republicans voted for the very bipartisan effort which gave some flexibility.

Very frankly, what we're now doing is we're going to cut down your flexibility to some degree as we under fund welfare reform. We had an alternative that we offered that would have helped you with the expenses that were commensurate with the Welfare Reform Bill and the increase somebody mentioned earlier.

Our Bill gave education as a credit for part of the increased work that was called for in our bill and at the Republican bill. But I don't have any problem with flexibility in the states. I think obviously there are different ways to do things in different areas. Dorgan made that point, Byron Dorgan made that point; things weren't the same in North Dakota as they are in New York or California.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Conceptually, I would like to drill down a little bit because we're just in the early process now of formulating as a group our approach on this. Conceptually if we're talking about taking the same amount of money we've been

expending in the past or more, and working to spread
the benefit of that over more people, so as to
protect access. In reality what it may mean is that
more people get slightly less but more people, it's
better for everyone to have some as opposed to a few
to have everything.

Conceptually is that a place that you think the states could fruitfully pursue?

REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: I don't want to discuss the ramifications of what you mean by some people get less. Obviously, there are points at which if you get less, you essentially get very little or it's not effective, and there are obviously at the federal level, certain levels we want to make absolutely sure have access.

But conceptually I don't think the concept is wrong, but when you say "same amount of money; we'll just spread it thinner" it depends upon the ramifications to those from whom you take and who you add.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Just as a matter of information, there are literally 40 of us now that

are in a position or more that are in a position of having to deal with this. As it is now, if we have to do anything to adjust those dollars, every piece of our budget and I think every other state is down, down, down, except one and that's Medicaid and it's up, up, up.

So in order to mitigate the level of how many more dollars we're spending at the expense of education, for example, we're having to eliminate entire groups. The last one that was in our state added was the blind, aged and disabled. I was proud to be there to add coverage for them, but they're the next group, the logical one to say, do you really want to take blind, aged, and disabled people off the Medicaid rolls.

The answer to that is no. If I could provide some copays among the rest of the population, that just seems like a lot better option. It's the same tool that any other private sector manager or any health care manager a the state level or federal level, we're all deploying the same thing, but we don't have those flexibilities.

What that really amounts to is that somebody might be paying a copay or there may be a benefit they don't receive no. But it allows us to keep the blind, aged and disabled on the rolls.

That's the kind of access flexibility we're talking about.

I know there are those who will dispute the reduction of any benefit but as it's currently structured, we're going to take entire groups, entire blocks of benefits away and we're losing people off Medicaid.

REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: Governor I understand what you're saying an the decisions we're making at the federal level -- and I talked about it and I know it's a tough thing for you, I know I read about in Utah you're having a terrible time now talking about whether you want to fraise taxes.

Nobody wants to raise taxes. You don't want to raise taxes. But life is a series of tradeoffs. If you don't want to raise the revenues available, then you either have to take the blind and disabled off the list, or somehow spread a lesser

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

some amount among those remaining.

GOVERNOR LEAVITT: Or a greater sum.

REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: Those are tough Our party has been losing in this battle, the battle being that in 2001, we had plenty of money, we had \$5.6 trillion dollar surplus that we we're going to have over the next ten years. us said that's pie in the sky; you're kidding yourself. Forget about the downturn in the economy. We've got a mandatory minimum tax, an alternative minimum tax as you know that's going to cost us a very large sum of money to fix as we go from about one or two million being affected to 30 million people being affected because we didn't index in 1986 the alternative minimum tax. That's a figure that everybody knows we're going to fix, but is not being considered within the budget framework.

Now if we're going to fix that, we need to pay for it. If we're going to pay for it, we ought not to be cutting our revenues. Prospectively I'm not talking about raising people's taxes; I'm talking about not cutting them prospectively on the theory

that you have enough money to accomplish; not cutting the blind, lame and disabled off the rolls.

But I certainly think if we get to a point where those are eh alternatives, then ameliorating, which is what you're talking the adverse impact on people, certainly we at the Washington level daggone well ought to be accommodating in that effort if we make the decision that that has to be done.

MR. PATTON: Amen. I know he's go to leave. I want Congressman Castle to comment but I want Congressman Hoyer to hear the fact is sir, he states have reached he limit of what they can pay for, so we do need to engage in negotiation on these issues. We're not going to have a perfect world.

Thank you, sir.

Mr. Castle?

(Applause.)

REPRESENTATIVE CASTLE: Thank you,

Governor. Mike, the bottomline is you can't reinvent

the wheel too many more times here. You can come up

with all the flexibility plans or whatever you want

to do in terms of Medicaid, Medicare or health

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

insurance or whatever. But if you look at the demography lines of this country and you realize the aging of the population, he risks that involves from he health point of view including long-term care, the costs and I was talking to Governor Rendell about this, but he cost of equipment in hospitals today, the cost of prescription drugs, this country is going to have to commit a greater percentage of its gross product to health care a some point if we're going to live longer and high quality lives.

I think the American people are willing to pay for that. It may come out in a whole lot of forms. It may be a government program such as Medicaid, Medicare. It may be copayment, it may be an effort to hold down costs. It's probably going to be a combination of all those things but that crisis is arriving I think, and I think it's going to involve the governors, the president and he Congress of he United States to sit down and say what is the longer term solution with respect to health care in general.

If people want it, they are willing to pay

than they are now, and we seem to be a little bit unwilling to undertake that political struggle but I think it's going to have to happen.

MR. PATTON: Thank you Congressman Castle. We're going to have to draw this discussion to a close. Thank you for being here. We have the attention of the Congress and the Administration.

Governors have a great opportunity over the next seven or eight months to effectuate some of the things, not all of the things, but some of the things that we've talked about during these four days. It's going to take the personal involvement of the Governors, and so before we conclude our business session in a moment, it's my plea to all of the Governors to devote yourself personally to getting involved in all of these issues, helping us maintain our consensus approach and then convince the Congress one on one with your Congressional Delegation.

If we can do that, the Governors and the people of the country are going to have a relatively successful year.

With that, let us go to our final

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

1	concluding part of the program to get our policies
2	acted upon.
3	I would like for the sake of time if we an
4	move the policies en bloc if there is no objection,
5	we'll do that. Governor Johanns, Chairman of the
6	Committee on Economic Development and Commerce is
7	recognized. Governor Johanns.
8	GOVERNOR JOHANNS: Thank you, Mr.
9	Chairman. Our number one priority was
10	reauthorization of T21. We have a policy on that.
11	We have amendments to six existing policies and
12	reaffirmation of another policy. If there's no
13	objection, I would move the adoption of these
14	policies en block.
15	MR. PATTON: Is there a second?
16	VOICES: Second.
17	MR. PATTON: All in favor say aye?
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	MR. PATTON: Opposed, no.
20	(No response.)
21	MR. PATTON: The policies are adopted.
22	Governor O'Bannon, Chairman of the Policy
1	

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

1	on Human resources.
2	GOVERNOR O'BANNON: We had a very full
3	meeting with great speakers that came before us, and
4	certainly moved forward. House Approved Resolution
5	43 Principals for Medicaid Reform, which I think will
6	be a big help in our task forces, as you move
7	forward, but I would like to recommend on behalf of
8	the Committee on Human Resources one new policy
9	position. Amendments to ten existing policy
10	positions, two in the form of substitutes. These
11	have been approved by our Committee and I so move to
12	have them approved.
13	MR. PATTON: Is there a second?
14	VOICES: Yes.
15	MR. PATTON: All in favor say aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	MR. PATTON: Opposed, no?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. PATTON: The policies are adopted.
20	Governor Wise, Chairman of the Committee
21	on Natural Resources.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

GOVERNOR WISE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

410-684-2550

22

The Vice Chairman Governor Owens and I conducted in the Natural Resources Committee met on Sunday, heard a set of interesting and informative presentations on drought and on energy legislation.

Our first considered discussed drought prepared in this legislation featuring Congressman Alcee Hasings and U.S. Department of Agriculture Under Secretary Mark Ray.

Our second panel reviewed issues
surrounding energy legislation in the 108th Congress
and he prospects for passage. The Committee adopted
amendments to give existing policies and reaffirmed
four policies without change. The policies
recommended to the NGM membership for amendment
include NR Four Super Fund and Osha Coastal and Zone
Management, NR 23, abandoned mine reclamation and
NR 24, investing outer continental shelf revenues.

All these policies were adopted
unanimously except for NR 23 with the Committee
noting the objection of Governor Friedenthal of
Wyoming. In addition, last October the National
Resources Committee adopted an interim amendment to

1	NR 18, comprehensive energy policy. The amendment
2	added a new section recommending multi-state entities
3	for planning and citing electricity transmission
4	lines. That amendment requires favorable action by
5	the membership to become a permanent part of NR 18.
6	On behalf of the Committee, I would move
7	the adoption of our policy recommendations.
8	MR. PATTON: Is there a second?
9	VOICES: Second.
10	MR. PATTON: All in favor say aye.
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	MR. PATTON: All opposed, no?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. PATTON: Mr. Musgrove, do you want to
15	make a comment?
16	GOVERNOR MUSGROVE: Mr. Chairman, I didn't
17	understand what you said about Governor Friedenthal.
18	I was just wondering would the same thing he noted in
19	the Committee, would i be appropriate that he give
20	you instruction to note that?
21	GOVERNOR WISE: It's my understanding he
22	gave me instructions and permission to note his

Τ	objections to that. We'll work with him over the
2	next year to try and meet his objections.
3	MR. PATTON: Now we have some Executive
4	Committee policies that need to be adopted. Governor
5	Kempthorne, do you have a motion.
6	GOVERNOR KEMPTHORNE: So moved.
7	MR. PATTON: I don't think we need any
8	explanation. We've already discussed them. Second?
9	VOICES: Second.
10	MR. PATTON: All in favor say aye.
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	MR. PATTON: Opposed, no?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. PATTON: Those policies are adopted.
15	Again, let me express my personal thanks
16	on behalf of the Chair and the Vice Chair for all of
17	he work that the many Governors have done over these
18	last three or four days to come to consensus on some
19	vital policies. It's been a productive meeting, and
20	I'm pleased to now call it adjourned. Thank you all
21	and good day.
22	(Whereupon, at 11:40 a.m., the hearing was

12281.ALL DAV/aeh

1 adjourned.)

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

aboard [1]

BSA
Look-See Concordance Report
UNIQUE WORDS: 2,145 TOTAL OCCURRENCES: 6,958 NOISE WORDS: 388 TOTAL WORDS IN FILE: 18,703
SINGLE FILE CONCORDANCE
CASE INSENSITIVE
INCLUDES ALL TEXT OCCURRENCES
DATES ON
IGNORES PURE NUMBERS
POSSESSIVE FORMS ON
- \$ -
\$1.5 [3] 78:19; 79:12; 80:9 \$10 [2]
59:19; 72:16 \$100 [1]
69:16
\$11 [2] 33:8; 82:20
\$136 [3] 71:18; 72:7, 13
\$2 [2] <i>25:7; 79:5</i>
\$2.5 [1] <i>80:4</i>
\$20 [1] 69:13
\$23 [1] 58:22
\$25 [1]
46:9 \$26 [1]
44:18 \$3 [2]
<i>37:3, 5</i> \$3.1 [1]
80:11 \$3.5 [4] 33:10; 75:10; 76:3, 12

\$30 [1]

69:13

33:22

\$300 [1]

\$36 [1]

\$37 [1]

73:2

73:2

\$400 [1]

\$5 [2]

42:4

72:22; 75:16

```
$53.1 [1]
  59:1
$6 [2]
  72:4, 22
$6,000 [2]
  72:3. 4
$6.5 [1]
  46:3
$600 [1]
  43:21
$7 [3]
  78:3, 4; 89:2
$9 [2]
  89:5, 10
         <del>- 1 -</del>
108th [1]
  103:10
11:40 [1]
  105:22
11th [3]
  11:9; 43:3; 67:10
1990s [2]
  5:19; 42:12
         <del>-3-</del>
30th [1]
  86:16
38-year-old [1]
  13:6
         -4-
4th [1]
  63:8
         - 5 -
51st [2]
 2:16; 3:1
         98/98 [1]
  92:21
9:45 [3]
  1:14, 18; 2:2
         - A -
a.m. [4]
  1:14, 18; 2:2; 105:22
abandoned [1]
  103:16
abide [1]
  38:2
abiding [1]
  45:6
abigail [3]
  51:11, 15, 18
ability [5]
  31:14; 65:19; 70:14:
  76:14; 87:18
able [20]
  7:12; 9:3; 15:9; 27:3;
  28:9; 29:19; 31:12;
```

35:19; 37:19, 20;

38:17; 49:11; 52:18;

88:9: 89:15: 90:9. 19

63:17; 66:17; 79:2;

\$5.6 [1]

97:6

```
51:11
absolutely [6]
  9:8: 11:15: 31:5: 34:16:
  92:16: 94:15
accelerate [2]
  12:1; 72:9
accelerating [1]
  73:15
access [4]
  11:13: 94:3. 15: 96:5
accommodating [1]
  98:7
accommodation [1]
  70:22
accomplish [6]
  5:10: 78:5: 89:16:
  91:16, 17; 98:1
accomplished [1]
  91:19
account [1]
  27:19
accountability [1]
  84:14
accountable [1]
  5:13
achieve [1]
  41:9
act [13]
  8:4; 58:20; 71:1; 77:4,
  11, 12; 78:2, 6; 80:1, 6;
  84:8; 89:2; 90:5
acted [1]
  101:2
acting [2]
  71:14, 17
action [4]
  14:2; 30:8; 34:18;
  104:4
active [3]
  23:17; 53:22; 92:4
actual [1]
  64:17
actuarial [1]
  21:11
acute [2]
  19:15; 37:19
acutely [2]
  37:8, 12
adams [1]
  51:8
adapted [1]
  13:21
add [5]
  31:9; 42:7; 94:20;
  95:12
add-on [1]
  89:6
added [3]
  69:13; 95:11; 104:2
addition [4]
  43:6: 47:4: 82:20:
  103:21
additional [3]
  72:22; 76:12; 85:7
additionally [1]
  77:3
address [12]
  6:17, 18; 7:9, 13; 14:8;
  16:16, 20; 17:10; 20:2;
  22:3; 25:3, 14
```

```
addressed (2)
                                18:11: 39:18: 54:16:
                               68:14: 74:4
  15:15; 58:21
addressing [4]
                             alcee [1]
  6:8: 8:2: 14:9: 16:13
                                103:7
                             alive [1]
adequately [2]
  32:7; 85:2
                               52:11
                             allow [1]
adhere [1]
  27:7
                               28:1
adjourned [2]
                             allows [1]
  105:20: 106:1
                               96:3
                             alluded [1]
adjust [1]
  95:3
                               91:4
administration [6]
                             alma [1]
  23:19, 22: 29:14:
                               2:16
  47:17: 48:1: 100:7
                             alone [3]
administration's [2]
                                16:11: 17:3: 55:13
  70:11: 73:8
                             alternative [4]
administrations [1]
                               63:2; 93:6; 97:10, 15
                             alternatives [1]
  70:20
                               98:4
adopt [1]
                             altogether [1]
  56:6
adopted [7]
                               73:6
  101:21; 102:19; 103:11,
                             alumni [1]
  18, 22; 105:4, 14
                               2:17
                             ameliorating [1]
adoption [2]
  101:13; 104:7
                               98:4
advances [1]
                             amen [1]
  13:19
                               98:9
advantage [2]
                             amendment [4]
  73:9, 12
                                103:14, 22; 104:1, 4
                             amendments [3]
adverse [1]
                               101:11; 102:9; 103:12
  98:5
advice [1]
                             america [8]
  66:3
                               4:17; 6:21; 61:18;
affairs [1]
                               66:16; 72:2; 78:14;
                               80:1.6
  40:1
affect [1]
                             american [7]
                               9:15; 22:10; 42:9; 43:7:
  13:3
affected [3]
                               70:3: 72:3: 99:11
  37:8: 97:13, 14
                             americans [3]
                               7:18; 35:13; 52:11
affecting [1]
                             amount [10]
  10:7
affects [2]
                               29:8; 50:8, 14; 56:12;
  27:5; 72:1
                               65:4; 85:5, 6; 93:22;
                               94:17: 97:1
age [1]
  49:12
                             amounts [1]
aged [3]
                               96:1
  95:11, 14; 96:4
                             ann [1]
agenda [2]
                               61:1
  4:7: 67:14
                             answer [6]
aggressive [1]
                                10:19; 12:10; 18:2;
  5:12
                               21:2; 32:8; 95:16
aggressively [2]
                             answered [1]
  16:1: 84:15
                               52:6
                             answers [3]
aging [4]
  12:14: 17:11: 34:10:
                               24:16, 20
  99:3
                             anthrax [1]
agree [6]
                               9:18
  53:5; 56:5; 81:14:
                             antibiotic [1]
  89:16: 90:5. 6
                               10:9
agreed [2]
                             anticipate [1]
  79:10, 11
                               25:2
                             anticipating [1]
agriculture [4]
  35:22; 36:2, 19; 103:7
                               74:6
aground [1]
                             anyway [1]
  38:19
                               23:6
aid [4]
                             apart [3]
  44:19; 76:7; 80:11, 17
                               20:22; 22:12
airports [1]
                             apollo-type [1]
  64:6
                               46:4
aisle [5]
                             appeal [1]
```

