Mitigating AI Risks in State Government

December 5, 2023  |  1:00-2:00pm ET
Agenda

• Opening speaker: Alexandra Reeve-Givens, CEO, Center for Democracy and Technology
  • What are the major categories of risk posed by AI in state governmental applications? How is the federal government approaching risk management?

• Panel Discussion: Perspectives from State Leaders
  • Katy Ruckle, Chief Privacy Officer, Washington Technology Solutions
  • Andrew Wheeler, Director, Office of Regulatory Management, Virginia

• Audience Questions, Moderated Discussion with all Speakers and Panelists
Advancing Responsible AI: Opportunities for States

December 5, 2023

Alexandra Reeve Givens
President & CEO, Center for Democracy & Technology
CDT is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization based in Washington D.C. and Brussels.

We fight for technology to support public good while protecting against invasive, discriminatory and exploitative uses.

We:
- advocate for sound laws & policies
- advise companies & government on responsible tech use and design.
Agenda:

1. What types of risks?
2. Elements of trustworthy AI
3. Steps executive agencies can take:
   ➢ Government’s own use of AI
   ➢ Responding to harmful uses of AI
The News Cycle About Future AI Harms:

A.I. poses human extinction risk on par with nuclear war, Sam Altman and other tech leaders warn
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Elon Musk and others urge AI pause, citing 'risks to society'
By Jyoti Narayan, Krystal Hu, Martin Coulter and Supantha Mukherjee
April 5, 2023 8:22 AM EDT · Updated 7 months ago

Tech Boss Warns of 25% Chance AI Could Destroy Human Civilization
by Roman Perkowski in Artificial intelligence, Artificial intelligence on 21 October 2023
### The Reality of Current AI Harms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security &amp; Surveillance</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Consumer Fraud &amp; Abuse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Facial recognition</td>
<td>➢ Student activity monitoring</td>
<td>➢ Fraud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Predictive policing</td>
<td>➢ Remote exam proctoring</td>
<td>➢ Cybersecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Risk assessment in bail &amp; sentencing</td>
<td>➢ Personalized learning</td>
<td>➢ Extortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Sexual content</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Data Practices</th>
<th>Benefits &amp; Public Health</th>
<th>Information Harms &amp; Elections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Employment</td>
<td>➢ Eligibility determinations</td>
<td>➢ Deepfakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Housing</td>
<td>➢ Fraud detection</td>
<td>➢ Voter suppression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Lending</td>
<td>➢ Medical research &amp; spending</td>
<td>➢ Targeting &amp; filter bubbles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Ad Targeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References:**
- Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights (Oct. 2022)(Appendix)
- OMB Draft Guidance for Federal Agencies Use of AI (list of presumed rights- and safety-impacting uses)
1. Example: AI & Public Benefits

States' Automated Systems Are Trapping Citizens in Bureaucratic Nightmares With Their Lives on the Line

State of Michigan Announces Settlement of Civil Rights Class Action Alleging False Accusations of Unemployment Fraud

October 20, 2022

What happened when a ‘wildly irrational’ algorithm made crucial healthcare decisions

FEDERAL COURT RULES AGAINST IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE IN MEDICAID CLASS ACTION

Ruling Mandates Important Protections for Due Process Rights of Idahoans with Developmental Disabilities
2. Other Areas

- Criminal Justice System
- Policing
- Hiring & workplace
- Housing
- Credit

An Algorithm That Grants Freedom, or Takes It Away

Across the United States and Europe, software is making probation decisions and predicting whether teens will commit crime. Opponents want more human oversight.

Facial recognition technology jailed a man for days. His lawsuit joins others from Black plaintiffs

Feds Warn Employers Against Discriminatory Hiring Algorithms

As AI invades the interview process, the DOJ and EEOC have provided guidance to protect people with disabilities from bias.

U.S. renters fall foul of algorithms in search for a home
Elements of Trustworthy AI

BLUEPRINT FOR AN AI BILL OF RIGHTS

1. You Should Be Protected From Unsafe Or Ineffective Systems

2. You Should Not Face Discrimination By Algorithms And Systems Should Be Used And Designed In An Equitable Way

3. You Should Be Protected From Abusive Data Practices Via Built-In Protections And You Should Have Agency Over How Data About You Is Used

4. You Should Know That An Automated System Is Being Used And Understand How And Why It Contributes To Outcomes That Impact You

5. You Should Be Able To Opt Out, Where Appropriate, And Have Access To A Person Who Can Quickly Consider And Remedy Problems You Encounter.

Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)

AI Risks & Trustworthiness

3.1 Valid & Reliable

3.2 Safe

3.3 Secure & Resilient

3.4 Accountable & Transparent

3.5 Explainable & Interpretable

3.6 Privacy-Enhanced

3.7 Fair, with Harmful Bias Managed
The Role for States: Government Use of AI

1. Mandate Risk Management Practices

➢ Determine if rights or safety impacting

➢ Require minimum practices:
  ○ Complete AI impact assessment
  ○ Test performance in real-world context
  ○ Independently evaluate the AI
  ○ Conduct ongoing monitoring & threshold for human review
  ○ Ensure adequate human training

➢ Additional minimum practices for rights-impacting uses:
  ○ Test for equity & nondiscrimination (pre & post deployment)
  ○ Consult impacted groups
  ○ Notify impacted individuals at time of encounter
  ○ Human consideration & remedy; opt-out

➢ Comply with due process & APA requirements!

Reference:
OMB Proposed Memorandum for Federal Agency Use of AI (Nov. 1, 2023)
The Role for States: Government Use of AI

2. Require Reporting & Documentation
   ➢ Direct agencies to inventory their uses
   ➢ Issue templates for reporting (internal & public)

3. Designate Appropriate Staff; Equip for Success
   ➢ Chief AI officers
   ➢ Provide teams with relevant expertise
   ➢ Guidance; templates; working groups; other support

4. Take Specific Steps on Procurement
   ➢ Guidance, templates, staffing support
   ➢ Ensure sufficient control & ownership over data, data improvements, & procured systems
   ➢ Ensure quality control, privacy & security!

References ct’d:
VT AI Inventory (Dec 2022)
CA Executive Order (Sep. 2023)
VA Executive Directive (Sep. 2023)
WA Tech Gen AI Guidelines (Sep. 2023)
The Role for States: Countering Harmful AI Uses

1. Combatting Fakes & Providing Authoritative Information
   - Government officials must act to protect their role as trusted sources of civic information.
     - consistent branding & trust indicators; e.g. .gov domains
     - proactive messaging & “pre-bunking” false narratives
     - establish trusted channels for communication
The Role for States: Countering Harmful AI Uses

2. Take AI-driven harms seriously!

- Ensure law enforcement is equipped to address consumer fraud, extortion, NCII, election interference
- Address critical infrastructure & cybersecurity risks
- Direct housing / civil rights / consumer protection teams to issue guidance & bring cases
- Any AI funds must require responsible innovation
- Advance a strategic legislative agenda
State Chief Privacy Officer

• Katy Ruckle, State Chief Privacy Officer

• Position created Washington law –
  • Privacy Principles
  • Projects that involve personally identifiable information (PII)
  • Data Protection

• What is CPO role in relationship to AI?
  • Automated Decision Systems Work
  • Generative AI
AI CoP

- **Governance Structure**
  - Representation from WaTech, State Agency, and Local Government

- **Steering Committee Objectives**
  - Develop a set of *guidelines* and *policies*
  - Identify and document *best practices*
  - Establish a *governance structure* and develop mechanisms for accountability and oversight
  - *Document use cases* and examine potential societal impact
  - *Facilitate collaboration* and knowledge sharing
  - *Promote alignment* of new AI technologies to business and IT strategies
More data is needed to:

- Build AI
- Train AI
- Maintain AI
What is the issue with more data from a privacy perspective?

- Risk of
  - Data persistence
  - Data repurposing
  - Data spillovers
  - Data commingling
  - Data integrity
Washington State Agency Privacy Principles

- Lawful, fair, & responsible use
- Data minimization
- Purpose Limitation
- Transparency & accountability
- Due diligence
- Individual participation
- Security
• Interim Guidelines for Purposeful and Responsible Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence
  • Background
  • Definition
  • Principles
  • Guidelines
  • Generative AI Usage Scenarios and Dos and Don’ts
  • Use Cases
  • Acknowledgments

https://ocio.wa.gov/policy/generative-ai-guidelines
Guiding Principles

• Safe, secure, and resilient
• Valid and reliable
• Fairness, inclusion, and non-discrimination
• Privacy and data protection

• Transparency and auditability
• Accountability and responsibility
• Explainable and interpretable
• Public purpose and social benefit
Guidelines for Generative AI Use
• Fact-checking, Bias Reduction, and Review

• All content generated by AI should be reviewed and fact-checked, especially if used in public communication or decision-making.

• State personnel generating content with AI systems should verify that the content does not contain inaccurate or outdated information and potentially harmful or offensive material.

• Given that AI systems may reflect biases in their training data or processing algorithms, state personnel should also review and edit AI-generated content to reduce potential biases.

