A framework for using data to develop, implement, and sustain robust work-based learning programs

Presentation at NGA WBL Policy Academy

Annalisa Mastri, Mathematica April 9-10, 2019

Why use data?

- Systematic: Using a defined, replicable process can help us identify what job seekers and employers need and how to meet those needs
- Credible: It can help turn our gut instincts on what works into evidence for funders and policy makers
- Repeatable: Once we set up a data collection and analysis, it is straightforward to repeat, allowing us to track over time
- Efficient: In many cases, we have to collect it for outside stakeholders, so we might as well use it to benefit ourselves too!

- Data are not a magic bullet
- We have to know what we are looking for
 - What question are we trying to answer?
 - What problem are we trying to solve?
- We have to know where to look
 - Data useful for evaluating effectiveness are not necessarily useful for addressing implementation challenges
- Some data we think are going to be helpful are not!
 - Example of integrating TANF-WIOA-Adult Education in one large county

Data use trajectory of a new program





A framework: Learn, Innovate, Improve





Learn: What is the purpose of the data collection?

- To develop a program that meets local labor market needs?
- To monitor implementation of the program and determine what is working well and what isn't?
- To determine client and employer satisfaction with the program?
- To demonstrate impact or effectiveness?
- To inform continuous quality improvement once you have a program in place?

Innovate: Design data collection approaches to meet objectives from Learn phase

- Original data collection to get attitudes, behaviors, satisfaction
 - Surveys, observations, interviews
- Administrative data for service receipt and outcomes
- Combinations of both for continuous quality improvement
- These need not be expensive or cumbersome
 - Google forms or SurveyMonkey for surveys
 - Administrative data may be existing and have what you need

Improve: Test out our data collection strategy, on a small scale if possible

- Road tests: take it for a test drive
 - Is it really answering our questions of interest? Why not?
 - Refine the approach until we are satisfied that it is working as intended
 - Then scale it up
- Can do this repeatedly, as a continuous quality improvement process

Data use trajectory of a new program





Examples

- Our research has found that organizations training participants for middle and high-skilled jobs highlight employer engagement as a major challenge
 - Program staff do not have experience "selling" to employers
 - Paperwork for taking on trainees is a burden
- Participant engagement can also be a problem
 - Participants can get higher wages in entry-level jobs outside of on-the-job training programs
 - Difficult to place participants who lack relevant work experience in high-growth industries
- How might our data needs vary depending on which challenge we are trying to address?