BSA
81:8
applause [12] 2:21; 4:2; 39:15; 40:6;
45:3; 52:15; 53:9;
55:10; 66:22; 68:10;
83:9; 98:17 apply [1]
91:21
appreciate [6]
18:1; 24:8; 33:19; 35:12; 38:1; 83:16
appreciated [2]
<i>30:2; 33:18</i> approach [5]
7:3; 16:21; 20:6; 93:21;
100:17 approaches [2]
41:8, 9
approaching [1]
<i>84:14</i> appropriate [8]
11:21; 30:14; 32:6;
34:17; 42:11, 12; 52:2; 104:19
appropriated [3]
31:16; 49:1; 85:6
appropriately [1] 49:11
appropriation [7]
29:5; 64:16; 70:18; 75:8, 16; 86:13, 15
appropriations [5]
29:9; 39:21; 60:15;
<i>67:19; 85:1</i> appropriators [2]
85:17; 88:17
approve [1] <i>75:18</i>
approved [5]
76:1; 85:2; 102:4, 11, 12
approximately [1]
<i>76:13</i> area [9]
<i>25:20; 30:20; 31:8;</i>
60:17; 64:11; 87:9; 88:16; 89:10, 11
areas [5]
7:1, 2; 17:14; 50:1;
<i>93:15</i> aren't [2]
61:4; 64:7
arena [1] <i>24:7</i>
argument [1]
<i>85:18</i>
arriving [1] 99:17
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1]
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1]
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1] 53:17 assessments [2]
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1] 53:17 assessments [2] 61:6; 88:6
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1] 53:17 assessments [2] 61:6; 88:6 assimilate [2] 19:16, 22
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1] 53:17 assessments [2] 61:6; 88:6 assimilate [2] 19:16, 22 assimilated [1]
arriving [1] 99:17 articulated [1] 89:12 aspect [1] 56:10 assembly [1] 53:17 assessments [2] 61:6; 88:6 assimilate [2] 19:16, 22

```
81:12
assistance [2]
  15:19; 44:10
association [5]
  1:1; 2:6, 17; 23:11;
  36:10
attached [1]
  31:7
attack [3]
  10:6; 11:16; 32:5
attacks [2]
  9:19; 10:4
attention [3]
  62:4; 63:4; 100:7
attorney [1]
  54:7
attract [1]
  73:13
august [1]
  66:6
authorization [6]
  85:14, 20; 87:3, 6;
  88:15; 89:2
authorizations [1]
  60:14
authorized [4]
  79:5; 80:6; 85:1, 5
authorizing [2]
  77:9; 85:15
availability [1]
  91:11
available [1]
  96:21
avenue [1]
  1:9
average [1]
  58:12
avoid [2]
  69:3; 77:19
award [1]
  2:18
ax [1]
  3:10
aye [4]
  101:17; 102:15; 104:10;
  105:10
ayes [4]
  101:18; 102:16; 104:11;
```

baby [3]
12:15, 16; 20:17
, ,
balloting [1]
<i>79:18</i>
baliroom [2]
1:10, 17
bargain [1]
48:15
base [1]
21:11
based [2]
21:6; 58:3
basic [3]
6:16; 14:9; 35:3
basically [1]
56:19
basics [1]

22:1

32:5

7:5

biological [1]

bioterroism [1]

bioterrorism [3]

47:21

basis [2]

- B -

105:11

```
42:13
battle [3]
 32:2; 97:4, 5
becomes [2]
  12:18; 27:6
bed [1]
  19:19
begins [1]
  14:7
behalf [4]
  35:13; 102:7; 104:6;
  105:16
behind [27]
  33:4; 44:13; 47:13, 15;
  58:21; 60:12, 13, 20;
  65:16; 77:4, 11, 12, 14;
  78:2, 6, 12; 82:16;
  84:11; 85:3, 21; 86:10;
  89:2, 3, 5, 14, 15; 90:4
believe [15]
  2:22; 15:21; 44:9;
  45:17, 19; 48:10, 11,
  17; 70:5; 74:4; 75:19;
  76:19; 80:12; 85:3;
  88:4
believer [1]
  66:10
ben [1]
  51:19
beneficial [1]
  38:13
benefit [10]
  17:15; 18:21; 19:2:
  21:7; 25:12; 76:18;
  94:2; 96:3, 8
benefits [6]
  15:18; 16:3; 26:18;
  72:19; 91:3; 96:10
bet [1]
  49:21
bill [32]
  3:22; 4:3; 8:1, 10; 9:10;
  11:21; 18:7; 29:5;
  30:18; 31:11; 35:5;
  38:6; 39:9; 45:18; 47:6;
  55:22; 61:21; 65:2;
  72:19; 75:9, 16; 79:5,
  8; 82:19; 86:3; 89:22;
  92:20, 22; 93:8, 10, 12
billion [49]
  30:21, 22; 33:5, 9, 10,
  22; 37:4, 6; 42:4;
  43:21; 44:18; 46:3;
  58:22; 59:1, 19; 69:13,
  16: 71:18: 72:7, 8, 14,
  17, 20, 22; 73:1, 2;
  75:10, 16, 17; 76:3, 4,
  12, 13; 78:3, 5, 9, 20;
  79:4, 12; 80:4, 7, 9, 11;
  82:20; 87:8; 89:3, 5, 10
billions [3]
  50:14, 15
bills [3]
  64:16; 70:18; 71:7
binding [1]
```

```
bioterrorist [1]
  11:16
bipartisan [8]
  2:7; 3:16; 17:8; 79:1;
  81:4, 8; 83:5; 93:2
bipartisanship [1]
  81:15
birthday [2]
  2:16; 3:1
bit [7]
  39:11; 57:19; 58:17;
  67:6; 83:1; 93:19;
  100:1
bless [1]
  52:14
blessing [1]
  13:10
blind [5]
  95:11, 14; 96:4, 21;
  98:2
bloc [1]
  101:4
block [3]
  8:11; 92:6; 101:14
blocks [1]
  96:10
board [1]
  75:14
bob [3]
  78:21; 79:1; 82:4
body [1]
  83:20
bonds [1]
  73:10
book [1]
  51:8
boom [2]
  12:15; 20:17
boomers [1]
  12:16
boosting [1]
  73:14
border [2]
  50:1; 76:21
borrow [2]
  33:13, 14
borrowing [1]
  73:14
bottomline [4]
  64:8; 66:1, 14; 98:19
bought [1]
  46:8
boy [1]
  46:8
brain [1]
  69:2
branch [1]
  68:1
branstad [1]
  87:22
break [2]
  57:5; 63:7
breaks [1]
  66:7
breaux [1]
  15:21
bredesen [5]
  2:11, 12, 13, 22; 14:22
breed [1]
  43:17
```

9:17; 11:11; 30:16

```
briefly [2]
  9:16; 88:22
bringing [1]
  54:3
brings [1]
  18:7
broadly [1]
  36:19
brow [1]
  38:6
brown [1]
  72:19
brush [1]
  40:21
bubble [1]
  43:5
buck [2]
  69:6, 8
budget [26]
  3:8; 15:10; 16:8, 10;
  17:13; 20:9; 33:12, 14;
  34:3; 38:21; 59:9;
  64:12, 14; 69:12, 19;
  70:19, 22; 75:8; 78:7;
  80:9, 11; 81:10; 86:14;
  89:4; 95:4; 97:17
budgets [4]
  6:15; 16:9, 22; 32:19
build [1]
  51:2
building [2]
  10:1, 2
buildings [2]
  9:21; 64:7
burdens [1]
  44:12
burst [1]
  43:5
bush [1]
  90:6
business [1]
  100:13
businesses [1]
  50:21
buyers [1]
  73:13
byrd [1]
  76:6
byron [5]
  38:4; 39:18; 71:13;
  77:1; 93:15
byron's [1]
  82:14
        - C -
```

```
caliber [1]
  5:9
california [1]
  93:17
call [1]
  105:20
calls [2]
  10:19: 56:7
canada [1]
  50:2
cap [1]
  92:8
capacities [1]
  68:17
capital [1]
```

69:9 capitol [3] 4:18; 51:6; 69:11 capitols [1] 69:10 car [1] 46:7 carburetors [1] 46:6 card [3] 3:1; 22:5, 7 care [29] 7:7; 13:7, 10, 17, 19; 15:4. 8: 19:15. 22: 20:4; 21:5, 13; 24:5, 18; 25:1, 13; 38:8; 48:8; 57:5; 62:19; 70:8; 77:5; 82:21; 91:13; 95:20; 99:4, 9, 20 career [1] 3:3 carefully [6] 6:2; 22:4; 25:3; 27:1; 28:19; 65:6 cargo [1] 77:2 carper [1] 4:19 carried [1] 9:19 carry [1] 3:18 **case** [2] 43:12: 85:21 caseloads [2] 7:17; 8:12 cases [2] 28:21; 91:4 castle [11] 38:5; 55:9, 11; 67:1; 81:14; 83:18; 85:9; 98:10. 16. 18: 100:4 catalogue [1] 50:19 catalogues [1] 50:7 catastrophic [1] 13:13 catch [1] 53:13 catching [1] 11:4 categories [1] 26:8 caterpillar [1] 41:10 cattle [1] 36:1 caucus [1] 67:15 caught [1] 26:16 cbo [1] 74:13 celebrated [1] 2:16 cell [1] 45:22 cells [1] 46:1

center [1]

62:15 centers [2] 13:7; 62:17 centuries [2] 51:21: 52:5 century [5] 6:22; 46:16; 74:16, 17, 19 certainty [2] 41:4; 43:18 chair [3] 83:15: 105:16 chairman [11] 1:19: 40:1: 45:13: 64:20; 101:5, 9, 22; 102:20, 22; 103:1; 104:16 chairs [1] 54:20 challenge [12] 6:16; 12:9; 13:2; 14:5, 12; 15:3; 16:19; 17:16; 21:21: 22:2: 25:12: 33:21 challenged [3] 5:16; 15:9; 37:17 challenges [8] 6:6, 15; 19:10; 20:9; 37:19; 48:22; 52:12; 54:15 challenging [1] 40:16 chance [1] 86:17 change [4] 13:18; 60:19; 85:10; 103:13 changed [2] 13:16; 46:15 changing [1] 63:10 cherokee [1] 40:9 chief [2] 40:9; 80:18 child [27] 7:17; 33:3; 44:13; 47:13; 58:20; 60:12, 13, 20; 77:4, 5, 11, 12, 14; 78:2, 6; 82:16, 20; 84:10; 85:3, 21; 86:10; 89:1, 14; 90:4, 8, 9 children [6] 9:2; 14:6; 77:16; 78:12, 14: 88:11 choice [4] 3:10; 21:8; 28:12; 48:14 choices [3] 21:18; 45:7; 97:4 choose [1] 38:11 chorus [4] 101:18; 102:16; 104:11; 105:11 chronic [1]

19:15

57:14

104:3

citing [1]

circumstance [1]

citizens [5] 10:21; 48:11, 16; 90:6; 91:11 **city** [2] 47:19: 82:1 class [3] 5:8: 84:8. 9 classroom [1] 84:9 clear [4] 10:12: 29:22: 30:1. 2 climbed [2] 41:11, 12 climbina [1] 42:5 clinton [4] 89:19; 90:1, 5; 92:22 closed [1] 10:2 closer [1] 89:13 clump [1] 41:11 coastal [1] 103:15 collapse [1] 43:4 colleague [1] 40:8 colleagues [2] 48:10; 69:1 collect [2] 50:16, 22 collected [1] 50:13 collective [1] 69:15 collectively [1] 66:15 college [1] 55:18 combination [1] 99:16 comets [1] 18:17 coming [13] 4:18; 7:3; 14:22; 19:14; 20:17; 24:13; 32:22; 33:11; 40:14; 49:15; 77:2; 80:15; 84:18 commenced [1] 1:16 commensurate [1] 93:7 comment [8] 9:16; 32:15; 36:20; 50:5; 88:20, 22; 98:10; 104:15 comments [1] 83:14 commerce [2] 39:21; 101:6 commissioner [1] 40:3 commit [1] 99:8

commitments [1]

committed [2]

69:19; 77:3

committee [26]

71:9

8:6, 7: 14:18; 23:21; 40:2; 45:14; 54:22; 55:1; 58:10; 59:14; 60:14: 63:6: 64:21: 67:19: 89:21: 101:6: 102:8. 11. 20: 103:2. 11, 19, 22; 104:6, 19; 105:4 committees [1] 40:1 common [1] 12:7 communication [1] 11:14 communities [2] 10:10; 11:12 community [4] 32:5; 55:7; 62:15, 16 compared [1] 90:1 compassion [1] 18:8 compel [1] 12:10 compete [1] 90:9 competing [1] 50.20 complex [1] 62:7 complexity [1] 50:19 compliment [1] 7:8 comprehensive [1] 104:1 computers [1] 84:9 concept [1] 94:16 conceptually [4] 93:18, 21; 94:7, 16 concern [10] 37:1; 60:4, 6; 62:1, 2; 64:1; 91:5, 9; 92:5 concerned [8] 47:2; 56:22; 61:6; 64:10; 70:10; 84:10, 17; 86:4 concerns [2] 57:8; 84:5 conclude [2] 51:4; 100:13 concluding [2] 32:14; 101:1 conducted [1] 103:1 confidence [2] 41:5; 43:17 confirm [1] 89:1 conflict [1] 29:15 confluence [1] 31:10 confront [4] 40:16; 82:4, 16, 22 confronted [1] congratulations [2] 2:20; 35:12