• When consuming AI-generated content, be mindful of the potential biases and inaccuracies that may be present.
• Disclosure and Attribution

• AI-generated content used in official state capacity should be clearly labeled as such, and details of its review and editing process (how the material was reviewed, edited, and by whom) should be provided. This allows for transparent authorship and responsible content evaluation.

• State personnel should conduct due diligence to ensure no copyrighted material is published without appropriate attribution or the acquisition of necessary rights. This includes content generated by AI systems, which could inadvertently infringe upon existing copyrights.
• Sensitive or Confidential Data

• Agencies are strongly advised not to integrate, enter, or otherwise incorporate any non-public data (non-Category 1 data) or information into publicly accessible generative AI systems (e.g., ChatGPT).

• If non-public data is involved, agencies should not acquire generative AI services, enter into service agreements with generative AI vendors, or use open-source AI generative technology unless they have undergone a Security Design Review and received prior written authorization from the relevant authority, which may include a data sharing contract.

• Contact your agency’s Privacy and Security Officers to provide further guidance.
State Ethics law - Confidential Information

- RCW 42.52.050

(3) No state officer or state employee may disclose confidential information to any person not entitled or authorized to receive the information.

- Definitions (RCW 42.52.010):

  (5) "Confidential information" means (a) specific information, rather than generalized knowledge, that is not available to the general public on request or (b) information made confidential by law.

  (15) "Person" means any individual, partnership, association, corporation, firm, institution, or other entity, whether or not operated for profit.
Generative AI Usage Scenarios Do's and Don'ts
Do's (best practices) and X Don’ts (things to avoid)

- **Rewrite documents in plain language for better accessibility and understandability.**
  - **Do** specify the reading level in the prompt, use readability apps to ensure the text is easily understandable and matches the intended reading level, and review the rewritten documents for biases and inaccuracies.

- **Condense longer documents and summarize text.**
  - **Do** read the entire document independently and review the summary for biases and inaccuracies.

X Don’t include sensitive or confidential information in the prompt.
Do edit and review the document, label the content appropriately, and remember that you and the state of Washington are responsible and accountable for the impact and consequences of the generated content.

Don’t include sensitive or confidential information in the prompt or use generative AI to draft communication materials on sensitive topics that require a human touch.
Aid in Coding

Do understand what the code is doing before deploying it in a production environment, understand the use of libraries and dependencies, and develop familiarity with vulnerabilities and other security considerations associated with the code.

Don’t include sensitive or confidential information (including passwords, keys, proprietary information, etc.) in the prompt and code.
Do's (best practices) and Don’ts (things to avoid)

- Aid in generating image, audio, and video content for more effective communication

**Do** review generated content for biases and inaccuracies and engage with your communication department before using AI-generated audiovisual content for public consumption.

**Don’t** include sensitive or confidential information in the prompt.
Do's (best practices) and Don’ts (things to avoid)

- **Automate responses to frequently asked questions from residents (example: chatbots)**
  - **Do** implement robust measures to protect resident data.
  - **X Don’t** use generative AI as a substitute for human interaction or assume it will perfectly understand residents’ queries. Provide mechanisms for residents to easily escalate their concerns or seek human assistance if the AI system cannot address their needs effectively.
Use Cases
Other data and privacy considerations for Generative AI?

- Where did the training data come from?
- Was the training data legally obtained?
- Data being used as a proxy for something else?
Artificial Intelligence Regulation in Washington

• SSB 5116 (2021) - Establishing guidelines for government procurement and use of automated decision systems in order to protect consumers, improve transparency, and create more market predictability.

Lawmakers Move to Ban Discriminatory Tech in Washington State

In response to reports detailing AI tech's disproportionate impact on communities of color, Washington State Sen. Bob Hasegawa introduced a bill to ban AI tech and regulate automated decision systems.

February 23, 2021 - Kilby Mecul
2021 Report & Recommendations

• #1 Prioritization of Resources
• #2 Procurement
• #3 Evaluation of Existing Systems
• #4 Transparency
• #5 Determination on Whether to Use System
• #6 Ongoing Monitoring or Auditing
• #7 Training in Risk of Automation Bias

• 2021 ADS Workgroup Report
Questions?
privacy@watech.wa.gov
AI Resource List

• Please see webinar **Chatbox for a link** to the list

• Includes:
  o Federal level activities
  o State activities: Executive Branch and Legislative
  o Local activities
  o Technical assistance tools
Contacts

• Kate Stoll, AAAS EPI Center:  kstoll@aaas.org

• Sally Rood, NGA Center for Best Practices:  srood@nga.org

• Ryan Martin, NGA Center:  rmartin@nga.org