Look-See(3) congress [47] 2:8; 8:5; 12:10; 22:1, 2; 23:18, 22; 30:6; 31:15; 33:2; 35:4; 39:3; 41:19; 42:18: 45:18: 47:14: 48:5, 22: 50:11, 17: 52:7; 56:1, 12; 57:12, 19: 58:7. 10. 12. 18: 59:19; 60:2; 61:17; 62:9; 66:2, 19; 68:5, 16: 73:20: 77:13: 85:12: 87:11. 14: 88:16; 99:18; 100:7, 17: 103:10 congressional [8] 2:7; 35:7; 38:20; 56:8; 67:12; 78:18; 81:11; 100:18 congressman [14] 54:20; 67:1, 7, 10, 18; 68:4, 8; 83:10; 84:4; 88:19; 98:10, 11; 100:4: 103:6 conscientious [1] 79:2 consensus [2] 100:17; 105:18 conservation [1] 47:8 conservative [1] 43:10 consider [3] 38:10; 58:14; 76:19 consideration [3] 30:15; 54:16; 88:4 considered [2] 97:17; 103:5 considering [2] 73:11: 76:17 considers [1] 3:8 constraints [1] 38:2 consumers [1] 55:5 consuming [1] 17:12 containers [2] 49:15, 19 containership [1] 49:16 context [1] 19:4 continental [2] 83:18; 103:17 continentals [1] 85:11 continue [11] 7:10; 8:10; 16:3, 5, 12; 17:1; 33:2; 34:18; 65:8; 81:7: 90:15 continued [1] 35:8 continuing [2] 9:6; 89:13 contrast [1] 73:4 contribution [1] 83:4 control [2]

57:12; 84:12

BSA
controversial [1] 71:8
controversy [1] 80:15
conversation [1] 15:16
conversations [1]
convince [1] 100:17
copay [1] 96:2
copayment [1] 99:14
copays [1] 95:17
corporate [2] 5:4; 43:6
cost [12] 13:13; 27:14; 28:11;
58:15; 72:13, 17; 73:14; 77:15; 78:4;
97:11; 99:6, 7
costs [6] <i>25:21; 73:18; 74:9;</i>
88:11; 99:5, 15 count [1]
28:9
country [33] 9:2, 19; 10:9; 40:17,
18; 41:21; 45:9, 17; 46:17, 19; 47:3, 12;
49:5, 18, 20; 51:10, 12,
14, 17; 52:3, 5, 9, 10;
62:3; 66:12, 13; 77:3; 83:8; 87:13; 99:2, 7;
<i>100:20</i> couple [4]
6:10; 31:20; 41:18;
<i>64:22</i> course [5]
38:3; 41:15; 51:21;
<i>67:6; 89:6</i> courts [1]
26:3
coverage [2] 25:22; 95:12
covers [2] 26:8, 20
coworker [1]
<i>55:8</i> crafts [1]
<i>67:16</i>
create [4] 5:13; 44:19; 51:10;
72:12
creates [1] 74:4
creative [1] 76:20
credit [1]
93:10 crime [1]
<i>5:11</i> crisis [2]
<i>69:11; 99:16</i> critical [9]
9:1, 8; 11:15; 31:5, 14; 32:11; 74:5; 75:2;
32:11; 74:5; 75:2; 76:14
crucial [1]

```
28:5
current [3]
  8:10; 21:18; 42:6
currently [4]
  54:9: 67:19: 77:7: 96:8
curriculum [1]
  84:17
cut [10]
  33:15; 42:21; 64:19;
  71:8; 72:2, 5; 76:6, 7,
  9: 93:4
cuts [5]
  32:20; 42:10, 15;
  73:16; 76:17
cutting [3]
  97:20, 22; 98:1
cycle [1]
  86:13
cycles [2]
  73:22; 74:1
cyclical [1]
  36:5
        - D -
d.c. [4]
  1:11, 18; 9:20; 81:16
daddy's [1]
  23:3
daggone [1]
  98:6
dakota [5]
  39:18; 45:5; 47:20;
  49:16; 93:17
dance [1]
  40:10
dangers [1]
  81:5
daniels [2]
  79:10, 11
day [11]
  15:11; 16:21; 34:8;
  40:20, 22; 41:6; 49:12;
  63:7; 82:3; 105:21
days [9]
  5:18; 6:10; 17:5; 30:19;
  31:20; 56:4; 78:8;
  100:12; 105:18
dead [1]
  28:4
deadly [1]
  10:6
deal [10]
  7:7; 17:9; 23:10, 22;
  24:6, 14; 44:17; 45:21;
  62:10; 95:2
dealing [4]
  43:13; 59:15; 63:5;
  73:17
debate [2]
  37:6; 75:8
debt [2]
  74:11, 14
decade [2]
  6:21; 74:12
decided [1]
  46:10
decides [1]
  46:4
deciding [1]
```

76:9

```
decision [3]
  27:22: 36:11: 98:8
decisions [2]
  65:10: 96:13
decisive [1]
  32:2
declined [1]
  7:17
declining [1]
  36:3
deeming [1]
  70:21
deeper [2]
  73:7: 74:11
deeply [3]
  70:10; 84:10, 17
defense [2]
  29:4: 75:20
deficit [5]
  33:22; 34:4, 6, 9; 42:4
deficits [2]
  34:16; 74:11
define [3]
  6:20; 20:11; 28:17
definition [1]
  53:11
degree [2]
  24:4: 93:5
delaware [13]
  4:21; 52:21; 53:12, 17;
  54:1, 4, 5, 9, 14, 17;
  55:7: 62:17: 64:4
delaware's [1]
  54:11
delayed [1]
  86:17
delegation [2]
  56:8: 100:18
delegations [1]
  80:22
delighted [1]
  55:14
delightful [1]
  55:20
deliver [3]
  31:15; 69:17; 89:17
deliveries [1]
  15:5
delivers [1]
  67:16
delivery [4]
  13:17; 21:14; 22:6;
  27:2
democrat [3]
  24:11; 67:17; 82:2
democratic [8]
  40:2; 45:13; 67:7, 15;
  69:18; 70:19; 71:3, 17
democrats [12]
  41:17; 67:9, 13, 16;
  71:11; 76:15, 19;
  81:11; 82:17; 86:22;
  87:1: 92:21
demographic [1]
  14:7
demographics [1]
  14:10
demography [1]
department [5]
  49:8, 9; 61:8; 88:4;
```

```
103.7
departments [2]
  11:10. 12
dependency [1]
  7:21
depends [2]
  27:9; 94:18
deploying [1]
  95:21
deputy [1]
  54:7
describes [1]
  45:12
deserve [2]
  15:7; 16:4
designate [1]
  75:19
designated [1]
  76:5
designed [1]
  74:21
desire [1]
  27:17
desk [1]
  69:8
detail [2]
  41:16; 67:4
details [1]
  8:9
determine [3]
  39:3; 67:13; 81:1
determined [5]
  8:9; 26:4; 28:18; 31:21;
  73.17
devastating [1]
development [1]
  101:6
devote [1]
  100:15
dial [1]
  21:12
difference [5]
  64:9; 66:15; 85:4; 86:7;
  87:16
differences [2]
  81:21; 82:6
differently [2]
  41:16: 92:2
difficult [3]
  8:19: 21:10: 62:10
difficulty [1]
  32:19
dig [3]
  46:22: 47:3; 73:6
dignity [1]
  7:21
diminished [1]
  12:22
dingell [1]
  72:19
direct [2]
  17:15; 69:15
directed [1]
  83:17
dirk [3]
  4:22; 39:7; 40:8
disabilities [1]
disabled [5]
  95:11, 14; 96:4, 22;
```

```
98.2
disagree [1]
  70:16
disagreement [1]
  65:3
disagreements [1]
  45:16
disappointment [2]
  29:7, 11
disarm [1]
  14:3
disaster [1]
  36:8
discipline [1]
  60:5
discovered [1]
  46:9
discretionary [4]
  70:15; 73:1; 74:21;
  75:3
discuss [2]
  70:17; 94:10
discussed [6]
  7:6; 29:16; 59:2; 76:22;
  103:5: 105:8
discussing [1]
  67:3
discussion [10]
  5:17; 25:22; 29:5;
  52:19; 63:10, 14; 75:9;
  83:13; 86:5; 100:5
discussions [3]
  6:14; 14:17; 61:14
dispute [1]
  96:7
disregard [1]
  56:10
distinguished [1]
  2:19
district [1]
  67:12
divided [2]
  82:18: 92:21
dividend [1]
  73:9
dividends [1]
  44:6
doctor [2]
  22:22; 48:14
dodd [1]
  78:22
doesn't [4]
  28:11; 30:3, 5; 77:22
dollar [2]
  42:21; 97:6
dollars [37]
  25:7, 10; 30:21, 22;
  33:5, 9, 10, 22; 37:6;
  42:5; 43:21; 46:3;
  50:15; 57:22; 59:1;
  69:16; 71:18; 72:14;
  73:2; 75:16, 17; 76:13;
  78:3, 5, 10, 20; 79:5;
  80:5: 82:20: 87:8:
  89:10; 91:15; 92:8;
  95:3, 8
domestic [1]
  40:17
dominates [1]
  57:1
dorgan [12]
```

equally [1]

82:18

equipment [1]

38:4; 39:18; 40:5, 7, 13: 44:22: 45:4: 46:13: 52:16; 77:1; 93:15, 16 dorkin [1] 36:20 doubled [1] 16:11 doubling [3] 12:20; 20:17; 25:5 downpayment [1] 80:3 downturn [1] 97:9 dramatic [1] 59:7 dramatically [5] 7:18: 8:13: 10:15: 13:5: 20:22 drastic [1] 21:17 draw [1] 100:5 drawn [1] 76:2 drill [3] 47:1, 4; 93:19 driven [1] 20:5 drives [1] 74:10 driving [2] 44:17; 85:22 dropped [2] 7:17; 8:13 drought [10] 35:17, 18; 36:4, 19; 37:3, 8; 80:11, 17; 103:4. 5 drug [6] 18:20; 19:2; 22:5; 26:8; 48:12, 20 drugs [18] 15:19; 16:5, 9, 11, 14; 19:8, 16; 20:2; 25:4, 7, 20; 26:12, 15, 21; 27:3; 48:18; 61:19; 99:7 dual [14] 15:13, 14, 16; 16:14; 18:18, 20: 19:3, 7: 20:7, 12; 22:4, 7; 24:1; 25:9 **due** [1] 86:16 duplicate [1] 56:16 dying [1] 68:12

– E –

eagle [1]
41:13
early [3]
5:14; 63:16; 93:20
earth [5]
75:1; 82:11, 12; 83:2;
91:12
earthly [1]
26:15
easier [2]

8:20: 37:15

elements [5]

elevated [1]

86:9

29:6, 16; 65:5; 70:5;

easiest [1] 42:8 easily [1] 55:17 easter [2] 63:7 economic [6] 5:15: 6:12: 34:20: 45:8: 71:12; 101:6 economy [16] 34:11. 14: 35:9: 41:2. 7, 22; 43:14; 44:8; 45:22; 46:1; 57:2, 15; 65:9: 69:20: 71:14: 97:9 **ed** [2] 33:8: 38:18 educate [2] 77:15; 88:10 educated [2] 55:17; 90:8 education [35] 5:12; 14:14; 28:1; 35:4, 7; 44:14; 47:13, 21; 54:21; 55:3; 58:8, 11, 20; 59:14; 60:9, 10; 61:2, 8: 63:6, 11, 16: 67:22; 70:8; 84:6, 7; 86:3, 4, 20; 87:1; 88:2, 5; 89:21; 90:13; 93:10; 95:9 educational [1] 28:10 edward [1] 24:10 effect [3] 75:8; 76:12; 92:6 effective [6] 44:3, 4, 7; 62:18; 87:12; 94:13 effectiveness [1] 31:9 effects [1] 14:5 effectuate [1] 100:9 efficiency [1] 47:8 effort [6] 26:8; 65:7; 81:12; 93:2; 98:7; 99:15 **eh** [1] 98:4 eight [5] 22:12; 42:14; 49:22; 53:20; 100:9 elected [5] 4:16, 21; 5:8; 55:8; 66:11 election [6] 78:20; 80:2, 4, 14; 82:7 electricity [1] 104:3 element [2] 15:7; 25:14 elementary [1] 58:19

22:16 eligible [6] 15:16: 16:14: 19:7: 22:8: 24:2: 78:14 eligibles [9] 15:13, 14; 18:18, 20; 19:3; 20:7, 13; 22:4; 25:9 eliminate [2] 73:8: 95:9 embraced [1] 47:14 emerge [2] 51:16: 52:5 emergency [8] 49:7. 9: 75:12. 19. 20: 80:13, 18 emphasis [1] 18:22 employee's [1] 21:6 empowered [1] 7:19 **en** [2] 101:4, 14 enacted [1] 70:6 encourage [4] 8:22; 61:3, 12; 66:7 **end** [3] 28:4: 64:13: 69:16 ended [1] 90:4 energy [10] 39:22; 45:17, 18; 46:22; 47:5, 10, 11; 103:4, 10: 104:1 enforcement [1] 76:2 engage [1] 98:13 engine [1] 34:20 england [1] 51:12 enhancing [1] 76:21 enormous [1] 76:17 ensure [2] 80:8; 91:11 ensures [1] 74:11 ensuring [1] 76:20 entered [1] 17:1 enthusiastically [2] 23:20; 77:14 entities [1] 104:2 entitlement [1] 13:6 entitlements [2] 14:9; 17:12 enviable [1] 88:1

envy [1]

68:22

37:12

episodic [1]

99:6 equipping [1] 30:13 erase [1] 73:9 esea [1] 58:22 essential [1] 80:1 essentially [4] 80:9; 85:14; 92:15; 94:12 estimated [1] 72:13 evaluate [2] 41:20: 42:18 event [2] 43:10; 79:12 events [2] 37:13; 43:2 everybody [10] 20:8; 60:6; 61:12, 17; 64:1; 72:1; 77:13; 78:16: 83:20: 97:16 everybody's [1] 65:10 exact [1] 8:8 exactly [3] 21:19; 65:4; 92:11 example [5] 32:5; 44:6; 64:4, 7; 95.9 examples [1] 58:8 except [2] 95:5; 103:19 exception [1] 60:21 exceptional [1] 26:16 excuse [1] 52:17 executive [1] 105:3 executives [2] 73:21; 80:18 exempting [1] 44:6 exist [1] 63:3 existing [5] 58:5; 76:2; 101:11; 102:9: 103:12 exists [1] 49:4 expect [3] 8:8; 9:3; 90:14 expectation [2] 89:7. 9 expending [1] 94:1 expenditures [2] 72:10; 73:4 expense [2] 37:14: 95:8 expenses [2] 82:21: 93:7

experience [3] 56:1; 62:8; 92:4 explain [1] 85:8 explaining [1] 84:18 explanation [1] 105:8 express [2] 9:11: 105:15 expresses [1] 37:1 extended [1] 7:22 extent [1] 56:5 extra [1] 89:10 extraordinarily [2] 40:16: 79:2 extraordinary [1] 83.4 extrapolated [1] 27:19 extremely [3] 38:13; 62:6, 18 eve [1] 61:12 – F – **face** [5]

91:12 fact [16] 4:20; 11:9; 39:3; 41:1, 21; 58:5; 69:5, 6, 7; 72:9; 74:2; 75:7; 77:11; 86:4: 90:13: 98:11 factors [1] *27:2*0 failure [1] 70:18 fair [1] 72.1 fairly [3] 59:12; 60:16; 65:15 fall [3] 22:12; 25:9; 89:14 falls [2] 20:21, 22 families [3] 5:11; 9:1; 10:18 farmers [1] 35:20 fashion [3] 16:6; 30:4; 81:4 **fast** [2] 71:14, 17 fast-acting [1] 72:1 fastest [1] 16:7 favor [4] 101:17; 102:15; 104:10; 105:10 favorable [1] 104:4 fear [1] 92:19 featuring [1]

75:1; 82:10, 12; 83:2;

BSA
103:6
february [2] 1:13, 17
1.13, 17 federal [45]
4:12, 16; 6:7; 9:7;
10:12; 11:1, 7, 17, 20;
13:2; 15:15; 17:12, 13;
20:13; 21:6; 24:16; 25:2; 26:4, 12, 18;
27:9, 14; 33:16; 34:22;
38:22; 39:4; 42:20;
49:6; 54:18; 59:3, 4; 63:16; 70:13; 72:18,
20; 74:19; 81:5; 84:18;
88:8; 91:14, 22; 94:14;
95:20; 96:14
federally [1]
<i>33:21</i> feel [3]
7:11; 34:17; 84:22
feeling [1]
11:6
feels [1] 45:19
fellow [1]
83:18
felt [3]
<i>10:3; 23:11; 80:20</i> fewer [1]
11:13
fifth [3]
54:10; 67:12; 71:19
fighting [2] 85:11; 91:2
figure [1]
97:15
figures [2]
<i>74:14; 87:5</i> figuring [1]
82:5
final [6]
2:4; 36:11; 37:9; 50:5;
<i>61:21; 100:22</i> finance [3]
8:6, 7; 14:18
financial [3]
44:19; 54:22; 55:4
financing [1] 15:4
13.4 find [3]
45:1; 48:14
finger [1]
<i>11:1</i> finishing [1]
64:17
fire [1]
49:8
firefighters [1] 75:11
73.77 first [38]
2:12; 3:22; 7:4, 5; 8:10;
10:18; 11:20; 12:4, 16;
18:5; 22:6, 19; 23:13; 24:9; 29:10, 20; 30:18;
31:2; 32:6; 33:9, 19;
39:9; 40:21; 41:1;
39:9; 40:21; 41:1; 43:15; 46:7; 49:2, 10;
54:10; 69:18; 72:3, 5; 73:19; 74:17, 18; 75:10,
17; 103:5
fiscal [15]

```
40:4: 43:10. 13: 58:22:
  59:6, 9; 69:10, 11, 12,
  14: 74:22: 78:10. 11:
  81:9; 91:20
fiscally [2]
  72:5; 74:8
fish [4]
  53:2, 3, 12, 13
fit [1]
  42:20
fits [1]
  88:10
five [4]
  10:7; 37:20; 47:6;
  71:15
fix [3]
  97:12, 16, 18
fixed [1]
  21.1
flag [2]
  39:2. 6
fledgling [1]
  51:14
flexibilities [1]
  95:22
flexibility [24]
  8:14; 27:22; 28:12;
  29:18; 30:5; 32:10;
  60:7, 18; 61:9, 10;
  73:17; 77:6; 79:16;
  88:16: 91:6. 9. 21:
  92:7, 19; 93:2, 4, 13;
  96:5: 98:21
flexible [1]
  47:17
flood [1]
  36:6
floor [2]
  6:8; 71:7
florida [1]
  9:20
flying [1]
  41:13
focus [6]
  5:17; 6:14; 7:1, 20;
  30:12: 37:2
focused [2]
  86:20: 87:1
folks [1]
  76:8
follow [1]
  27:8
followed [2]
  56:4, 15
following [1]
  32:1
forbid [1]
  46:20
force [3]
  12:21: 38:9, 13
forced [1]
  73:13
forces [1]
  102:6
ford [3]
  46:7, 14, 15
foreign [2]
  40:17; 43:16
foremost [1]
```

43:15

forever [1]

```
47:1
forget [2]
  81:1: 97:9
form [2]
  50:9; 102:10
formally [1]
  2.14
former [4]
  40:2. 8: 68:1: 69:1
forming [1]
  38:9
forms [2]
  24:5; 99:13
formulas [1]
  21:12
formulating [1]
  93:20
forth [1]
  78:6
forward [14]
  6:2; 8:19, 14:19, 22:
  16:15: 17:7: 19:11:
  26:9; 29:12; 30:7;
  38:10; 77:20; 102:4, 7
found [2]
  62:17: 81:21
four [16]
  12:12. 22: 45:11: 56:4:
  57:8; 59:11; 71:15;
  73:22; 74:15, 18; 80:7;
  100:11: 103:13, 15:
  105:18
fourth [1]
  9:6
fraise [1]
  96:17
frame [1]
  51:10
framework [2]
  17:11: 97:17
france [1]
  51:12
franklin [1]
  51:19
frankly [10]
  57:11; 70:16; 71:8;
  73:20, 21; 75:13;
  81:20; 85:13, 22; 93:3
free [2]
  73:10; 77:15
fresh [1]
  26:13
friedenthal [2]
  103:20: 104:17
friend [4]
  3:21; 38:3; 45:6; 55:8
friends [3]
  22:21, 22; 23:5
frist [18]
  2:14; 4:3; 18:1, 7, 17;
  19:9; 22:19; 23:3, 13;
  24:8; 26:10; 28:16;
  29:21; 31:17; 36:18;
  39:7, 16; 61:15
frivolous [1]
  23:1
front [1]
```

38:21

94:8

fuel [2]

fruitfully [1]

```
45:22: 46:1
fulfill [1]
  15:5
fulfilled [2]
  35:1, 2
full [6]
  59:17; 63:18; 66:5;
  80:8; 92:10; 102:2
fulling [1]
  85:2
fully [1]
  32:9
fund [8]
  20:19; 51:1; 70:14;
  79:8: 85:19: 90:15:
  93:5: 103:15
funded [10]
  11:2, 3; 33:4; 48:5;
  63:16; 64:11; 78:3, 9;
  85:19; 92:15
fundina [28]
  8:11; 11:19; 12:1;
  14:11; 32:10, 19; 35:3;
  47:15; 48:3, 7; 49:3;
  58:11: 59:17: 70:7:
  72:22: 76:1, 16: 77:4,
  5; 80:1, 3, 8, 22; 85:2;
  86:3; 89:3; 90:17
funds [4]
  17:14; 42:1, 3; 79:19
fungible [1]
  76:7
future [8]
  9:2, 8: 16:13: 19:18,
  21; 41:5; 43:18; 47:10
        – G –
game [2]
  35:2: 92:15
gasoline [3]
  46:6. 14
```

```
gave [5]
  89:4; 92:18; 93:2, 10;
  104:22
gdp [1]
  34:1
generation [2]
  14:6: 74:10
gentleman [1]
  18:9
gentlemen [1]
  82:15
geographic [1]
  32:1
george [1]
  51:19
gets [1]
  19:9
girls [1]
  46:10
give [16]
  15:9, 14; 24:17; 28:11;
  33:17; 35:18; 50:17;
  58:8; 60:7; 64:13;
  79:16: 89:20: 92:6. 7:
  103:12; 104:19
given [4]
  27:22; 29:14; 31:10;
  89:3
```

gives [5]

```
16:7: 22:5: 26:11:
  34:14: 72:2
giving [4]
  8:13; 30:6; 76:13; 91:9
glenn [2]
  38:16, 17
glide [2]
  59:16.20
goal [2]
  8:19: 35:9
gobbled [1]
  53:3
qod [2]
  46:20: 52:14
goes [3]
  16:10: 47:18, 19
gotten [2]
  31:1; 61:20
government [23]
  9:7, 14; 11:2, 18, 21;
  27:9, 15; 33:16; 34:12,
  22; 51:1; 59:3, 5;
  67:21; 73:14; 74:10, 19,
  22; 81:5, 22; 84:18;
  88:8: 99:13
governments [3]
  29:10; 70:14; 73:12
governor [99]
  1:18; 2:3, 10, 12, 13,
  22; 4:21; 10:13; 14:22;
  17:22: 18:1, 3, 4, 14,
  16; 22:14, 18; 23:8, 9;
  25:16, 18; 27:11, 13;
  28:16; 29:1, 3; 31:8;
  32:12, 13, 14, 16;
  33:19; 35:10, 11;
  37:22: 40:7; 50:5;
  52:21; 53:6, 7, 10, 15,
  18; 54:8, 9; 55:12, 14,
  15, 17, 18, 21; 56:7;
  61:1; 62:5, 9; 66:3;
  68:4, 15; 80:10; 83:14,
  15, 21; 84:1, 4; 85:10;
  88:22; 90:18, 21; 91:9;
  92:3: 93:18: 94:21:
  96:12; 97:2; 98:19;
  99:5, 101:5, 7, 8, 22;
  102:2, 20, 22; 103:1,
  20; 104:16, 17, 21;
  105:4, 6
governors [30]
  1:1; 2:5; 4:10; 5:8;
  17:15; 23:17; 25:20;
  32:17; 35:15, 16;
  36:10; 41:19; 42:17;
  50:11; 55:15; 56:2;
  65:18; 66:11; 67:3;
  77:7, 18; 80:18; 83:4;
  87:14; 99:18; 100:8, 13,
  15. 19: 105:17
governorships [1]
  52:7
graduation [1]
  84:8
grand [2]
  1:10, 17
granhoim [2]
  18:15, 16
grant [2]
  8:11; 92:6
```

grants [2]

RSA 72:20: 76:6 arass [1] 41:11 areat [21] 3:16, 20, 22; 7:6; 15:14; 24:12; 33:13; 43:22; 45:21; 46:1; 51:5; 52:10; 57:8; 77:19, 21; 79:3; 84:5; 90:20: 91:21; 100:8; 102:3 greater [2] 97:2; 99:8 greatest [2] 12:9: 51:22 greatly [2] 33:17: 38:1 gross [1] 99.8 ground [5] 9:12; 41:12, 14, 15; 43:12 group [8] 10:6; 15:16; 53:19, 20, 21; 83:12; 93:20; 95:13 groups [2] 95:10: 96:9 grow [3] 34:10, 14; 35:9 growing [3] 16:8; 25:14; 34:21 growth [4] 5:15; 16:12; 26:16; 34:20 guaranteeing [1] 61:10 quess [2] 29:13; 65:11 auest [1] 52:20 guinn [1] 23:9

- H -

half [4] 36:1; 46:16; 69:2; 75:17 halt [1] 9:22 hamilton [1] 55:18 hand [4] 58:4; 76:10, 11; 77:16 handle [1] 22:5 handout [2] 32:22; 57:21 happening [1] 78.1 happy [1] 17:20 hard [1] 87:4 hardest [1] 28:7 hart [1] 10:1

hasings [1]

103:7

hasn't [1]

28:17 haven't [3] 31:1, 5: 70:22 he'll [1] 3:9 he's [9] 18:10: 24:11: 36:21: 39:20; 40:3; 52:17; 54:9; 68:1; 98:9 head [6] 41:14: 63:5: 65:16: 70:12; 78:13, 14 heal [1] 3:4 health [34] 7:7: 10:22: 11:10. 11: 12:4; 13:7, 12, 17; 14:14; 15:4, 8; 19:22; 20:4; 21:6, 13, 14; 22:6; 24:5, 18; 25:1; 38:8; 48:8; 57:5; 62:15, 17; 63:10; 67:21; 70:8; 91:13; 95:20; 98:22; 99:4, 9, 20 healthy [2] 3:6; 8:22 hear [5] 21:3: 28:20: 66:20: 68:12; 98:11 heard [5] 6:7; 29:22; 61:14; 68:18; 103:2 hearing [4] 44:17; 65:12; 68:13; 105:22 hearings [1] 65:1 heart [2] 3:4; 19:10 held [3] 31:4; 64:16; 86:2 **help** [14] 33:13, 16; 34:14; 35:20; 49:11; 51:1, 17; 57:21: 72:20: 79:22: 80:6: 83:8: 88:11: 102:6 helped [2] 83:7: 93:6 helpful [1] 38:19 helping [3] 5:13; 69:19; 100:16 helps [2] 67:13: 87:16 here's [1] 91:17 heretofore [1] 15:8 hhs [1] 86:2 high [7] 8:5; 46:8; 56:9; 85:16, 20; 86:1; 99:10 higher [6] 9:14; 34:4; 60:10;

85:17; 87:5; 88:17

highway [1]

hindsight [2]

23:15; 51:21

72:22

hispanic [1] 62:21 historically [1] 32:3 history [2] 43:7; 52:1 hit [3] 12:17: 14:7: 36:3 hmo [2] 48:13, 20 hold [2] 46:19; 99:15 holden [2] 25:16, 18 hole [1] 73:7 home [1] 66:13 homeland [14] 29:6: 30:12: 33:9: 48:21; 50:3; 57:3; 63:22; 64:2, 10; 70:8; 72:21; 75:20; 76:6, 16 honesty [1] 78:11 honor [2] 3:20: 4:4 honored [3] 2:18; 66:17, 20 hope [7] 3:7; 9:3; 14:8; 34:15; 67:4; 79:13; 81:11 hopefully [2] 7:8: 52:18 hoping [1] 35:17 horizons [1] 12:11 hospital [1] 19:19 hospitals [1] 99:6 hostage [1] 46:19 hotel [2] 1:8. 17 hotline [1] 56:18 hour [1] 28:1 hours (6) 27:20, 21; 28:9, 17; 38:8; 82:5 house [34] 2:8; 8:1; 23:18; 39:1, 20; 45:20; 51:7; 52:7; 54:11, 21; 55:16; 56:18: 61:20: 64:18. 21; 65:16, 22; 67:7, 8, 11, 19; 69:18; 70:21; 71:1, 2, 11, 18; 76:15, 19; 79:6; 86:21; 87:11, 15: 102:4 houses [1] 2:8 housing [1] 70:13 **hoyer** [15] 38:4: 67:7, 10: 68:4, 11, 21; 83:10, 19;

88:19, 21; 91:8; 94:9;

96:12: 97:3: 98:11 huge [10] 12:2; 13:2, 19; 14:4; 62:1; 65:19; 66:15; 86:7: 87:16 hugh [1] 27:9 human [5] 52:1; 63:10; 67:22; 102:1.8 hundred [1] 72:8 hurricane [1] 36:6 hussein [1] 14:3 hydrogen [1] 46:1 _1_ i'd [3] 12:6; 18:1; 69:1 i've [13] 53:16; 54:6; 56:9, 15; 58:3, 4; 60:22; 62:12; 65:14, 18; 66:10; 68:3; 92:16 i.e. [1] 91:18 idea [7] 26:15; 33:7; 34:21; 59:2, 13; 65:14; 86:9 ideas [6] 5:22: 16:18. 19: 47:9: 54:1, 4 identification [1] 60:5 identified [1] 6:4 identify [1] 38:21 ignored [1] 87:10 ignores [1] 73:5 ii [1] 12:15 illnesses [1] 37:12 imbalance [1] 12:13 immediate [4] 44:3, 5; 70:2 immediately [1] 18:21 impact [6] 65:20: 66:16: 69:21: 70:9; 81:6; 98:5 impacting [2] 65:9. 10 implement [2] 24:5: 84:20 implied [1] 48:3 importance [2] 66:21: 80:22 important [15] 4:9, 10, 11; 6:19; 16:1; 17:14; 19:20; 23:12; 27:16; 28:9; 30:3; 50:3;

66:11; 81:1; 83:7 importantly [1] 4:16 imported [1] 46:17 imposed [1] 44:11 impress [1] 81:4 impression [1] 92:18 improving [3] 5:12; 55:2; 80:2 incidentally [1] 42.2 include [6] 9:3: 16:5: 24:3: 30:11: 55:2; 103:15 included [1] 29:9 includes [1] 2.7 income [1] 72:3 incorporate [2] 21:15; 27:4 increase [6] 27:17: 58:15: 59:7: 60:9; 72:18; 93:8 increased [5] 14:11; 58:11; 76:10; 93:11 increases [5] 58:16: 59:8, 12: 60:7, 16 increasing [3] 5:20; 77:5; 86:5 increasingly [1] 30:1 incredibly [1] 77:1 incumbent [1] 81:13 independence [1] 41:18 independent [1] 20:3 index [1] 97:14 indian [2] 40:1.9 indicate [2] 19:5; 92:17 indicated [3] 65:14; 86:5; 87:7 individual [5] 10:17, 21; 56:11; 66:14; 88:18 individually [1] 65:21 individuals [2] 5:9: 13:8 industry [2] 35:22; 36:2 inevitable [1] 12:13 inevitably [3] 13:3; 85:16; 92:7 inexcusable [1] 11:17

influence [2]

BSA 24:12: 80:20 influenced [1] 30:17 influences [1] 10:16 information [2] 68:13: 94:22 informative [1] 103:3 infrastructure [4] 12:4; 14:13; 34:19; 73:3 inherited [1] 26:14 inherits [1] 52:12 initiatives [3] 8:22: 9:4: 11:18 inn [3] 25:4; 68:17; 78:15 input [3] 27:10: 28:19: 36:17 inspect [1] 77:2 inspected [1] 49:19 instance [1] 58:19 instances [1] 80:13 institutional [1] 5:3 instruction [1] 104:20 instructions [1] 104:22 instrument [1] 3:10 insurance [2] 63:2; 99:1 integrated [7] 13:19; 16:6; 19:22; 21:7, 22; 22:13; 24:18 integrating [1] 20:3 integration [2] 19:12: 21:4 intellect [1] 18:7 intelligence [2] 55:1, 6 interact [1] 6:18 interest [5] 63:20; 65:19; 72:12; 73:13: 84:5 interested [2] 67:3: 85:22 interesting [3] 56:19: 67:2: 103:3 interim [1] 103:22 internal [1] 65:5 internationally [1] 30:14 internet [3] 11:13; 50:7, 19 internists [1] 19:14

interpreted [1]

91:5 interrupt [2] 46:20: 80:10 intersection [2] 40:15; 43:1 intervals [1] 52:3 intimate [2] 12:18; 21:15 introduce [6] 2:12, 14; 3:21; 53:8, 16: 64:21 introduced [1] 52:20 introducing [1] 46:2 invest [3] 34:18; 90:6, 12 investing [1] 103:17 investment [4] 11:5, 6, 7; 12:3 investments [1] 14:12 involve [2] 16:19; 99:18 involved [5] 36:11, 21; 63:15; 83:12; 100:16 involvement [3] 23:17; 24:4; 100:12 involves [2] 16:18: 99:3 iowa [2] 90:8, 9 iowa's [1] 87:21 iraq [7] 14:2: 29:15: 34:18: 41:1; 70:2; 82:16 ironic [1] 89:18 irrespective [1] 75:5 irresponsible [1] 74:9 **issue** [20] 6:11, 12, 13; 7:5; 9:16; 12:6; 19:9; 23:6; 28:17; 31:17; 36:5, 18, 20, 22; 48:18; 50:6; 60:3; 62:10 **issues** [35] 3:8: 4:9: 7:13. 14: 14:8: 16:20; 17:9, 10; 23:5; 24:14; 30:16; 34:9, 18; 35:14, 15; 43:13, 16; 48:9; 50:2; 54:19; 56:20; 57:6, 14; 60:5, 6: 63:2: 66:20: 68:2. 6: 83:11; 98:14; 100:16; 103:9 it'll [4] 21:19; 30:20; 58:1; 79:8 items [1]

– J –

45:11

j.w. [2]

1:8, 17 january [1] 71:11 jefferson [1] 51:19 jennifer's [1] 69:3 jersey [1] 9:20 iob [5] 3:5, 14; 28:4; 57:9; 62.18 iobless [1] 6:12 iobs [2] 57:15; 72:13 johanns [3] 101:5, 7, 8 **iohn** [6] 15:21; 38:6, 7, 16, 17; ioin [5] 29:11; 48:13; 55:6, 14; 80:7 iournal [1] 56:18 judgment [6] 44:5: 48:15: 65:17: 87:15; 91:4; 92:1 **july** [1] 63:8 jump [1] 56:9 jumpstarting [1] 69:20 iune [1] 8:1 jurisdictions [1]

keep [2]

3:6; 96:4

57:22

– K –

kempthorn [8] 22:14, 18; 25:16; 27:11; 29:1; 32:12; 35:10: 37:22 kempthorne [7] 4:22; 18:2, 4; 55:15; 68:18: 105:5, 6 kentucky [1] 45:2 kept [1] 71:10 key [2] 39:20; 54:18 kidding [1] 97:8 killing [1] 10:7 kneejerk [1] 37:15 knife [2] 19:13, 17 knowledge [1] 90:12 knowledgeable [1] 61:16

- L -

labor [2] 67:21; 86:2 laboratories [2] 5:21; 25:1 labyrinth [1] 50:18 ladies [1] 82:15 lame [1] 98:2 landlot [1] 49:14 landmark [1] 80:8 languished [2] 75:12. 15 large [5] 30:10; 31:11; 34:1: 89:12: 97:12 largely [1] 76:2 larger [2] 17:11, 13 largest [2] 10:1: 16:19 last [18] 5:17; 6:10; 11:22; 16:11; 17:5; 31:20; 34:2; 37:3; 58:10; 59:9; 64:15; 67:5; 74:16; 80:15; 86:6; 95:10; 103:21; 105:18 lasting [1] 12:19 late [1] 59:11 laughing [1] 69:3 laughter [10] 22:17; 23:2; 40:12; 44:21; 46:12; 53:4, 14; 68:20; 83:22; 84:3 3:5, 22; 4:6; 12:9; 17:6; 2:7; 28:21; 39:8; 51:15,

law [5] 7:16; 42:22; 76:2; 79:18; 80:8 lawsuits [1] 23:1 leader [8] 18:6, 13; 37:22 leadership [11] 16; 52:4; 54:2; 67:8; 69:18: 71:3: 78:18 leading [1] 41:6 learn [1] 26:19 learned [2] 6:3: 27:1 leave [7] 47:15; 78:12; 82:15; 84:10; 85:3; 88:20; 98:10 leaves [1] 75:1 leaving [4] 10:7; 22:22; 55:12; 77:14 leavitt [10] 29:1, 3; 31:8; 38:18;

50:6: 90:18. 21: 93:18: 94:21; 97:2 legislation [12] 11:19; 34:7; 37:2; 38:20; 46:2; 48:2; 79:4; 85:12, 14: 103:4, 6, 10 leaislative [4] 37:14; 62:11; 67:14, 22 legislator [5] 54:8: 69:2: 79:2: 81:22 legislators [3] 4:10. 11: 77:18 legislatures [1] 73:20 legitimate [1] 64:1 length [1] 19:20 lesser [1] 96:22 let's [3] 20:1, 2, 10 **level** [32] 4:12, 16, 17; 6:7; 8:11; 10:17: 13:3: 15:15: 24:16; 25:2; 26:1, 2, 5, 6, 12, 18; 42:20; 44:11; 60:10; 63:16; 89:3; 91:15, 22; 92:1, 4, 19; 94:14: 95:7, 20, 21; 96:14; 98:6 levels [8] 9:15; 29:8, 18; 30:3; 60:14; 74:10; 87:7; 94:14 liability [1] 23:6 life [7] 19:20, 21; 21:16; 24:20; 49:21; 51:3; 96:19 lift [3] 42:9, 11; 52:8 light [1] 70:14 limit [1] 98:12 limitless [1] 47:9 line [1] 12:5 lines [3] 69:21; 99:2; 104:4 link [2] 21:21; 22:10 linked [1] 18:22 list [2] 45:10: 96:22 listen [3] 8:18; 24:4 listening [7] 6:2; 9:10; 25:3; 27:1; 28:19; 38:5; 84:5 listing [1] 33:20 literally [3] 78:7; 82:5; 94:22 live [1] 99:10

lived [1]

102:4: 105:6

movement [1]

BSA
60:15
lives [1]
99:10
living [1]
<i>58:15</i>
load [1]
52:8
lobbyists [1]
87:13
local [8]
10:17; 11:8; 29:10;
57:22; 73:10; 75:11;
<i>79:22; 84:12</i>
logical [1]
95:13
long-serving [1]
<i>55:7</i>
long-term [3]
21:3; 74:5; 99:4
longitudinal [1]
84:16
losing [3]
50:8; 96:10; 97:4
lot [17]
11:4; 14:7, 16; 21:8;
27:19; 32:20; 40:10;
51:9; 57:14; 62:22;
63:3; 65:10; 67:2;
85:12; 86:8; 95:18; 99:12
99.12 loud [1]
29:22
_
love [1] <i>23:4</i>
loved [1]
82:13
tt [2]
53:18; 54:8
lucky [3] 52:10, 11; 58:14
J 10, 11, 00.14

– M –

```
machinery [1]
  79:16
madison [1]
  51:20
main [1]
  50:21
maine [1]
  45:4
maintain [1]
  100:16
maintaining [1]
  77:5
major [4]
  3:9; 21:20; 41:5; 49:15
majority [8]
  3:5, 22; 4:6; 12:9; 17:6;
  18:6. 12: 34:2
malpractice [1]
 22:20
man [3]
  3:21; 18:6, 10
manage [1]
  91:6
management [1]
  103:16
manager [2]
  95:19, 20
```

mandate [3]

```
38:22: 39:4: 79:9
mandated [1]
mandates [4]
  38:18; 39:11; 81:6;
mandatory [2]
  26:1: 97:10
  6:1: 8:6: 64:13: 65:12
market [2]
markets [2]
  36:3: 55:4
markup [1]
marriages [1]
marriott [2]
 32:14; 35:10, 11; 80:10
maryland [5]
 67:13; 68:1; 81:17;
  83:21: 90:8
mason [1]
  45:5; 62:1, 2; 63:22;
  69:5, 6, 7; 86:4; 94:21
matters [2]
  60:4: 73:6
maybe's [1]
mcconnell [2]
  78:22; 79:7
mccullough's [1]
mcwhirter [1]
  75:2, 3; 80:10; 89:11;
 94:3, 10
means [4]
  11:3; 13:1; 34:5; 64:21
meantime [1]
mechanisms [1]
medicaid [26]
```

26:5

87:17

march [4]

mark [1]

103:8

43:4. 5

65:1

8:22

1:8, 17

martz [4]

51:20

mater [1]

2:16

math [1]

72:9

matter [9]

64:5

51:8

24:10

mean [6]

meant [1]

62:14

13:17

14:16, 20; 15:7, 13;

16:8, 9; 20:12; 24:1,

15; 25:5; 44:13; 48:9.

16; 61:15; 62:5; 70:12;

72:17, 18; 89:11; 91:1;

95:5, 15; 96:11; 98:22;

22:20; 23:11; 75:12

13:5. 9. 11. 15. 21:

14:14; 15:13; 16:2, 3,

minnesota [1]

16; 18:18; 19:1, 11;

99:14; 102:5

medical [3]

medicare [27]

92:7

```
21:9: 25:6. 11: 26:20:
  27:4, 5; 34:10; 38:8;
  48:12. 16: 61:14. 19:
  98:22; 99:14
medicine [1]
  13:20
meet [4]
  50:21; 75:2; 90:16;
  105:2
meeting [4]
  1:3: 2:5: 102:3: 105:19
meetings [1]
  68.7
meets [1]
  51.12
member [8]
  39:1, 20: 54:11, 21, 22:
  55:7; 66:2; 67:18
members [12]
  41:19; 56:1; 65:21;
  66:19: 68:5; 81:14;
  86:21: 87:10, 12, 13,
  15; 88:18
membership [2]
  103:14: 104:5
memorial [1]
  63:7
men [1]
  52:6
mentioned [8]
  4:13; 7:15; 14:21;
  15:22; 24:9; 34:19;
  90:22: 93:9
message [3]
  40:21; 67:17; 71:13
messages [2]
  41:1: 69:17
methods [1]
  76:20
michael [1]
  53:19
michigan [1]
  45:4
mid-term [3]
  17:10: 21:3: 37:18
middle [1]
  47:19
mike [11]
  38:5, 18; 53:21; 54:5,
  17; 55:8; 70:1, 16;
  77:9; 81:14; 98:19
military [2]
  14:2; 30:8
million [11]
  15:17; 24:17; 27:5;
  49:15, 19, 20; 72:4, 13;
  79:5: 97:13
millions [1]
  7:18
mind [2]
  31:15: 34:5
minds [1]
  57:4
mine [1]
  103:16
minimum [4]
  28:4; 97:10, 11, 15
minner [9]
  52:21; 53:2, 6, 7, 10,
  15: 55:12, 13
```

```
45:5
minnow [1]
  53:11
minter [1]
  52:22
mintoes [1]
  55:13
minute [1]
  90:20
minutes [3]
  4:19; 17:21; 45:12
mississippi [5]
  22:15, 21; 23:4, 11
missouri [1]
  25:17
mistake [3]
  22:9; 28:14; 46:11
mitch [3]
  78:21; 79:10, 11
mitigate [1]
  95:7
model [2]
  22:13; 46:7
modernization [3]
  8:3: 16:16: 19:11
modernize [2]
  16:2
modified [1]
  13:16
modify [1]
  26:17
moment [4]
  3:7; 38:6; 45:10;
  100:14
money [33]
  8:14; 11:19; 27:15;
  29:19: 30:3: 31:2. 5. 6:
  33:14: 35:6: 45:1: 49:1:
  50:14, 15, 16; 63:17;
  72:12; 75:13; 76:5:
  79:14; 85:5, 6, 8;
  87:19; 91:18; 92:13;
  93:22: 94:17: 97:6. 12:
moneys [2]
  30:2: 62:22
monitor [1]
  18.2
monitoring [1]
  35:19
montana [2]
  35:21; 36:14
month [1]
  40:20
months [8]
  7:4; 8:4; 10:2; 16:22;
  40:20; 41:6; 59:11;
  100:9
morning [6]
  2:4, 9; 23:14; 40:19;
  49:5; 68:9
morning's [1]
  67:5
motion [1]
  105:5
move [12]
  8:20; 19:11; 26:8;
  29:12; 38:10; 48:20;
  87:18; 101:4, 13; 102:6,
```

11; 104:6

moved [2]

```
58:5
moving [3]
  7:20; 45:22; 71:14
multi-state [1]
  104.2
musgrove [5]
  22:14, 16; 23:8; 104:14,
  16
        – N –
n.w. [1]
  1:9
name [2]
  53:1: 85:10
nation [7]
  2:19; 3:19; 75:1; 82:10,
  11: 83:2: 91:12
nation's [1]
  55:4
national [8]
  1:1; 2:5; 3:6; 32:18;
  35:18: 56:18: 91:10:
  103:21
nationally [1]
  67:16
natural [4]
  36:7; 39:22; 102:21;
  103:2
nature [1]
  29:14
nay [2]
  78:21; 79:1
ncsi [1]
  92:4
necessity [1]
  11:6
needs [8]
  6:4; 65:6; 72:21; 73:2;
  75:2, 3, 6; 89:11
negotiated [1]
  44:18
negotiating [1]
  54:18
negotiation [1]
  98:13
negotiations [1]
  37:9
neighborhood [1]
  10:8
net [1]
  76:12
news [1]
  41:6
nga's [1]
 69:9
ngm [1]
  103:14
nice [1]
  40:8
nine [2]
  11:9; 22:12
nineties [1]
  81:4
nobody [1]
  96:18
north [5]
  39:18; 45:4; 47:20;
  49:16: 93:17
```

northern [1] 50:1 note [3] 78:19; 104:20, 22 noted [1] 104:18 notice [1] 1:16 noticed [1] 56:9 noting [1] 103:20 november [1] 69:10 103:15, 16, 17, 19; 104:1, 5 number [13] 6:6; 12:20; 20:18; 29:21; 31:1; 35:22; 36:2; 69:21; 70:1, 2; 86:1: 93:1: 101:9 numbers [3] 42:22; 71:2; 85:15 -0-

o'bannon [5] 32:12, 13, 16; 101:22; 102.2 objection [3] 101:4, 13; 103:20 objections [2] 105:1, 2 objective [2] 83:5: 91:19 objectives [4] 78:5; 89:12, 16; 91:22 obligation [5] 35:1, 2, 8; 42:17; 50:22 obligations [1] 15:6 observed [2] 40:10; 41:11 observing [1] 41:14 obvious [2] 31:11: 89:11 obviously [12] 3:9, 13; 24:1; 60:4, 13; 61:15, 18; 65:3; 80:17; 93:14; 94:11, 13 occasion [1] 2:15 occasionally [1] 3:11 occurred [3] 9:12; 43:8; 44:16 occurring [1] 60:9 occurs [1] 40:13 october [2]

9:18; 103:21

43:21: 71:20: 93:6

9:21; 10:1; 38:21

103:17

4:15

outstanding [1]

offered [3]

office [3]

official [1]

officials [3]

49:6

55:8: 66:11: 79:22 offset [1] 80:12 **oil** [1] 46:17 okay [1] 90:20 **old** [1] 50:13 omb [1] 74:13 on-going [3] 6:11: 14:1: 31:18 one-size-fits-all [1] 47:22 ones [2] 24:4; 36:13 open [2] 18:4, 14 open-ended [1] 85:16 opinion [3] 71:5; 81:7; 82:12 opportunities [3] 4:8: 24:17: 79:17 opportunity [19] 13:22; 15:14, 18; 16:7, 13; 17:19; 26:11, 13, 19, 22; 38:10; 39:13; 45:9: 48:14: 50:17: 53:16; 80:19, 21; 100:8 opposed [6] 89:21; 94:5; 101:19; 102:17; 104:12; 105:12 option [1] 95:18 optional [3] 26:1, 3, 4 options [1] 24:18 order [5] 10:20; 19:6; 48:13; 88:10; 95:7 ordinary [1] 58:18 ore [3] 6:9: 7:3: 17:5 organization [3] 18:19; 53:22; 54:3 originally [1] 85:5 osha [1] 103:15 ought [19] 41:8; 44:1, 2, 9, 11, 15, 19; 45:17; 46:4, 5; 48:11; 50:16, 17; 62:3; 78:11; 85:10; 88:7; 97:19; 98:7 **ours** [3] 6:14; 45:6; 51:10 ourselves [2] 17:1; 28:12 out-patient [1] 26:21 outdated [1] 13:14 outer [1]

overali (6) 19:5, 6, 11; 56:10; 65:4; 66:12 overhaul [2] 18:18, 22 overlap [1] 15:12 overwhelming [1] 93:1 overwhelmingly [1] 76:18 owed [1] 50:9 owens [1] 103:1

– P – pace [1] 60:8 package [9] 19:7; 20:1, 3; 21:4, 7, 22; 44:15; 48:20; 75:10 page [1] 38:22 paid [1] 50:10 painted [1] 32:21 pancaking [1] 43:4 panel [2] 2:9; 103:9 panelists [1] 83:11 panic [1] 10:2 paralyse [1] 10:3 parameters [1] 84:11 parents [1] 90:11 parlor [1] 63:19 **part** [15] 16:4, 8, 10; 17:13; 28:1: 29:7: 47:5: 53:2: 60:8: 61:19: 69:6. 8: 93:11; 101:1; 104:5 participant [1] 53:22 parties [4] 2:9; 75:5; 78:19; 82:6 partisan [1] 3:14 partisanship [1] 81:20 partner [1] 18:12 partnership [1] 9:6 parts [3] 21:16; 46:18; 62:20 party [2] 86:2: 97:4 party's [1] 67.15

pass [6]

30:19; 34:6; 39:3;

70:18, 19; 85:12

10:4

person [2]

passage [1] 49:7: 53:16 103:11 personal [3] passed [11] 8:1: 11:21: 29:9: 30:18: personally [5] 33:6, 11; 41:2; 45:18; 61:18; 74:9; 75:15 passing [1] personnel [1] 82:19 49:9 path [2] 59:16, 20 patients [1] 82:7 13:11 patton [32] **phil** [1] 1:19: 2:3: 17:22: 39:16: 4:13 52:16: 53:5: 55:14; 67:1; 68:15; 83:10; 27:12 philosophy [1] 88:19; 90:18, 19; 98:9; 84:12 100:4; 101:15, 17, 19, 21; 102:13, 15, 17, 19; physical [1] 104:8, 10, 12, 14; 42:6 105:3, 7, 10, 12, 14 physician [2] 13:10: 18:8 **paul** [2] 1:18; 68:12 pie [2] 34:21: 97:8 pay [10] piece [6] 20:19: 26:5: 59:5: 62:3: 63:3; 97:19; 98:12; 99:12, 22 pieces [1] paying [5] 20:18, 21; 25:11: 42:20 44:12; 96:2 place [3] pennsylvania [1] 1:9 placed [1] **people** [38] 10:9 6:20; 8:20; 9:15; 15:6, plaintiff [1] 17; 18:11; 20:4; 22:11; 51:18 23:15: 24:17: 26:14. plan [21] 17; 27:6; 28:2; 32:21, 22; 36:1; 37:4, 5, 7; 69:1: 70:3, 4: 77:22: 78:11; 82:22; 91:22; 94:2, 4, 11; 95:14; 74:8: 84:21 96:10: 97:14: 98:6; planning [2] 99:11, 22; 100:20 37:18; 104:3 people's [2] plans [4] 57:4: 97:21 percent [17] **play** [1] 31:21; 34:1, 4; 42:14; 3:9 played [1] 57:8: 58:13. 17: 59:5. 7, 17, 18; 60:19; 72:2, 54:17 5; 78:15; 86:6; 88:15 plea [1] percentage [2] 100:14 77:2; 99:8 please [3] perfect [1] 98:14 pleased [3] perform [2] 76:11; 90:15 pleasure [3] performed [1] 76:10 plenary [2] peril [1] 1:4, 16 50:4 plenty [1] period [6] 97:5 5:15; 11:3; 34:6; 43:9; plus [1] 47:6 91:1 pocket [1] permanent [6] 42:13, 14; 43:20; 55:1; 39:6 73:16; 104:5 podium [1] permission [1] 2:11 104:22 point [9] perpetrator [1]

21:16; 100:12; 105:15 48:9; 58:2; 63:12; 85:22; 100:15 perspective [4] 4:5: 42:6: 54:14: 77:10 perspectives [1] philadelphia [1] 31:12; 44:15; 46:2; 48:2; 79:4; 95:3 60:22; 61:7; 94:7 21:7; 36:7, 12, 14; 43:20; 44:2, 4, 10; 48:12: 70:6: 71:12, 17, 20; 72:15; 73:5, 8; 31:3, 4; 36:6; 98:21 36:16: 53:8: 55:6 66:20; 78:17; 105:20 3:20; 53:15; 68:8 11:1; 20:22; 26:10; 62:11; 93:15, 16; 98:3; 99:4. 9

problem [7]

point's [1] 85:11 pointed [1] 77:1 points [1] 94:11 police [3] 49:8: 75:11: 76:7 policies [14] 12:13; 101:1, 4, 11, 14, 21; 102:19; 103:12, 13, 18; 105:4, 14, 19 policy [24] 8:12: 35:18: 37:11. 13: 40:2, 14, 17; 41:21; 42:6; 43:10, 13, 16; 45:13: 46:22: 47:1, 11. 14; 101:10, 12, 22; 102:8, 9; 104:1, 7 political [3] 19:10; 85:18; 100:2 politically [3] 20:5: 22:2; 71:7 politics [5] 3:3; 4:14; 24:9, 13; 42:9 **poll** [1] 56:17 polls [1] 57:7 population [16] 12:14; 16:15; 17:11; 20:8, 11, 12; 22:8; 25:10; 28:7, 8; 34:10; 62:19. 20. 21: 95:17: 99:3 populations [1] 28:5 port [1] 76:21 portion [3] 25:13; 31:13; 46:18 ports [2] 49:15; 64:6 position [13] 18:19, 22; 33:1, 15; 35:12; 37:16; 55:20; 67:15; 76:8; 91:2; 95:1; 102.9 positions [2] 54:13; 102:10 positive [1] 78:19 possibility [2] 4:8: 81:8 post [1] 67:8 postal [2] 9:22; 67:20 potential [1] 65:8 poverty [1] 7:17 power [4] 56:12, 13; 57:15, 16 powerful [3] 12:19: 19:17. 19 predict [1] 30:13 predictability [1]

41:4

prematurely [1] 36:1 prepared [5] 10:11, 16: 32:3, 9: prescription [25] 15:19: 16:5, 9, 11, 14; 18:20: 19:2. 7. 16: 20:2: 22:5: 25:4. 7. 20: 26:7, 12, 14, 21; 27:3; 29:8; 48:12, 18, 20; 61:19: 99:7 presentations [2] 62:5: 103:3 presented [2] 29:14: 89:5 presenter [3] 2:12; 53:8; 67:5 presenters [1] 52:18 president [36] 8:21; 10:13; 11:22; 14:21; 27:18; 29:12; 30:19; 31:11; 33:2; 34:7; 35:5, 6; 37:2; 43:22; 44:1; 45:19; 47:14; 48:4; 62:6; 68:1; 71:20, 22: 72:16: 75:18: 77:13: 78:18: 79:7, 10, 13; 81:17; 86:20; 89:19; 90:5, 6; 92:22; 99:18 president's [6] 44:4; 65:2; 70:6; 73:5; 74:7: 75:10 presidential [1] 80:14 presidents [1] 29:4 presiding [2] 1:19: 2:3 pressure [1] 91:20 pressures [1] 31:10 pretend [2] 24:15, 19 pretty [6] 6:20; 43:12; 56:9; 58:13; 81:2; 87:4 prevail [1] 80:21 previous [2] 76:21; 86:18 princeton [1] 2:17 principally [1] 11:1 principals [1] 102:5 priorities [6] 33:20; 45:7, 12; 55:2; 70:7: 80:22 priority [5]

8:5; 9:14; 69:22; 70:3;

101:9

private [2]

79:3

privilege [1]

privileged [2]

27:7; 95:19

27:21; 42:5; 49:20: 91:6, 14; 92:2; 93:13 problems [11] 15:3: 25:4: 40:4: 49:4: 74:5; 82:3, 4, 8, 13, 15; 83:3 process [3] 86:12; 91:2; 93:20 produce [1] 47:4 producing [1] 47:5 product [2] 90:13; 99:9 production [1] 47:7 productive [1] 105:19 professional [1] 63:9 program [27] 2:6, 10; 7:22; 13:6, 9, 12, 21; 15:4; 16:17; 21:20: 28:10: 31:7: 33:5, 7, 8; 48:6; 59:6; 61:1; 63:8, 15; 67:5; 78:15: 90:15: 91:18: 92:14; 99:13; 101:1 program's [1] 92:10 programs [15] 26:1; 33:3; 58:5, 6; 59:2. 11: 60:20: 62:15: 63:16, 18; 65:18; 66:4; 70:14: 76:3. 5 project [3] 16:12; 46:5; 63:19 projected [1] 69:14 promise [3] 22:11; 48:3; 90:16 promises [1] 89:14 promising [1] 26:14 pronounce [1] 52:22 propensity [1] 52:22 proposal [3] 14:20; 74:3; 90:2 proposais [1] 22:8 propose [3] 6:5; 42:10; 48:5 proposed [5] 8:21; 45:20; 70:11; 75:13; 89:19 proposes [1] 71:22 prospectively [2] 97:20, 22 prospects [1] 103:11 protect [3] 10:20: 55:5: 94:3 protecting [1] 9:15 protection [1]

13:13 proud [2] 84:7: 95:11 provide [6] 34:20: 48:7: 72:15: 79:19: 84:20: 95:17 provided [3] 13:12; 54:13; 72:19 providing [1] 44:7 provisional [1] 79:18 **public** [13] 4:15; 7:15; 10:22; 11:10, 11; 12:4; 14:13; 16:18: 17:2: 19:14: 40:14; 41:20; 76:3 **pull** [1] 49:17 pulls [1] 17:13 purposeful [1] 74:20 pursuant [1] 1:16 pursue [1] 94:8 **push** [3] 16:5; 87:2. 4 pushing [2] 36:14; 55:5 putting [3] 25:12; 46:6; 61:7 puzzie [1] 42:19 -Qquality [4] 18:5; 19:20; 55:3;

18:5; 19:20; 55:3; 99:10 quarters [1] 46:16 question [10] 12:8; 18:2; 21:2; 22:20; 23:7; 32:13; 52:3; 83:17; 84:22; 90:22 questions [6] 17:20; 18:17; 25:19; 57:11; 61:5; 83:14 quick [2] 45:11; 90:22 quickly [1] 65:15 quote [1]

– R –

42:2

quotes [1]

56:3

race [1]
56:18
radically [1]
13:18
radio [1]
40:22
rain [1]
40:10
raise [5]
33:14; 73:13; 96:18, 19,

raised [4] 25:19; 67:2; 83:11; 91:15 raising [1] 97:21 ramifications [2] 94:10, 19 ranchers [1] 35:20 range [2] 40:15; 50:2 ranking [1] 67:8 rapid [2] 11:14; 60:8 rapidly [2] 25:14: 61:8 rates [2] 73:13: 84:9 ray [1] 103:8 re-openable [1] 31:13 reach [3] 7:10; 10:19; 81:13 reacting [1] 37:12 reaction [1] 37:16 read [5] 56:3; 57:17; 62:4; 92:16; 96:15 reading [2] 51:8; 65:11 reaffirmation [1] 101:12 reaffirmed [1] 103:12 **real** [5] 5:21; 24:20; 58:4; 83:6; 91:20 realities [2] 9:12; 15:10 reality [3] 34:15; 78:10; 94:3 realization [2] 5:20; 17:2 realize [3] 56:11, 22; 99:2 reason [5] 7:10: 53:1: 64:15: 70:17: 86:3 reasons [1] 54:2 reauthorization [5] 8:4; 9:4; 27:16; 77:6; 101:10 rebuild [2] 12:3 recall [1] 81:3 receive [2] 12:8: 96:3

29:4; 51:8; 86:18

recent [1]

40:20

recently [3]

recession [2]

103:16

32:19; 43:2

reclamation [1]

recognition [1] 22:11 recognize [5] 2:14; 12:2; 14:1; 28:7; recognized [1] 101:7 recognizing [1] 17:8 recommend [2] 62:14; 102:7 recommendations [1] 104:7 recommended [1] 103:14 recommending [1] 104:2 reconciliation [1] 64:17 record [1] 88:1 recover [2] 41:2, 7 recovery [1] 6:13 reduce [3] 5:10; 74:21; 91:3 reduced [1] 42:13 reducing [1] 5:11 reduction [1] 96:8 reflected [1] 17:4 reform [23] 8:3; 9:9; 19:1, 5, 6; 23:6, 16; 24:1; 28:6; 38:8; 54:21; 77:6; 78:20; 80:4, 14; 82:8, 17, 18; 92:20, 21; 93:5, 8; 102:5 refused [1] 75:18 regard [1] 26:3 region [2] 32:1: 47:20 registration [1] 79:17 reinvent [1] 98:19 relate [2] 35:16: 57:14 related [3] 29:6; 35:15; 82:21 relates [2] 12:7; 57:3 relatively [2] 43:8; 100:20 released [1] 11:20 relevance [1] 55:3 relief [2] 72:15; 81:9 remain [1] 10:11 remaining [1] 97:1

remarks [4]

7:1, 9; 19:4; 91:1 remember [2] 26:20: 87:18 remembers [1] 3:7 remind (3) 5:3; 17:1; 39:5 reminded [2] 4:20; 52:21 remit [1] 51:1 remote [1] 50:7 removes [1] 73:16 rendell [4] 27:11, 13; 38:18; 99:5 renewable [1] 47:9 replace [1] 79:16 report [2] 74:13 reported [1] 69:10 represent [1] 6:20 representative [13] 36:15: 55:11: 68:11. 21; 83:18, 19; 85:9; 88:21; 91:8; 94:9; 96:12: 97:3: 98:18 representatives [6] 54:12; 55:16; 64:19; 65:17; 67:11; 83:16 represented [2] 51:11, 22 representing [2] 54:10; 67:12 republic [1] 70:20 republican [7] 24:11; 71:3, 20; 82:1; 86:2; 87:4; 93:12 republicans [5] 41:18; 81:12, 19; 86:22; 93:1 reputation [1] 3:15 request [1] 27:14 require [1] 11:5 required [2] 30:8; 88:8 requirement [3] 27:18; 28:1, 11 requirements [5] 8:16, 17; 90:2, 3 requires [3] 39:2; 79:19; 104:4 research [1]

55:3

resisted [1]

resolution [7]

21, 22; 102:4

resolutions [2]

56:6; 57:17

resolve [1]

64:12, 15; 65:13; 70:19,

28:13

48:18 resources [8] 30:14; 39:22; 84:20; 102:1, 8, 21; 103:2, 22 respect [10] 3:21: 18:20: 43:18, 22; 44:1, 10; 45:8; 49:21; 51:5; 99:20 respected [1] 18:10 respectful [1] 45:15 respectfully [1] 41:9 respirator [1] 3:11 respond [4] 10:18; 11:16; 49:11; 76:14 responder [2] 33:9; 75:17 responders [8] 10:18; 29:10, 20; 30:18; 32:7; 49:2, 10; 75:11 responds [1] 44:16 response [9] 7:5; 12:5; 35:7; 37:3, 14; 101:20; 102:18; 104:13; 105:13 responsibilities [2] 6:17; 70:12 responsibility [9] 11:7, 8; 20:14; 27:9; 69:3; 77:19; 81:6; 82:19; 91:10 responsible [1] 72:6 rest [3] 55:19; 73:16; 95:17 restore [1] 46:9 restored [1] 46:7 restrict [1] 70.7 result [3] 42:14; 43:5; 62:12 revenue [2] 50:8; 91:18 revenues [3] 96:20; 97:20; 103:17 reviewed [1] 103:9 rewarding [1] 55:20 rhetoric [1] 77:15 richardson [1] 55:22 richest [5] 75:1; 82:10, 11; 83:2; 91:12 ridge [1] 10:13 rieber [2] 36:13, 15 right [14] 8:12; 20:9; 21:19;

22:10; 30:21; 32:6;

35:21; 38:21; 48:1; 59:20; 65:16; 66:5; 86:12: 90:11 risk [1] 20:1 risks [1] 99:3 road [1] 77:17 roads [1] 51:2 rock [1] 75:22 role [7] 3:9; 10:12, 14, 15; 54:18 roles [1] 15:7 **rolls** [3] 95:15; 96:4; 98:2 ronnie [1] 22:18 **room** [5] 5:5; 14:6; 24:22; 64:1; 78:15 rose [1] 48:13 round [1] 19:8 run [2] 43:12: 49:18 running [1] 79:11 rural [1] 82:1 ruth [1] 61:1 - S **sa** [2]

safety [1] *76:3* sake [1] 101:3 sale [1] 50:22 sales [1] 50:7 **sat** [2] 82:4: 84:8 save [1] 72:11 saying [6] 24:8; 37:10; 50:20; 78:8; 81:2; 96:13 scalpel [1] 3:11 scandals [1] 43:7 scares [1] 21:17 school [4] 33:8: 46:8: 47:18, 19 schools [2] 5:13; 51:2 science [2]

13:20; 39:21

44:16: 92:11

saddam [1]

14:3

scored [1] 38:20 scores [1] 84:8 screeching [1] 9:22 scrimp [2] 50:3; 83:1 second [16] 3:5; 7:5; 8:15; 39:19; 43:2, 19; 48:3; 67:8; 101:15, 16; 102:13; 103:9: 104:8, 9; 105:8, secondary [1] 58:19 secondly [1] 70:5 secretary [3] 10:13; 61:2; 103:8 section [1] 104:2 sector [2] 27:7; 95:19 security [26] 13:7, 12: 15:8; 21:10, 11; 29:6; 30:13; 33:10; 34:9; 42:1; 48:21; 49:21; 50:1, 4; 57:4; 63:22; 64:3, 5, 10; 70:8; 72:21; 75:20; 76:6, 16, 20, 21 seize [1] 80:21 select [1] 55:1 **sell** [1] 46:10 sellers [2] 50:19, 20 senate [30] 2:8: 3:22: 4:6: 5:3. 5: 6:1, 9; 7:4; 8:2; 9:14, 21; 10:1; 12:10; 17:7; 23:18; 28:18; 38:4, 12; 39:10, 19, 21; 46:3; 51:7; 61:20; 65:22; 68:2; 71:1; 81:18; 86:21; 87:15 senator [44] 2:14, 20; 3:5, 14; 4:3; 17:22; 18:16; 19:9; 21:6; 22:14, 16, 19; 23:3, 13; 24:8; 25:18; 26:10; 27:13; 28:16; 29:3, 21; 31:17; 35:11; 36:13, 18, 20; 39:7, 16, 18; 40:1, 5, 7, 13; 44:22; 45:4; 46:13; 52:16: 61:15: 68:18: 73:19: 78:21, 22: 79:1. senator's [1] 3:2 senators [2] 28:21: 41:19 sending [1] 91:6 senior [3] 48:11, 15; 67:18 seniors [12]

12:20: 13:1, 7, 13; 16:4; 20:18; 21:4, 18, 19; 25:6, 9; 48:19 sense [7] 32:17; 45:21; 46:1; 47:11; 53:7; 62:10; 91:10 september [3] 11:9; 43:3; 86:16 series [1] 96:19 serious [4] 28:2; 42:5; 48:22; 49:4 servants [1] 4:15 serve [4] 14:18; 47:2; 52:13; 55:5 served [6] 18:9; 53:20; 54.5, 8, 15; 81:21 serves [2] 45:16: 67:20 service [8] 2:19; 7:16; 9:22; 16:18; 17:2; 19:14; 52:14; 67:21 services [6] 54:22; 63:11; 67:22; 76:9, 11; 89:17 serving [4] 11:12; 53:21; 67:10 session [9] 1:4, 16; 2:4; 18:3; 23:10; 38:7, 12; 66:5; 100:14 sets [1] 85:15 settle [1] 14:8 seven [7] 12:17; 20:16; 22:12; 33:4; 87:7; 92:12; 100:9 severely [2] 70:6, 9 shape [1] 14:19 share [3] 4:5: 50:2: 72:18 sharing [3] 23:14; 72:17; 91:18 sharp [1] 73:4 shelf [1] 103:17 shift [1] 70:11 shifting [2] 14:10: 81:6 short-term [1] 17:9 shortfall [1] 69:16 shortfalls [2] 69:12, 14 shorthand [1] 92:16 show [1] 79:11 showing [1]

57:7 sick [1] 3:4 sides [3] 3:17; 18:10; 68:14 signed [8] 11:22; 29:4; 30:20; 35:5; 37:2; 42:22; 77:14: 92:22 significant [8] 43:6; 48:8, 17; 52:12; 59:12; 60:11; 64:14; 81:9 signing [1] 78:9 single [3] 10:5; 41:6; 66:1 **sir** [2] 98:11, 15 **sit** [2] 38:11; 99:19 **sits** [1] 4:22 sitting [3] 5:1; 62:9 situation [1] 65:8 situations [1] 76:14 **six** [9] 33:4; 38:8; 39:19; 49:22; 58:10, 16; 86:6; 87:7; 101:11 size [1] 74:21 sizes [1] 84:9 skimp [1] 76:16 **sky** [1] 97:8 slate [1] 26:13 slightly [1] 94:4 slowed [1] 31:4 smooth [1] 37:13 so-called [1] 87:17 social [4] 21:10, 11; 34:9; 42:1 sold [1] 35:22 sole [1] 54:11 solution [1] 99:20 solutions [4] 5:22; 6:4; 61:19; 82:9 solve [3] 82:12, 14; 83:3 somebody [3] 92:5; 93:8; 96:2 somehow [2] 36:11: 96:22 someone [2] 18:11; 49:8 somewhat [4]

63:8; 86:17; 88:12;

89:18 somewhere [2] 15:17; 49:5 sophomore [1] 46:8 sorry [1] 23:7 sort [10] 21:5; 27:2; 34:7; 39:9; 56:15, 19; 59:10, 16; 63:14; 65:1 sorts [1] 44:20 sources [1] 47:9 speak [3] 66:18; 68:18 speaker [1] 39:17 speakers [2] 76:22: 102:3 special [5] 2:15; 5:8; 23:10; 33:8; 44:14 specific [5] 8:17, 22; 15:2, 12; 25:3 specifically [2] 14:17; 29:18 speech [1] 68:12 spend [3] 8:14; 25:7; 91:15 spending [5] 58:11; 60:10; 70:15; 74:21; 95:8 spends [1] 71:21 spent [4] 28:9; 36:21; 49:2; 53:18 spread [3] 94:1, 18; 96:22 squeeze [2] 70:15: 74:20 squirrel [1] 41:12 staff [2] 6:3; 11:10 staffs [1] 77:8 stand [1] 81:12 standard [4] 27:6; 44:20; 45:8; 82:14 standards [5] 61:6: 84:13: 87:21: 88:6; 89:20 start [9] 26:13; 39:10; 63:5; 64:19: 65:16: 70:13: 78:13, 14; 86:15 started [1] 54:7 starting [1] 86:14 **state** [43] 3:17; 4:1, 17; 10:14; 11:8; 13:3; 22:22;

24:14; 26:2, 6; 40:3;

44:9; 49:14; 51:6; 54:8,

10, 19; 66:14; 68:2; 11, 13; 87:14; 88:10; 92:1, 4, 19; 95:4, 10, 20 states [67] 13; 10:15; 12:10; 28:18; 29:10; 34:22; 50:9, 10: 51:3; 55:6; 56:11, 13; 57:13, 22; 58:12, 15; 61:17; 63:15; 67:11; 69:15, 73:1, 3, 5, 7; 80:19; 81:9; 89:15, 17; 90:2, 14, 16; 91:18, 20; 93:13; 94:8; 98:12; 99:19 statewide [1] 79:17 static [1] 69:20 statute [2] 59:4. 18 stay [5] 52:18; 66:8; 67:4; 83:13; 90:20 steny [4] **step** [3] 22:6; 37:11, 19 steps [1] 4:19 stimulus [6] 43:19; 44:2, 7, 15; 71:12; 72:11 stock [1] 43:4 stop [1] 17:18 stops [2] 69:7, 8 storm [1] 69:20 story [3] 3:2; 41:6, 10 straighten [1] 8:16 straightjacket [1] 74:22 strained [1] 6:15 strategy [1] 67:14 street [1] 50:21 strengthen [1] 8:15 stretch [1] 12:11 strings [1] 31.6

5:4; 9:1, 6; 11:8

strongest [1] 69:9, 10, 11; 73:10, 20; 81:18 strongly [2] 75:11; 77:5, 18; 79:22; 80:21; 81:21, 22; 84:6, 3:15; 62:15 structure [2] 34:13; 84:16 structured [1] 96:9 3:9; 4:6; 5:3, 5, 21; 6:1, struggle [2] 8, 21; 7:4; 8:2, 13; 9:7, 16:20: 100:2 struggling [1] 14:21; 17:7; 21:6; 24:6; 41:22 students [2] 35:3, 4, 21; 36:8; 39:4, 84:13, 15 studies [1] 10; 42:13; 44:12; 45:9; 84:16 study [1] 23:21 studying [1] 19; 70:9, 12; 72:16, 20; 55:4 stuff [1] 55:13 sub-segment [1] 30:17 subcommittee [1] 54:20 subcommittees [1] 67:20 subject [1] 62:7 submitted [1] 78:7 subsequent [1] 43:3 subsidies [1] 38:4; 67:7; 84:2; 86:22 70:13 substantial [8] 11:5; 46:18; 50:8, 14; 59:8: 60:16: 74:5: 90:7 substantially [1] 90:3 substitutes [1] 102:10 succeed [1] 40:19 success [6] 9:8; 23:16; 28:6; 31:20, 21; 40:10 successful [4] 3:3; 9:10; 28:15; 100:21 successfully [1] 28:8 sufficient [1] 42:22 suggest [1] 45:7 suggested [1] 43:9 sum [2] 97:2, 12 summer [1] 69:17 **sums** [1] 90:7 sunday [1] 103:2 super [1] 103:15 strong [4] supplemental [4]

29:13; 30:7, 9; 75:15

6:12; 9:17; 14:1; 31:18;

34:17: 43:3: 57:3:

73:18: 75:21

66:3, 12, 15: 74:3:

87:10; 88:14; 90:2;

98:22

terrible [1]

96:16

terrorism [9]

terrorist [2]

10:3, 5

supply [1] 46:21 support [3] 33:13: 43:20: 48:11 supported [5] 23:21; 42:21; 75:14; 77:12; 78:19 supporters [1] 81:18 supporting [1] 12:22 surely [1] 35:15 surgeon [2] 3:4, 10 surgeon's [2] 19:13, 17 surgery [1] 3:12 surplus [3] 74:17, 18: 97:6 surrounding [3] 9:17; 36:19; 103:10 survey [1] 69:10 sustain [1] 12:3 sustained [1] 5:15 sweetener [1] 19:6 **system** [13] 10:22: 12:16: 14:15: 20:19, 21; 24:15; 27:2; 74:1; 80:3, 16; 84:7; 90:10 systems [3] 21:14; 22:6; 35:19

- T -

t21 [1] 101:10 table [10] 14:20: 19:22: 22:9: 31:3; 38:16, 19; 63:13; 75:5, 13; 92:11 takes [4] 12:2; 21:14; 25:12; 39.1 talent [1] 51:22 talk [12] 21:3; 32:17; 33:2; 34:9; 35:1; 45:8; 68:6; 78:13; 81:13; 87:11; 88:14 talked [7] 6:10; 14:16; 31:19; 34:7; 89:5; 96:14; 100:11 talking [17] 45:13: 50:18: 56:4, 14, 20; 58:6; 60:12; 73:11; 78:8; 88:15; 93:21; 96:5, 17; 97:21; 98:5; 99:5 talks [1] 67:6 tanf [2]

8:11; 27:16

tangled [1]

59:10 target [2] 22:7: 59:17 targeted [1] 37:7 task [3] 38:9, 12; 102:6 tax [21] 40:3: 42:10, 13, 14, 21; 50:9, 13; 51:1; 64:19; 70:6; 72:2; 73:9, 12, 16: 76:17: 97:10. 11. 15 taxation [1] 44:6 taxes [7] 5:10; 33:15; 91:15; 96:17, 18, 19, 97:21 taxing [1] 50.7 teachers [1] 84:14 teams [1] 75:12 tech [1] 43:5 teens [1] 57:6 teeth [1] 40:21 television [1] 40:22 telling [1] 51:4 tells [1] 92:5 template [1] 47:18 templates [1] 47:22 temporarily [1] 7:22 temporary [3] 44:3, 4, 5 ten [16] 11:10; 25:8; 37:21; 46:3; 47:6; 57:19; 60:1; 62:8; 72:2, 4, 7, 19; 81:19; 84:8; 97:7; 102:9 ten-year [1] 92:14 tend [1] 73:21 tended [1] 90:5 tennessee [4] 2:11; 3:15; 4:1; 15:2 tens [2] 82:5 term [13] 20:4, 15; 21:3; 22:3; 34:15; 37:18; 39:19;

54:10; 67:10; 92:9;

4:7; 7:7; 11:18, 19;

13:19; 16:22; 35:3;

37:7, 8, 17; 39:20;

62:1; 63:21; 65:4, 5;

99:20

terms [27]

terrorists [2] 10:6, 10 terry [1] 87:22 testing [5] 61:7; 84:15; 88:6; 89:19. 20 thank [36] 2:13: 4:3: 17:19. 22: 18:16: 23:14: 25:18: 28:16; 29:3; 35:11; 36:18; 39:7, 13, 16; 40:7; 52:13, 16; 53:10; 55:11: 66:21; 67:1; 68:9, 11, 15; 83:8, 10, 15: 85:9: 98:15, 18: 100:4, 6; 101:8; 102:22; 105:20 thanks [1] 105:15 that'ii (1) 29:13 then-chairman [1] 89:20 theory [1] 97:22 there'll [1] 61:10 there's [26] 10:12, 14, 15; 11:7; 15:12; 37:5; 38:22; 41:10: 51:18, 20: 52:22: 56:18. 22: 57:20; 59:7; 63:14; 64:2, 5; 65:3; 75:9; 81:8: 86:17: 88:16: 91:10; 101:12 thereafter [1] 43:1 thereby [1] 73:14 they'll [1] 52:18 they're [13] 25:6; 30:8; 41:15; 57:7; 62:18, 19; 84:19; 86:14; 87:5; 92:6, 8; 95:12 they've [2] 35:2: 60:9 thinking [1] 59:19 thinner [1] 94:18 third [5] 7:6; 8:21; 11:11; 12:6; 70:10 thomas [2] 51:19: 64:20 54:9; 57:22; 58:7, 18; thompson [2]

10:13: 62:5 thoughtful [1] 54:15 thoughts [2] 4:5; 86:11 thousands [4] 10:7, 8: 13:11 **three** [13] 7:1. 2. 13: 30:19: 45:11; 46:15; 57:7; 69:17: 71:15: 74:16: 92:13; 105:18 throw [1] 39:1 thursday [3] 11:22; 35:5; 37:3 tidal [2] 12:18: 14:7 tie [1] 3:1 ties [1] 5:4 tight [1] 75:8 timeline [1] 64:13 timely [1] 30:4 times [3] 42:15, 16; 98:20 timing [2] 40:11, 14 title [3] 12:18; 60:21; 86:8 tom [1] 4:19 tonight [1] 46:20 tooi [2] 38:20; 95:19 topics [1] 67:2 tornado [1] 36:7 total [2] 60:7; 71:18 touch [5] 12:7; 25:19; 59:14; 61:8; 66:8 touched [2] 7:2; 65:18 touches [1] 36:8 touching [1] 7:6 tough [3] 43:12; 96:15; 97:3 tougher [1] 42:16 toured [1] 62:16 towards [1] 28:10 track [2] 43:15: 52:9

tradeoffs [1]

traditional [1]

96:20

53:6

train [1]

64:4

trained [1] 32.7 training [1] 49:1Õ trains [1] 64:5 tranche [2] 11.20; 31:2 transition [2] 28:8; 47:6 transitioning [1] 28.2 transmission [1] 104:3 transportation [1] 39:22 traveled [1] 51:9 traveling [1] 12:16 treasury [1] 67:20 treatment [1] 10:9 tree [1] 41:12 tremendous [5] 15:19; 18:7; 25:21; 56:12: 80:19 trillion [4] 25:7, 10: 42:21: 97:6 troubled [1] 46:18 true [4] 40:14; 42:8; 56:5; 86:22 truly [2] 28:14; 34:14 trust [3] 20:19; 42:1, 3 tuesday [2] 1:13. 17 turkey [7] 44:18, 19; 45:1, 5, 8; 82:13, 14 twice [1] 71:21 two-year [1] 73:22 type [2] 43:20; 56:18 – U – u.s. [6] ultimately [1] 90:4

39:19, 20; 51:7; 103:7 unable [1] 75:2 unacceptable [2] 11:17; 76:16 unanimously [2] 23:21: 103:19 uncontested [2] 74:12, 14 underpinning [1] 9:9 understand [10] 25:21; 27:17; 32:11; 35:8; 69:13; 77:9;

RSA 83:19: 92:12: 96:13: 104:17 understandable [1] 74:1 understanding [2] 40:4: 104:21 understands [1] 88:5 understates [1] 9:9 understood [1] 85:13 undertake [1] 100:2 unforeseen [1] 43:11 unfunded [7] 38:17, 22; 39:4; 79:8; 81:5; 82:19; 87:17 unique [1] 40:3 unite [1] 21:5 united [24] 4:6; 5:2, 4, 22; 6:8, 21; 7:4; 8:2; 9:13; 12:9; 14:21; 17:6; 28:18; 34:22; 35:4; 39:10; 55:5; 56:13; 57:13; 58:12, 15; 61:17; 67:11; 99:19 unity [1] 67:14 university [2] 2:17; 83:20 unlikely [1] 57:20 unmet [2] 72:21; 73:1 unprecedented [2] 12:14; 40:18 unprepared [2] 10:11: 32:4 unquote [1] 42:3 unreal [1] 83:6 unrelated [1] 20:3 unusual [1] 58:13 unveiled [1] 71:11 unwilling [1] 100:2 updated [1] 69:12 upgrading [1] 80:2 urban [1] 81:22 **urge** [3] 80:7, 20; 83:6 urgent [1] 72:21 utah [2] 29:2; 96:16

utmost [1]

- V -

18:9

value [1] 30:6 variety [2] 57:6: 68:6 version [3] 8:14; 13:14; 58:21 versus [2] 82:1 vice [2] 103:1: 105:16 **view** [3] 62:11; 74:8; 99:4 viewed [1] 13:5 vilsack [4] 83:14, 15; 84:1, 4 vilsak [1] 55:17 virtually [1] 69.9 visions [1] 77:21 vital [2] 70:7; 105:19 vitally [1] 56:21 voices [4] 101:16; 102:14; 104:9; 105:9 voluntary [1] 89:19 vote [3] 39:2; 80:1, 6 voted [3] 82:17; 92:20; 93:1 vulnerable [1] 20:11

- W -

wage [1]

28:4

walk [1]

4:22

wanted [2] 82:7; 88:21 wants [2] 39:3; 96:18 **war** [15] 6:11; 12:15; 14:1; 31:18; 34:17; 41:1; 43:3, 16; 57:3, 9, 13; 65:7, 8; 73:18; 75:21 washington [11] 1:11, 18; 3:14; 9:20; 51:20; 54:17; 72:9; 78:1; 81:2, 16; 98:6 watch [1] 39:9 water [1] 36:4 **wave** [3] 12:18; 14:7 **ways** [5] 7:19; 32:10; 48:17; 64:21; 93:14 we'd [1] 39:5 we'll [10] 2:6; 8:15; 30:12; 38:5;

60:3; 64:22; 71:1;

94:18; 101:5; 105:1 we're [58] 2:9; 6:7, 20; 16:3; 24:4, 16: 27:22: 28:2. 9: 30:6: 32:8: 33:15: 35:19; 37:16, 17; 38:2; 46:5; 50:18, 20; 52:10; 56:20, 21; 57:12; 59:13, 14; 60:12; 62:12; 63:5, 21; 69:18; 70:10; 76:9; 77:3; 78:12; 79:15, 19; 81:2; 82:13; 86:12; 89:7; 93:3, 4, 19, 21; 95:8, 9, 21; 96:5, 9, 10, 13; 97:7, 16, 18, 19; 98:14; 99:9; 100:5 we've [25] 13:15, 17, 18, 19; 15:8; 31:19; 32:20; 34:3; 35:22; 36:7, 12, 21; 58:11; 61:20; 71:2; 75:7; 76:4; 80:14; 84:13. 15: 93:22: 97:10; 100:11; 105:8 week [3] 27:21: 40:20: 66:6 weekend [1] 2:15 weeks [6] 6:9; 7:3; 59:10; 64:20, 22: 65:15 welcome [3] 2:4: 53:19, 20 welcoming [1] 55:6 welfare [23] 7:4, 15, 16, 21; 8:2, 3, 20; 9:4, 8, 10; 23:16; 28:3, 4, 6, 15; 66:12; 77:6: 82:17, 18: 92:20, 21; 93:5, 8 well-equipped [1] 10:17 64:7 11:15 49:6 93:16 35:16, 21; 36:8, 10

well-guarded [1] well-prepared [1] well-trained [1] weren't [1] western [4] what's [2] 52:9: 57:4 whatsoever [1] 26:21 wheel [1] 98:20 wheels [1] 49:18 whereupon [1] 105:22 **whip** [2] 67:7, 13

white [3]

who's [2]

willing [5]

49:6; 66:2

45:20; 52:6; 79:6

68:5; 84:19; 90:12;

99:11, 22 willingness [1] 3:16 wilson [1] 2:18 winch [1] 49:17 winter [3] 1:3; 2:5; 68:7 **wise** [3] 102:20, 22; 104:21 wish [1] 52:14 wished [1] 24:12 witnessed [1] 75:7 women [1] 52:6 won [1] 32:1 won't [2] 7:7: 49:5 wonderful [2] 13:9, 12 wondering [2] 29:15; 104:18 woodrow [1] 2:18 word [1] 53:7 words [3] 20:7; 71:13; 78:4 work [31] 3:16; 4:8; 7:21; 8:15, 16, 17, 20; 12:21; 23:21; 27:3, 18; 28:3, 10, 15; 35:13; 44:17; 47:16, 20; 48:6, 16; 53:17: 62:13: 64:9: 66:8; 68:16; 70:4; 79:3; worked [5] 51:6; 62:21; 78:22; 79:21: 81:3 workers [3] 12:22; 13:1; 20:18 working [24] 3:4, 6, 17, 18; 5:10, 11, 21: 9:11: 14:19: 15:1: 16:1, 15; 17:7; 24:10; 38:12, 16; 50:6, 11; 51:13; 60:22; 79:15; 80:8, 16; 94:1 works [5] 20:20; 27:2; 41:21; 78:16 world [7] 12:15; 41:12, 13, 14; 46:19; 53:2; 98:14 worry [1]

19:1

worse [1]

73:6

worst [1]

74:7

write [2]

wrong [1]

94:17

51:11, 14

wyoming [1]

103:21 - Y vear [24] 4:14: 10:8: 16:12: 42:5: 58:13, 22; 59:6, 9, 21; 61:22: 64:15: 71:4, 15: 72:17; 74:1, 17; 75:10; 79:13; 81:10; 86:6, 19; 100:21: 105:2 vears [46] 5:14; 6:2; 11:3; 12:11, 12, 17, 21; 13:4, 14, 17; 17:17; 19:13; 20:16, 20; 21:20; 22:12; 25:8; 34:2, 3; 37:20, 21: 46:4, 9: 47:7; 51:6; 53:21; 57:20; 58:10, 16; 59:22; 60:1; 62:8; 68:17; 71:15; 72:8; 74:16, 18; 80:7; 84:16; 86:6, 18; 92:12, 13; 97:7 yesterday [9] 7:7, 9; 14:16; 15:22; 23:20; 29:5; 38:7; 44:18: 47:1 york [3] 9:20; 47:18; 93:17 you'd [1] 32:8 you'll [1] 21:3 you've [15] 6:7, 10; 7:2; 22:16; 31:19; 33:11; 56:3, 4, 14; 57:17; 62:16; 67:2; 83:7: 87:21 vound [1] 46:8 87:22; 93:11; 105:1, 17 yourself [2] 97:9: 100:15

- Z -

zero [2] 75:14; 92:15 zone [1] 103:15